

Citizen Participation Plan Final Write-up For:

The City of Encinitas  
Case # CPP-6243-2023  
Glen Park ADA Improvements

**Public Workshop: June 6, 2023**

The meeting was held at 6:00 PM to 6:30 PM on June 6, 2023, in the Carnation Room at the City of Encinitas. All attendees left at 6:30, and no additional citizens came. Ben Stryker hosted the public meeting with Matt Widelski (City), Badr Suleiman (City), Arezou Hekmat (City), David Norgard (City), Mark Araujo (Kimley Horn) and Cody Rokosz (Kimley Horn). Two (2) citizens signed the attendance sheet and were present. The meeting began with Ben Stryker presenting on the project background, proposed improvements, and the tentative project schedule. Photos of existing conditions and the proposed design plans were provided in the presentation. After the presentation, a question-and-answer session with the public was held. All citizens left after the questions and comments were answered or compiled.

11 specific questions were raised during the discussion or were emailed before or after the meeting.

**Question No. 1:** Is the pathway of the park being modified?

**Response:** Yes, portions of the pathway are being removed and replaced in order to meet current ADA standards for pathways.

**Question No. 2:** Will there be any railing added to the path?

**Response:** The proposed pathway is under 5% slope, so no railing is required. A small area north of the bridge will require a railing in order to connect to an existing pathway.

**Question No. 3:** Are you adding or removing parking by the tennis courts?

**Response:** No, the existing ADA parking stall will be reconstructed in order to meet current ADA standards.

**Question No. 4:** Are the ADA spots being modified to meet the sidewalk elevation?

**Response:** Yes, additional asphalt will be added in order to raise the grade of the ADA spots in order to not exceed 2% slope in any direction.

**Question No. 5:** There have been lots of trees lost and drainage issues have started to occur in the park, will this project address this?

**Response:** The loss of trees as of late is outside the scope of the project. This project is solely an ADA improvement project. Citizens can follow up with the Parks Department to find out more information about the recent loss of trees and any plans for replacement.

**Question No. 6:** Is the cost of the project \$300,000 or \$400,000?

**Response:** Approximately \$300,000 is estimated for both the design and construction of the project.

**Question No. 7:** Will there be paving over the rest of the parking lot?

**Response:** No, this project is solely an ADA improvement project.

**Question No. 8:** Can we add ADA street parking?

**Response:** On-street parking is considered offsite and not included in the parking lot requirements per the California Building Code. Additionally, if an on-street ADA stall was constructed, an additional ADA path of travel would be required from the stall location to the restroom.

**Question No. 9:** How were the current number of ADA spots determined?

**Response:** The California Building Code specifies the minimum number of required accessible parking stalls.

**Question No. 10:** Could the City install a gate on the parking lot at night to prevent people from sleeping in the park overnight?

**Response:** No, the scope of this project is solely an ADA improvement project. Citizens can follow up with the Code Enforcement by calling their main line (760) 633-2685 to find out more information about overnight parking.

**Question No. 11:** Can the City make up for the loss of one parking spot somewhere else in the neighborhood?

**Response:** Off-site parking is not included in the scope of work of this project. For on-site parking, a parking study was prepared by the City Traffic Engineer, which concluded that the loss of one spot (from 28 to 27) will still provide adequate parking capacity for this location.

At the end of the Q&A session, City Staff thanked the attendees for their time and comments. Some over-the-phone comments were received by Ben Stryker before the meeting and are addressed in this report.