## APPENDIX 1

## CITYWIDE INCLUSIONARY TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

## SCENARIO \#1

## Base Case: Existing Conditions

10\% @ Very Low - no Density Bonus

15\% @ Low - no Density Bonus

10\% @ Very Low - w/Density Bonus
15\% @ Low - w/Density Bonus

Inclusionary Scenarios:

15\%, 20\%, 25\% @ Very Low - w/ Density Bonus
20\%, 25\%, 30\% @ Low - w/ Density Bonus

## Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

|  | CITYWIDE DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| RESIDUAL LAND VALUE ${ }^{(1)}$ | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| A. Single-Family Detached - Large Lot ( $\mathrm{R}-3$ ) | \$383,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$392,000 /Unit \$38/SF Site | \$383,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$306,000 /Unit \$30 /SF Site | \$306,000 /Unit \$30 /SF Site | \$319,000 /Unit \$31 /SF Site | \$319,000 /Unit \$31 /SF Site | \$246,000 /Unit \$24 /SF Site |
| B. Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) | \$385,000 /Unit \$60 /SF Site | \$330,000 /Unit \$49 /SF Site | \$336,000 /Unit \$52 /SF Site | \$288,000 /Unit \$45/SF Site | \$239,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$298,000 /Unit \$46/SF Site | \$252,000 /Unit \$39 / SF Site | \$206,000 /Unit \$32 /SF Site |
| C. Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (RS-8) | \$162,000 /Unit \$40/SF Site | \$171,000 /Unit \$42/SF Site | \$174,000 /Unit \$45/SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | \$143,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$143,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$27/SF Site |
| D. Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (RS-11/R-11) | \$131,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$118,000 /Unit <br> \$39 /SF Site | \$118,000 /Unit \$41 /SF Site | \$93,000 /Unit \$32 /SF Site | \$68,000 /Unit <br> \$23 /SF Site | \$103,000 /Unit \$36 /SF Site | \$81,000 /Unit \$28 /SF Site | \$70,000 /Unit \$24 /SF Site |
| E. Mixed-Use Development | \$318,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | \$327,000 /Unit \$105 /SF Site | \$318,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | \$318,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | \$192,000 /Unit \$62 /SF Site | \$327,000 /Unit \$105 /SF Site | \$211,000 /Unit \$68/SF Site | \$211,000 /Unit <br> \$68/SF Site |
| F. Townhomes (R-15) | $\$ 149,000 /$ Unit $\$ 69 /$ SF Site | $\$ 132,000$ $/ U n i t$ <br> $\$ 59$ $/ S F$ | \$131,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$113,000 /Unit } \\ \$ 53 \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 86,000 & / U n i t \\ \$ 40 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$122,000 /Unit \$57 /SF Site | $\$ 98,000$ /Unit $\$ 46 / S F$ Site | $\$ 82,000$ /Unit $\$ 38 /$ SF Site |
| G. Garden Apartments (R-20) <br> - High Capitalization Rate (4.75\%) | \$151,000 /Unit \$92/SF Site | $\$ 139,000 /$ Unit $\$ 82 /$ SF Site | $\$ 139,000 /$ Unit $\$ 86$ /SF Site | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$126,000 /Unit } \\ \$ 78 \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 112,000 \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 70 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$131,000 /Unit \$81/SF Site | \$119,000 /Unit \$73 /SF Site | $\$ 106,000 /$ Unit $\$ 66 /$ SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate (4.25\%) | \$199,000 /Unit \$121 /SF Site | \$186,000 /Unit \$109 /SF Site | \$186,000 /Unit \$115 /SF Site | \$171,000 /Unit \$106 /SF Site | \$156,000 /Unit \$96/SF Site | \$176,000 /Unit \$109 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 163,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 101 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$149,000 /Unit \$92 /SF Site |

```
The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Value per SF generated by the Base Case relative to the Residual Land
Values per SF generated when increased affordable housing requirements are imposed. The following benchmarks were used::}\mp@subsup{}{}{(2)
    - Low Impact: less than 15% decrease in Residual Land Value from Base Case; likely to have nominal impact on project feasibility
        Medium Impact: 15% - 25% decrease in Residual Land Value from Base Case; may raise concerns for project feasibility
            High Impact: greater than 25% decrease in Residual Land Value from Base Case; may result in financially infeasible project
```

(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing requirements on the viabiity of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated by the Base Case vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the development scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

ATTACHMENT 1.A
Single-Family Detached - Large Lot
Zone R-3

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Single-ramily Detached - Large Lot $($ R-3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with density Bonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | 25\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ 4.000 \mathrm{sF} \\ 488.00 \mathrm{sF} \\ 12 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4,0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,55 \mathrm{sF} \\ 55,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 17 \mathrm{On} \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 3,2,50 \mathrm{sf} \\ & 55,50 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \mathrm{SN} \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,50 \mathrm{sF} \\ 55,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 17 \mathrm{On} \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 55,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 3,250 \\ & 55,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 55,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \mathrm{on} \\ & \hline \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 55,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 17 \mathrm{On} \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 3,2,505 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 55,250 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | \$2,614,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | [14,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$2,61,000 | \$15 /SF Stie Area | \$2,61,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$2,61,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$2,64,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,00 | \$15 /SFs Stit Area | \$2,61,000 | S15 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$15 /SF Stie Area |
| Parking | so | so /space | so | So /space | so | So /space |  | So /space | so | \$0 /space |  | \$0 /space |  | So /space |  | so /space |  | \$0 /space |
| Shell construction | \$6,000,000 | \$125 /SF GBA | \$7,459,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | \$7,45,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | 57,45,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | \$7,45,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | \$7,45,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | \$7,45,000 | \$135/ /SF GBA | \$7,45,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | \$7,45,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$866,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,007,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,007,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,007,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,07,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$12,007,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,007,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,007,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,007,000 | 10.\% of directs |
| Total Direct costs | \$9,475,000 | \$197 /SF GBA | \$11,080,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,080,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,080,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,08,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,080,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,08,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,080,000 | \$201 /SF GBA | \$11,080,000 | \$201 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$790,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  | \$652,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& fees ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | \$330,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,00 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,00 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,00 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$468,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$379,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.\% of directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$443,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,421,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,662,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,62,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,62,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,62,000 | 15.\%\% of Directs | \$1,62,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,662,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,62,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,662,000 | 15.\% of directs |
| Total 1 diriect Costs | \$2,130,000 | 22.5\% of Directs | \$2,573,000 | 23.2\% of directs | \$2,53,000 | 23.2\% of Directs | \$2,53,000 | 23.2\% of directs | \$2,57,000 | 23.2\% of Directs | \$2,53,000 | 23.2\% of directs | \$2,57, 000 | 23.2\% of Directs | \$2,53,000 | 23.2\% of directs | \$2,573,000 | 23.2\% of directs |
| c. Einancing Costs | \$711,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$831,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs Per Unit | $\$ 12,316,000$ | \$257 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,484,000 \\ \$ 852,000 \end{array}$ | \$262 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,484,000 \\ \$ 85200 \end{array}$ | \$262 /SF GBA | \$14,484,000 | \$262 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,484,000 \\ \$ 852,000 \end{array}$ | \$262 /SF GBA | \$14,484,000 | \$262 /SF GBA | \$14,484,000 | \$262 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 14,484,000 \\ \$ 852,000 \end{array}$ | \$262 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,484,000 \\ \$ 852,000 \end{array}$ | S262 /SF GBA |

estimate of residual land value
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
City of encinitas


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 7,178,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 598,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 41$ |

## ATTACHMENT 1.B

## Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot

Zone R-5

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \hline \text { Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units | 20 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 2 Units | 3 Units | 2 Units | 3 Units | 3 Units | 4 Units | 5 Units | 4 Units | 5 Units | 6 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.0\% Very Low | 15.0\% Very Low | 15.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 30.0\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 32.5\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| c. Density with Bonus |  |  | 6.8 Units/Acre | 6.5 Units/Acre | 6.8 Units/Acre | 6.8 Units/Acre | 6.8 Units/Acre | 6.8 Units/Acre | 6.8 Units/Acre | 6.8 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 27 Units | 26 Units | 27 Units | 27 Units | 27 Units | 27 Units | 27 Units | 27 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low |  |  |  |  | 3 Units 11\% | 4 Units $15 \%$ | 5 Units 19\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% |
| B. Low | 0 Units 0\% | 3 Units 15\% | 0 Units 0\% | 3 Units 12\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 4 Units 15\% | 5 Units 19\% | 6 Units 22\% |
| c. Market-Rate | 18 Units 90\% | $\underline{17}$ Units 855 | 25 Units 93\% | 23 Units 88\% | 24 Units $89 \%$ | 23 Units $85 \%$ | 22 Units 81\% | 23 Units $\quad 85 \%$ | 22 Units 81\% | $\underline{21}$ Units $\quad 78 \%$ |
| D. Total | 20 Units 100\% | 20 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% | 26 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% | 27 Units 100\% |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low $\quad \$ 95,000$ | \$190,000 | \$0 | \$190,000 | \$0 | \$285,000 | \$380,000 | \$475,000 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| Low \$173,000 |  | \$519,000 | \$0 | \$519,000 |  |  | \$0 | \$692,000 | \$865,000 | \$1,038,000 |
| Total Affordable Units | \$190,000 | \$519,000 | \$190,000 | \$519,000 | \$285,000 | \$380,000 | \$475,000 | \$692,000 | \$865,000 | \$1,038,000 |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit Size | 3,250 SF | 3,250 SF | 3,250 SF | 3,250 SF | 3,250 5F | 3,250 5F | 3,250 SF | 3,250 5F | 3,250 SF | 3,250 SF |
| Price/SF Price/Unit |  |  | \% 5 500 | \$5500 | $\frac{\text { S500 }}{}$ | \$1, $\frac{5500}{}$ | \$ ${ }^{\text {\$5 } 500}$ |  | \$5500 | \$5500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sales Proceeds | \$29,250,000 | \$27,625,000 | \$40,625,000 | \$37,375,000 | \$39,000,000 | \$37,375,000 | \$35,750,000 | \$37,375,000 | \$35,750,000 | \$34,125,000 |
| c. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29,440,000 \\ \$ 1,472,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,144,000 \\ \$ 1,407,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,815,000 \\ & \$ 1,512,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 37,894,000$ <br> \$1,457,000 | $\$ 39,285,000$ $\$ 1,455,000$ | \$37,755,000 <br> $\$ 1,398,000$ | \$36,225,000 \$1,342,000 | $\$ 38,067,000$ \$1,410,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,615,000 \\ \$ 1,356,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 35,163,000$ $\$ 1,302,000$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10\% @ Very Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | 30\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 65,00 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 20 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4,0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,75 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 3,2,55 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 84,50 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 26 \mathrm{SN} \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acces} \\ & \begin{array}{c} 3,255 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,75 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \mathrm{Units} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 3,2,50 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 87,50 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 27 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ & \begin{array}{c} 3,2505 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \\ 27 \\ 27 \\ \text { Units } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 87 \mathrm{On} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Coss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | 54,35,000 | \$25 /SF S Ste Area | 356,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$4,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$4,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | 54,35,000 | \$25 /SF Stie Area | 54,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | 54,35,000 | \$25 /sF Site Area | \$4,356,00 | \$25 /SF Site Area | 54,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | so | so /space | so | So /space | so | \$0 /space |  | S0/space |  | so /space |  | So /space |  | So /space |  | \$0 /space | so | \$0/Space |
| Shell construction | \$9,100,000 | \$140 /SFGbA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,253,000 | \$145/SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,72,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,72,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$ $51,346,000$ | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$12,661,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,78,000 | 10.\% of Directs |
| Total Direct costs | \$14,802,000 | \$228 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,270,000 | \$216 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,00 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$740,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$703,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$69,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$550,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$715,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500/Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer fee | \$592,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$731,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2222,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,88,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$2,74,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$2881,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,88,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,88,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2881,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,818,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,81,000 | 15.0\% of directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,36,000 | 22.7\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,187,00 | 22.9\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,31,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,31, 000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,31,000 | 23.\% of Directs |
| c. Einancing Costs | \$1,110,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,30,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,40,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,09,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,40, 000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,00,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$19,274,000 $\$ 964,000$ | \$297/ /SF GBA | \$24,510,000 $\$ 908,000$ | \$279 /SF GBA | \$23,827,000 \$916,000 | \$282 /SFGBA | \$24,510,000 $\$ 908,000$ | \$279 /SF GBA | \$24,510,000 \$908,000 | \$279 /SF GBA | \$24,510,000 $\$ 908,000$ | \$279 /SF GBA | \$24,510,000 $\$ 908,000$ | \$279 /SF GBA | \$24,510,000 $\$ 908,000$ | \$279 /SF GBA | \$24,510,000 <br> $\$ 24,510,000$ $\$ 908,000$ | \$279 /SF GBA |

estimate of residual land value
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 8,513,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit |  |
| Per SF |  |$\quad$| S46,000 |
| :--- |

# ATTACHMENT 1.C 

## Single-Family Detached - Small Lot

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres | 1.5 Acres |
| B. Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 8.0 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 2 Units | 2 Units | 2 Units | 2 Units | 2 Units | 3 Units | 3 Units | 3 Units | 3 Units | 4 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 16.7\% Very Low | 16.7\% Very Low | 16.7\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 33.3\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 32.5\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 10.7 Units/Acre | 10.7 Units/Acre | 11.3 Units/Acre | 11.3 Units/Acre | 11.3 Units/Acre | 11.3 Units/Acre | 11.3 Units/Acre | 11.3 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 16 Units | 16 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low | 2 Units 17\% | O Units 0\% | 2 Units 13\% | 0 Units 0\% | 2 Units 12\% | 3 Units 18\% | 3 Units 18\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% |
| B. Low | 0 Units $0 \%$ | 2 Units 17\% | 0 Units 0\% | 2 Units 13\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 3 Units 18\% | 3 Units 18\% | 4 Units 24\% |
| c. Market-Rate | 10 Units $\quad 83 \%$ | 10 Units $83 \%$ | 14 Units 88\% | $\underline{14 \text { Units }} 888$ | 15 Units 88\% | 14 Units $82 \%$ | 14 Units $82 \%$ | 14 Units $82 \%$ | 14 Units $82 \%$ | $\underline{13}$ Units $\quad 76 \%$ |
| D. Total | 12 Units 100\% | 12 Units 100\% | 16 Units 100\% | 16 Units 100\% | 17 Units $100 \%$ | 17 Units $100 \%$ | 17 Units 100\% | 17 Units $100 \%$ | 17 Units $100 \%$ | 17 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low $\quad \$ 95,000$ | \$190,000 |  | \$190,000 |  | \$190,000 | \$285,000 | \$285,000 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Low \$173,000 | so | \$346,000 | \$0 | \$346,000 |  | s0 | s0 | \$519,000 | \$519,000 | \$692,000 |
| Total Affordable Units | \$190,000 | \$346,000 | \$190,000 | \$346,000 | \$190,000 | \$285,000 | \$285,000 | \$519,000 | \$519,000 | \$692,000 |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit Size | 2,217 SF | 2,217SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF | 2,000 SF |
| Price/SF | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 | \$475 |
| Price/Unit | \$1,053,000 | \$1,053,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 | \$950,000 |
| Total Sales Proceeds | \$10,530,000 | \$10,530,000 | \$13,300,000 | \$13,300,000 | \$14,250,000 | \$13,300,000 | \$13,300,000 | \$13,300,000 | \$13,300,000 | \$12,350,000 |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\$ 10,720,000$ $\$ 893,000$ | $\$ 10,876,000$ $\$ 906,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 13,490,000 \\ \$ 843,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,646,000 \\ \$ 853,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,440,000 \\ \$ 849,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,585,000 \\ \$ 799,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,585,000 \\ \$ 799,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,819,000 \\ \$ 813,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,819,000 \\ \$ 813,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,042,000 \\ \$ 767,000 \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | e Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  | verv Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $15 \%$ @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Very Lowwith Density Bonus with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { Acres } \\ & \text { 2.000 } \mathrm{sF} \\ & 32,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 16 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & \begin{array}{c} 2,000 \\ \mathrm{sF} \\ 32,000 \\ \mathrm{sF} \\ 16 \text { Units } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { Acres } \\ & \text { 2.000 sf } \\ & 34,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \text { Acres } \\ \begin{array}{c} 2,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ 34,001 \mathrm{sF} \\ 17 \\ \text { Units } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { Acres } \\ & \text { 2.000 sF } \\ & 344,001 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 17 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { Acres } \\ & \begin{array}{c} 2,000 \\ \text { sF } \\ 34,000 \\ \text { sF } \\ 17 \text { Units } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { Acres } \\ & \left.\begin{array}{c} 2,000 \\ 34,000 \\ 3 F \\ 17 \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| velopment Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/offf site Improvements (1) | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$1,307,00 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$1,307,000 | \$20 /sF Site Area |
| Parking | so | so /Space | \$0 | so /space | so | so /space | \$0 | so /space |  | $50 / 5 \mathrm{p}$ | so | \$0/space | so | So | \$0 | 50 | so | \$0//space |
| Shell Construction | \$3,85,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$4,80,000 | \$150 /SF GBA | 54,80,000 | S150 /SFGBA | 5,100,000 | S150 /fF GBA | \$5,100,000 | S150 /SFGBA | \$5,100,000 | S150 /fF GBA | \$5,100,000 | S150 /SF GBA | \$5,100,000 | \$150 /SF GBA | \$5,100,000 | \$150 /SF Gba |
| Other/Contingency | \$517,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$611,000 | 10.\% of Directs | 5611,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$641,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$641,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$641,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$641,00 | 10.\% of ofied | \$641,00 | 10.0\% of Direc | \$641,000 | 10.\% of Dire |
| Total Direct costs | \$5,682,000 | S214 /SF GBA | \$6,71,000 | \$210 /SF GBA | \$6,718,00 | S210 /SF GBA | \$7,048,00 | S207 /SF GBA | \$7,048,000 | 207 /SF GBA | \$7,08,000 | S207 /SF GBA | \$7,048,000 | S207 /SF GBA | \$7,04,000 | \$207 /SF GBA | \$7,048,000 | \$207 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$474,000 |  | \$420,000 |  | \$420,000 |  | \$415,000 |  | \$415,000 |  | \$415,00 |  | \$415,000 |  | 5415,000 |  | \$415,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits 8 Fees | \$330,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | 5440,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | 5440,000 | \$27,500 Unit | 5468,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | S468,000 | \$27,500 Unit | S468,000 | \$27,500 Un | \$468,000 | \$27,500/Unit | \$468,000 | \$27,500 | \$468,00 | \$27,500 Unit |
| Developer Fee | 27,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$269,000 | 4.0\% of Diress | \$269,000 | 4.0\% of Dir | \$282,000 | 4.0\% of Did | \$282,00 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$282,000 | 4.0\% of Diects | \$282,000 | 4.0\% of Die | \$282,000 | 4.0\% of | \$282,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indire | \$852,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,08,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,008,00 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,057,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,057,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,057,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,057,000 | 15.0\% of Direct | \$1,057,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,057,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | ,000 | 24.8\% of Directs | \$1,717,000 | 25.\% of Directs | \$1,717,000 | 25.6\% of Directs | \$1,807,000 | 25.6\% of Directs | \$1,807,000 | 25.\% of Directs | \$1,807,000 | 25.\%\% of Directs | \$1,807,000 | 25.\%\% of Directs | \$1,807,000 | 25.\%\% of Directs | \$1,807,000 | 25.\%\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$422,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$504,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$504,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$52,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$529,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$529,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$529,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$529,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$52,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,517,000 \\ \$ 626,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$283 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,939,000 \\ \hline 559,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} 58,93,000 \\ \hline 555,000 \\ \hline 85 \end{gathered}$ | \$279 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 9,384,000 \\ \substack{952,000} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$276 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 9,384,000 \\ \substack{552,000} \end{gathered}$ | \$276 /SF GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,384,000 \\ & \$ 555,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$276 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 9,384,000 \\ \hline 555,2000 \end{gathered}$ | \$276 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 9,384,000 \\ \hline 555,000 \end{gathered}$ | S276 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,384,000 \\ \$ 552,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$276 /SF GBA |

estimate of residual land value
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 3,286,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 274,000$ |  |


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number

|  | Detached - mall Lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density bonus |  |  |  | verv Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) Number of Units | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.0 Acres } \\ \text { 2,000 sF } \\ 66,000 \\ 33 \\ 33 \\ \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.0. Acres } \\ \text { 1.510 sp } \\ 67,9505 \mathrm{sF} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1.510 \mathrm{sF} \\ 64,93 \mathrm{sF} \\ 43 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3,0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1 \text { 1.50 sp } \\ 67,95 \mathrm{sF} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.0 Acres } \\ \text { 1.510 sf } \\ 67,9505 \mathrm{sF} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.0 Acres } \\ \text { 1,5101 } 9 \mathrm{sF} \\ 67,95 \mathrm{of} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.0. Acres } \\ \text { 1,50 sf } \\ 67,9505 \mathrm{of} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3,0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ \text { 1,51 sf } \\ 67,95 \mathrm{sF} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 11. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Stie Improvements ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | \$3,267,000 | S25 /SF Stie Area | \$3,26,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$3,26,000 | \$25 /SF Stie Area | \$3,26,000 | S25 /sf Site Area | 3,267,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$3,26,000 | S25 /sf Site Area | 53,26,000 | S25 /SF Stie Area | \$3,26,000 | \$25 /fs Stit Area | \$3,26,000 | S25 /SF Stie Area |
| Parking | so | so /space | so | so /space | so | \$0 /space | so | \$0 /space |  | \$0 /space |  | so /space |  | \$0 /space | so | So /space | so | So /space |
| Shell Construction | \$9,000,000 | \$150 /SF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF Gba | \$10,389,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /FF GBA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,317,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |  | 10.0\% of Directs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0\% of Directs |  | 10.0\% of directs | \$1,414,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$14,484,000 | \$219 /SF GBA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /Sf gba | \$15,022,000 | \$231 /Sf gba | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,53,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,53,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,53,000 | \$229 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$433,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$349,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$907,500 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,23,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |  |  |  |  |  | \$27,500 /Unit |  | \$27,500/Unit |  | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,23,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,28,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$579,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | 5622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$601,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | $\$ 622,000$ | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,173,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,333,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,253,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$2,333,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$2,333,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,659,500 | 25.3\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.\% of Directs | \$4,037,000 | 26.9\% of directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.\% of directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.\% of Directs | \$4,19,000 | 27.\% of directs | \$4,13,000 | 27.0\% of directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,086,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Diects | \$1,127,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D. Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 19,230,000 \\ \$ 583,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$291 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$20,186,000 } \\ \$ 469,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$311/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$20,912,000 } \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$20,912,000 } \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5308 /$ /FF GBA |

estimate of residual land value
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
City of encinitas


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 7,574,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 230,000$ |  |

## ATTACHMENT 1.E

Mixed-Use Development

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number

|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bous |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Very Lowwith Density Bonus with Density Bonu |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Lowwith Density Bonus with Density Bonus |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | 25\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1. Project Description | . 5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  |
| Gross builiding area (GBA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.500 sF |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 68 A Number of Units | $\begin{aligned} 7,500 & \text { SF } \\ 5 & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 1,500 SF 10,500 SF | $10,500 \mathrm{SF}$ |  | $1,500 \mathrm{sF}$ <br> 10,50 <br> sF |  | 10,50 SF |  | $\begin{array}{rl} 1,500 & \mathrm{SF} \\ 10,500 \mathrm{SF} \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 10.500 se |  | 10,500 sF |  |
| Number of Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.00015.500SF |  | 5,000 SF |  |
| Commercial GBA <br> Total GBA | S.,00 SF12,500SF |  | 5.000 sF |  | 5,000 sF15,500SF |  | s,000 sF15,500sF |  | s,000 sF15,500sF |  | $\begin{gathered} 5,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ 150,50 \\ \hline \mathrm{sF} \end{gathered}$ |  | 5,000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking | 46 Soces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  | 51 Spaces |  |
| 11. Development costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | 5436,000 | \$20 /SFs site Area | 86,000 | 20 /sf site Area | 33,000 | \$20 /sf site Area | 336,000 | $20 /$ SFs Site Area | 436,00 | $520 / 5$ S Site Area | 543,000 | \$20 /sf site Area | 543,000 | \$20 /sf site Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /Sf site Area | 5436,000 | S20 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$1,840,000 | \$40,000 /space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000/space | \$2,04,000 | \$40,000/Space | \$2,04,000 | \$40,000 /space | \$2,04,000 | 540,000 /space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000/1space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000/space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000 /space | \$2,00,000 | \$40,000/5pace |
| Shell Constuction - Residential | \$1,350,000 | S180 /SF GBA-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /SF GBARes | \$1,93,000 | \$185 /SF GBARes | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /sf geares | \$1,943,000 | S185 /sF Gba-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /sf geares | \$1,943,00 | S185 /SF Gba-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /SF GBA-Res | \$1,94,000 | \$185 /sf gearres |
| Shell Construction - Commercial | \$800,000 | S160 /sf 6bA-Cmm | \$800,000 | S160 /ff gba-cmm | \$880,000 | S160 /SF GAACMm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA.Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GbA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | S160 /sf gba-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA.Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF G6A-Cmm |
| Tenant Improvements - Commerial | \$250,000 | \$50 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /IF G8A-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf Gba-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf gea-cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf gea-cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf cba-cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf gea-cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf ceac.cmm | \$250,000 | \$50 /sf Gba-cmm |
| Other/Contingency | \$468,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$547,000 | 10.\% of diects | \$547,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.\% of diects | \$547,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 0.0\% of Diects |
| Total Direct costs | \$5,144,000 | \$412 /SF Total GBA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF Total GBA | \$5,016,000 | \$388 /SF Total GBA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF Total GBA | \$6,016,000 | $5388 /$ /5F Total GBA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF Total GBA | \$6,016,000 | \$388/ /5f Total 6 GA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF Total 68A | 56,016,000 | \$388/SF Total GBA |
| Per Unit | \$1,029,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$885,00 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$885,000 |  | \$859,00 |  | \$859,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees - Residential (1) | \$138,000 | \$27,500 Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | 193,00 | \$27,500 /Unit | 193,000 | S27,500 /Unit | \$193,00 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Permits \& Fee - Commerial (1) | \$50,000 | S10 / SF | \$50,000 | \$10 /SF | \$50,00 | \$10 /sF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$55,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/sF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,00 | \$10/sF | \$50,00 | \$10 /SF |
| Developer fee | \$206,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of dieects | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of dieets | \$224,000 | 4.\% of directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$224,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Diects | \$221,000 | 4.0\% of Dieets |
| Other Indirects | S772,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | 5902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of directs | 5902,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$902,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,16,000 | 22.7\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.\% of directs |
| C. Financing costs | \$886,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of dire |
| 0. ${ }^{\text {Totalal Develomment Costs }}$ Per Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,696,000 \\ & \$ 1,339,000 \end{aligned}$ | 5536 /SF Total GBA | $\$ 7,853,000$ $\$ 1,122,000$ | \$507/ /5F Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,83,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$507/ /SF Total GBA | $\$ 7,853,000$ $\$ 1,122,000$ | \$507 /SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,83,000 \\ & \$ 1,12,000 \\ & \$ \end{aligned}$ | $5507 /$ SF Total 6 BA | $\underset{\substack{\$ 7,85,000 \\ 51,122,000}}{\$ 31,00}$ | \$507 /SF Total 68A | $\$ 7,83,000$ $\$ 1,122,000$ | \$507/ /SF Total 68A | $\$ 7,83,000$ $\$ 1,122,000$ | \$507 /SF Total 68A | $\$ 7,83,000$ $\$ 1,122,000$ | \$507/SF Total G8A |



| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 2,140,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 428,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 98$ |


|  | $\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\text { Townhomes (R-15) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres | 3.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 15.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units | 45 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 5 Units | 7 Units | 5 Units | 7 Units | 7 Units | 9 Units | 12 Units | 9 Units | 12 Units | 14 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 11.1\% Very Low | 15.6\% Very Low | 15.6\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 26.7\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 26.7\% Very Low | 31.1\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 20.3 Units/Acre | 19.3 Units/Acre | 20.3 Units/Acre | 20.3 Units/Acre | 20.3 Units/Acre | 20.3 Units/Acre | 20.3 Units/Acre | 20.3 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 61 Units | 58 Units | 61 Units | 61 Units | 61 Units | 61 Units | 61 Units | 61 Units |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 5 Units 11\% | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 7 Units $16 \%$ <br> $\underline{38 \text { Units }}$ $\underline{84 \%}$ <br> 45 Units $100 \%$ | 5 Units <br> 0 Units <br> 56 Units <br> 61 Units | 0 Units <br> 7 Units <br> 51 Units <br> 58 Units | 7 Units $11 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> $\underline{54 \text { Units }}$ $\underline{89 \%}$ <br> 61 Units $100 \%$ | 9 Units $15 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 52 Units  <br> 61 Units $\frac{85 \%}{100 \%}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 12 \text { Units } & 20 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{49}{} 91 \text { Units } & 80 \% \\ \hline 61 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 9 Units $15 \%$ <br> $\underline{52}$ Units $85 \%$ <br> 61 Units $100 \%$ |  | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 14 Units $23 \%$ <br> 47 Units $777 \%$ <br> 61 Units $100 \%$ |
|  | O Units 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { Units } \\ 12 \text { Units } \\ 49 \text { Units } \\ \hline 61 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 40 Units $89 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45 Units 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 749,000 \\ & \$ 749,000 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 963,000 \\ & \$ 963,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,284,000 \\ \$ 1,284,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,620,000 \\ \hline \$ 1,620,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 2,160,000 \\ \hline \$ 2,160,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \frac{\$ 2,520,000}{\$ 2,520,000} \end{array}$ |
| Very Low \$107,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 535,000 \\ \$ 535,000 \end{array}$ | so | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 535,000 \\ \$ 535,000 \\ \underline{\$ 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,260,000 \\ \hline \$ 1,260,000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low $\quad \$ 180,000$ |  | $\frac{\$ 1,260,000}{\$ 1,260,000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 1,510 \text { SF } \\ \$ 7500 \\ \$ 30,0000000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,510 \text { SF } \\ \$ 7555000 \end{array}$ <br> $\$ 28,690,000$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 75000 \\ \$ 37,000 \\ \$ 38,250,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 75600 \\ \$ 39,0000000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 75600 \\ \$ 39,0000 \\ \$ 39,000,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 5600 \\ \$ 750,000 \\ \$ 36,750,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \text { SF } \\ \$ 75000 \\ \$ 35,000 \\ \$ 35,250,000 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 75000 \\ \$ 750,000 \\ 42,000,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \text { SF } \\ \$ 75600 \\ \$ 40,5000000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 5600 \\ \$ 750,000 \\ \$ 36,750,000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,735,000 \\ \$ 683,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29,950,000 \\ \$ 666,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 42,535,000 \\ \$ 697,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39,510,000 \\ \$ 681,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 41,249,000 \\ \$ 676,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39,963,000 \\ \$ 655,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 38,034,000 \\ \$ 624,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40,620,000 \\ \$ 666,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 38,910,000 \\ \$ 638,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,770,000 \\ \$ 619,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

[^0]|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  | Verr Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 1,50 SF } \\ & 67,9505 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 45 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 1.510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 61 \mathrm{Units} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ 1.510 \mathrm{SF} \\ 87,58 \mathrm{sF} \\ 58 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 1.510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 92,10 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{sf} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 1.510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ 92,10 \mathrm{sF} \\ 61 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.0 Acres } \\ \text { 1,510 } \mathrm{SF} \\ 92,110 \mathrm{sF} \\ 61 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off site Improvements (1) | \$2,61,000 | \$20 /SF Ssite Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,14,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /sF Site Area | \$2,51,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area |
| Parking |  | so /space |  | \$0 /space |  | \$0 /space |  | \$0 /space |  | \$0/space |  | So /space |  | so /space |  | So /space | so | So /space |
| Shell construction | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$15,327,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | S175 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,34,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.\%\% of directs | \$1,794,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$1,87,000 | 10.\%\% of directs | \$1,87,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,87,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.\%\% of directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct costs | \$14,835,000 | S218 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$19,735,000 | \$225 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | S224 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$330,000 |  | .000 |  | 540,000 |  | 538,000 |  | 38,000 |  | 338,00 |  | 5338,00 |  | 5338,00 |  | \$338,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$900,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,60,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,22,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,00 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$593,00 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,00 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$789,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$82,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$824,00 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,00 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,25,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$2,960,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$3,09,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.0\% of Dieets | \$3,091,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,78,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$4,909,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,13,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs |
| c. Financing costs | \$1,113,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,88,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,54,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,54,,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| $\text { D. } \begin{gathered} \text { Total Development Costs } \\ \text { Per Unit } \end{gathered}$ | \$19,666,000 $\$ 437,000$ | \$289 /SF GBA | \$27,286,000 \$447,000 | \$296/SF GBA | \$26,124,000 $\$ 450,000$ | \$298//5F GBA | \$27,286,000 \$447,000 | \$296 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} 527,286,000 \\ 5497,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$296 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} 527,286,000 \\ 5 \\ 547,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$296//5F GBA | \$27,286,000 \$447,000 | \$296//SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} 527,286,000 \\ 547,000 \\ 549, \end{gathered}$ | \$296//5F GBA | $\begin{gathered} 527,286,000 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered} 47,000$ | \$296/SFG GBA |

estimate of residual land value
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $9,382,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 208,000$ |  |

## ATTACHMENT 1.G

## Garden Apartments

Zone R-20

|  | Garden Apartments (R-20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ with Density Bonus |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres | 2.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 20.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units | 40 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 4 Units | 6 Units | 4 Units | 6 Units | 6 Units | 8 Units | 10 Units | 8 Units | 10 Units | 12 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.0\% Very Low | 15.0\% Very Low | 15.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 30.0\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 32.5\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 26.5 Units/Acre | 25.5 Units/Acre | 27.0 Units/Acre | 27.0 Units/Acre | 27.0 Units/Acre | 27.0 Unit/Acre | 27.0 Units/Acre | 27.0 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 53 Units | 51 Units | 54 Units | 54 Units | 54 Units | 54 Units | 54 Units | 54 Units |
|  |  |  | 106 Spaces | 102 Spaces | 108 Spaces | 108 Spaces | 108 Spaces | 108 Spaces | 108 Spaces | 108 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low | 4 Units 10\% | 0 Units 0\% | 4 Units 8\% | 0 Units 0\% | 6 Units 11\% | 8 Units 15\% | 10 Units 19\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% |
| B. Low | 0 Units 0\% | 6 Units 15\% | 0 Units 0\% | 6 Units $12 \%$ | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 8 Units 15\% | 10 Units 19\% | 12 Units $22 \%$ |
| C. Market-Rate | 36 Units $\quad 90 \%$ | 34 Units 85 | 49 Units 92\% | 45 Units $88 \%$ | 48 Units $\quad 89 \%$ | 46 Units 85 | 44 Units $81 \%$ | 46 Units $\quad 85 \%$ | 44 Units $81 \%$ | 42 Units $\quad 78 \%$ |
| D. Total | 40 Units $100 \%$ | 40 Units $100 \%$ | 53 Units 100\% | 51 Units 100\% | 54 Units $100 \%$ | 54 Units 100\% | 54 Units $100 \%$ | 54 Units $100 \%$ | 54 Units $100 \%$ | 54 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Gross Annual Rental Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low @ $\quad \$ 836 /$ Month | \$40,000 | \$0 | \$40,000 | \$0 | \$60,000 | \$80,000 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Low @ $\$ 1,022 /$ Month |  | $\frac{574,000}{574,000}$ |  | $\frac{\$ 74,000}{\$ 74,000}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\$ 98,000}{\$ 98,000}$ | $\frac{\$ 123,000}{\$ 123,000}$ | $\frac{\$ 147,000}{\$ 147,000}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Rent/SF Rent/Unit Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{array}{cl} 825 & S F \\ \$ 3.60 & \text { SF } \\ \$ 2,970 & / \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,283,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl} 825 & S F \\ \$ 3.60 & \text { /SF } \\ \$ 2,970 & \text { /Month } \\ \$ 1,212,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 825 \text { SF } \\ \$ 33.60 / \text { /SF } \\ \$ 22,970 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,746,000 \end{gathered}$ | 825 SF <br> $\$ 3.60 /$ SF <br> \$2,970 /Month \$1,604,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 825 \text { SF } \\ & \$ 3.60 / \text { SF } \\ & \$ 2,970 / M o n t h \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { /1,711,000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 825 \text { SF } \\ & \$ 3.60 / \text { SF } \\ & \$ 2,970 \text { /Month } \\ & \$ 1,639,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 825 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.60 / \text { /SF } \\ \$ 2,970 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,568,000 \end{array}$ | 825 SF <br> $\$ 3.60 /$ SF <br> \$2,970/Month \$1,639,000 | $\begin{gathered} 825 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.60 / \text { SF } \\ \$ 2,970 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,568,000 \end{gathered}$ | 825 SF <br> \$3.60 /SF <br> \$2,970 /Month <br> \$1,497,000 |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,323,000 \\ \$ 33,100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,286,000 \\ \$ 32,150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,786,000 \\ \$ 33,700 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,678,000 \\ \$ 32,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,771,000 \\ \$ 32,800 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,719,000 \\ \$ 31,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,668,000 \\ \$ 30,900 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,737,000 \\ \$ 32,200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,691,000 \\ \$ 31,300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,644,000 \\ \$ 30,400 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit,
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Garden Aparitments (R-20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with density Bonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area <br> Average Unit Size <br> Gross Building Area (GBA) (1) <br> Number of Units <br> Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off S Ste Improvements 2 (2) | \$1,08,000 | \$12.50 /SF Stite Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /SF Site Area | \$1,08, 000 | \$12.50 /SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /sF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$13 /sF Site Area | \$1,08,000 | \$12.50 /sF Site Area | \$1,08,000 | \$12.50 /S5 Site Area |
| Parking | \$200,000 | \$2,500 /Space | \$265,000 | \$2,500 /space | \$255,000 | \$2,500 /space | \$270,000 | \$2,500 /5pace |  | \$2,500 /space | \$270,000 | \$2,500 /space | \$270,000 | \$2,500 /space | \$270,000 | \$2,500 /space | \$270,000 | \$2,500 /space |
| Shell Construction | \$5,41,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$7,626,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,338,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | 57,77,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,70,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,70,000 | \$170 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$671,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$898,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$868,000 | 10.0\% of directs | ¢9913,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$913,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$9913,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$9913,000 | 10.0\% of directs | $\underline{5913,000}$ | 10.0\% of Directs | \$9913,000 | 10.0\% of Diret |
| Total Direct Costs | 57,377,000 | \$218 /SF GBA | 59,878,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$9,550,000 | \$221 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,0420 | \$220 /SF GBA |
| Per | \$184,000 |  | 6,000 |  | \$187,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | 186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,00 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) | \$80,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,06,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,020,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,88,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,080,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,88,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,88,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,08,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,08,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$295,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | 5,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | 5382,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | S02,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | 542,,00 | 4.0\% of Direct | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,107,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,482,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,433,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.\% of directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$1,50,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total 1 diriect Costs | \$2,20,000 | 29.8\% of Diects | \$2,37,000 | 29.7\% of Directs | \$2,835,000 | 29.7\% of Directs | \$2,88,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,988,000 | 29.8\% of directs | \$2,88,000 | 29.\% of directs | \$2,98,000 | 29.8\% of Diects | \$2,98,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,88,000 | 29.\%\% of Directs |
| C. Financing costs | \$53,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$741,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$716,00 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$75,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$75,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,132,000 \\ \$ 253,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$299 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,556,000 \\ \$ 256,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$302/SF GBA | $\underset{\substack{\$ 31,101,000 \\ \$ 257,000}}{\$ 150}$ | \$304 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \end{array}$ | \$302 /SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$302/SFGBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$302 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$302 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$302 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{\|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$302 /SF GBA |



| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 8,211,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 205,000$ |  |

(1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes a $4.5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.

## APPENDIX 2

# HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE <br> "R-30" SITES TECHNICAL ANALYSIS 

## SCENARIO \#2

## Base Case: Existing Conditions

10\% @ Very Low - no Density Bonus
15\% @ Low-no Density Bonus

10\% @ Very Low - w/Density Bonus
15\% @ Low - w/Density Bonus

## Upzone Scenarios - Rental:

10\%, 15\%, 20\%, 25\% @ Very Low - w/ Density Bonus
15\%,20\%, 25\%,30\% @ Low-w/Density Bonus

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Conditions |  | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 08 Rancho Santa Fe Parcels (Gaffney/Goodsen) <br> Existing Conditions (RR-2) - Single-Family | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$512,000 } / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 33 \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 486,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 30 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 78,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 71 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 65,000$ /Unit $\$ 60$ /SF Site | \$51,000 /Unit \$47 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 34 / S F \text { site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 62,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 54 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$55,000 /Unit $\$ 51 /$ SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 43,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 39 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 30,000 /$ Unit $\$ 28 /$ SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$129,000 /Unit \$118 /SF Site | \$114,000 /Unit \$106 /SF Site | \$98,000 /Unit <br> \$91 /SF Site | \$83,000 /Unit <br> \$77 /SF Site | \$111,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$96 /SF Site | \$89,000 /Unit <br> \$83 /SF Site | \$75,000 /Unit <br> \$69 /SF Site |
| AD1 Sage Canyon <br> Existing Entitlements | \$347,000 /Unit \$24 /SF Site |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$79,000 /Unit \$74 /SF Site | $\$ 65,000$ /Unit $\$ 61$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 51,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 48 & \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 34 / S F ~ S i t e \end{aligned}$ | \$60,000 /Unit $\$ 53 /$ SF Site | \$55,000 $/$ Unit $\$ 52$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / / 5 F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$30,000 /Unit $\$ 28 /$ SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$130,000 /Unit \$121 /SF Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$114,000 /Unit } \\ \text { \$107 /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 99,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 92 / \text { SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 83,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 77 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$110,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | $\$ 104,000 /$ /Unit $\$ 97 /$ /SF Site | $\$ 89,000$ /Unit $\$ 83 /$ SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 75,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 70 / \text { SF Site }\end{array}$ |
| AD2 Baldwin and Son Properties Proposed Entitlements | \$293,000 /Unit$\$ 34 /$ SF Site |  | --- | --- | --- | -- | -- | --- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$79,000 /Unit $\$ 73$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 65,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 61 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 51,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 48 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 37,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 34 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 61,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 54 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$56,000 /Unit $\$ 52 /$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 30,000 /$ Unit $\$ 28 /$ SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$130,000 /Unit } \\ & \text { \$121 /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { \$115,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 107 \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 99,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 92 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 83,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 77 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$110,000 /Unit <br> \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit <br> \$97 /SF Site | $\$ 89,000$ <br> $\$ 83$ <br> /SN Site | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 76,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 70 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ |

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Conditions |  | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 7 Jackel Property <br> Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) | \$75 /SF Site |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} \$ 176,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 72 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 160,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 66 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 144,000 \\ \$ 59 \\ \$ 5 \text { /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 129,000 \\ \$ 53 / \text { /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$164,000 } \\ \$ 63 \text { /Unit } \\ \text { /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 149,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 61 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 135,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 55 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$120,000 } / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 49 \\ & / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$125,000 /Unit <br> \$51 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$111,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 45 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 97,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 83,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 34 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$114,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 44 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$101,000 /Unit <br> \$41 /SF Site | \$88,000 /Unit <br> \$36 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 75,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 31 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ |
| 12 Sunshine Garden <br> Existing Conditions (OP) - Professional Office | \$56/SF Site |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$77,000 /Unit $\$ 71 /$ /FF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 63,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 58 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 49,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 46 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 33 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$59,000 /Unit $\$ 52$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 54,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 50 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$41,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 38 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$29,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 27 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} \$ 127,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 118 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$112,000 /Unit } \\ & \text { \$104 /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 97,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 90 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$81,000 /Unit \$75 /SF Site | \$108,000 $/$ Unit $\$ 95 /$ /SF Site | \$102,000 /Unit \$95 /SF Site | $\$ 88,000 \quad /$ Unit \$82 /SF Site | $\begin{gathered} \$ 74,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 68 / \text { SF Site } \end{gathered}$ |
| AD11 Manchester Avenue West <br> Existing Conditions (R-11) - Single-Family | \$143,000 $/$ Unit $\$ 49 /$ SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$121,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats High Capitalization Rate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 74,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 68 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 63,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 59 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 52,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 48 / S F ~ S i t e \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 33 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 64,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 58 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 57,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 53 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$41,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 38 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 31,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 29 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  | \$125,000 /Unit \$115 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$112,000 /Unit } \\ \$ 105 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$99,000 } / \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 93 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$81,000 /Unit \$76 /SF Site | \$114,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | \$105,000 /Unit \$98 /SF Site | $\begin{gathered} \$ 87,000 \quad / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 82 / \text { SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 76,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 71 & \text { SF Site }\end{array}$ |

## he feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative to the Residual Land

Values generated by the Upzone Scenarios with increased affordable housing requirements. The following benchmarks were used:
High Impact: greater than 25\% increase in Residual Land Value from Exisiting Conditions (without Upzone); likely to provide an incentive to develop site
Medium Impact: $15 \%$ - $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may rais concerns regarding development of site
(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing
requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated without Upzone (Existing Conditions) vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the Upzone Scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) Reflects residual land value for 10 market-rate units. No affordable units. No density bonus.
(2) GBA = Gorss Building Area
(4) For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

|  |  | B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 2 Unit | 2 Units | 2 Units | 2 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 16.7\% Very Low | 16.7\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 29.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 2.8 Units/Acre | 2.7 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 17 Units | 16 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 \text { Units } & 17 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 10 \text { Units } & \frac{83 \%}{12 \text { Units }} \\ \hline 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 2 \text { Units } & 17 \% \\ \underline{10 \text { Units }} & 83 \% \\ \hline 12 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 \text { Units } & 12 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline 15 \text { Units } & \frac{88 \%}{17 \text { Units }} \end{array} 100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 2 Units $13 \%$ <br> $\underline{14}$ Units $88 \%$ <br> 16 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 178,000 \\ \$ 178,000 \\ \$ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 338,000 \\ \$ \$ 338,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 178,000 \\ \$ 178,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 338,000 \\ \$ 338,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 5,020 \text { SF } \\ \$ 475 \\ \$ 2,385,000 \\ \$ 23,850,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,020 \text { SF } \\ \$ 475 \\ \$ 2,385,000 \\ \$ 23,850,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,500 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 2,250,000 \\ \$ 33,750,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,500 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 2,250,000 \\ \$ 31,500,000 \end{array}$ |
| c. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,028,000 \\ \$ 2,002,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,188,000 \\ \$ 2,016,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,928,000 \\ & \$ 1,996,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 31,838,000 \\ \$ 1,990,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit,
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

## estimate of development costs <br> PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME

CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  | c |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  | 6.0 Acres |  | 6.0 Acres |  |
| Site Area | 6.0 Acres |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Unit Size | 5,020 SF |  | 4,500 SF |  | 4,500 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area | 60,240 SF |  | 76,500 SF |  | 72,000 SF |  |
| Number of Units | 12 Units |  | 17 Units |  | 16 Units |  |
| Parking | 48 Spaces |  | 68 Spaces |  |  | paces |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$3,933,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$3,933,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$3,933,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$7,530,000 | \$125 /SF GBA | \$10,328,000 | \$135 /SF GBA | \$9,720,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,146,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,426,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,365,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$12,609,000 | \$209 /SF GBA | \$15,687,000 | \$205 /SF GBA | \$15,018,000 | \$209 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$1,051,000 |  | \$923,000 |  | \$939,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$330,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$467,500 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$440,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$504,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$627,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$601,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,891,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,353,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,253,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,725,000 | 21.6\% of Directs | \$3,447,500 | 22.0\% of Directs | \$3,294,000 | 21.9\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$946,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,177,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,126,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$16,280,000 | \$270 /SF GBA | \$20,311,500 | \$266 /SF GBA | \$19,438,000 | \$270 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$1,357,000 |  | \$1,195,000 |  | \$1,215,000 |  |

[^1]Frepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2_v5;12/2/2019;jjx

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A | B | c | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low <br> No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 24,028,000 \\ & (\$ 1,081,000) \\ & \$ 22,947,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 24,188,000 \\ & (\$ 1,088,000) \\ & \$ \$ 23,100,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,928,000 \\ & (\$ 1,527,000) \\ & \hline \$ 32,401,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 31,838,000 \\ & (\$ 1,433,000) \\ & \$ 30,405,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,947,000 \\ (\$ 2,403,000) \\ \$ 20,544,000 \\ (\$ 16,280,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,100,000 \\ (\$ 2,419,000) \\ \$ 20,681,000 \\ (\$ 16,280,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 32,401,000 \\ (\$ 3,393,000) \\ \$ 29,008,000 \\ (\$ 20,311,500) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,405,000 \\ (\$ 3,184,000) \\ \$ 27,221,000 \\ (\$ 19,438,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,264,000 \\ \$ 355,000 \\ \$ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,401,000 \\ \$ 367,000 \\ \$ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,696,500 \\ \$ 512,000 \\ \$ 33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,783,000 \\ \$ 486,000 \\ \$ 30 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 8,190,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 683,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 31$ |

[^2]Sage Canyon

|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |
|  | 100\% Market-Rate |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.3 Acres |
| B. Density | 3.0 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 10 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 0\% Very Low |
| E. Affordable Units | 0 Unit |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |
| B. Density Bonus |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus |  |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 10 Units $\underline{100 \%}$ <br> 10 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> $\quad$ Very Low $\$ 89,000$ <br> Low $\$ 169,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 3,250 S F \\ \$ 4775 \\ \$ 1,544,000 \\ \$ 15,440,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,440,000 \\ \$ 1,544,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

[^3]ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.3 |  |
| Average Unit Size | 3,250 |  |
| Gross Building Area | 32,500 |  |
| Number of Units | 10 |  |
| Parking | 30 |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$2,156,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$4,388,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$654,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$7,198,000 | \$221 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$720,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$275,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Affordable Housing In-Lieu Fee (2) | \$350,000 | \$35,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$288,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,080,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,993,000 | 27.7\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$540,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$9,731,000 | \$299 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$973,000 |  |

(1) Estimate, not verfied by KMA or the City of Encinitas.
(2) Source: City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds | $\$ 15,440,000$ |  |
| B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ | $4.5 \%$ of Value | $(\$ 695,000)$ |
| C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\$ 14,745,000$ |  |



| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 3,470,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 347,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 24$ |

Baldwin and Sons Properties<br>Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot<br>Zone R-3 / R-5

|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Proposed Entitlements |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case with Density Bonus |
|  | 100\% Market-Rate with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |
| A. Site Area | 9.1 Acres |
| B. Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 46 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 11\% Very Low 2\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 6 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units | 10.8\% Very Low 2\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus | 13.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus | 5.7 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) | 52 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 5 Units $10 \%$ <br> 1 Unit $2 \%$ <br> 46 Units $\underline{88 \%}$ <br> 52 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> $\quad$ Very Low $\$ 89,000$ <br> Low $\$ 169,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 463,000 \\ \$ 193,000 \\ \hline \$ 656,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 2,998 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 1,499,000 \\ \$ 68,412,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69,068,000 \\ \$ 1,329,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) <br> Potential Upzone Site - Proposed Entitlements |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| I.Base Case <br> with Density Bonus |  |
| Project Description | 9.1 Acres |
| Site Area | 2,998 SF |
| Average Unit Size | 155,838 SF |
| Gross Building Area | 52 Units |
| Number of Units | 21 Spaces |
| Parking |  |

II. Development Costs
A. Direct Costs

| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | $\$ 9,910,000$ | $\$ 25 /$ SF Site Area |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Parking | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0 /$ Space |
| Shell Construction | $\$ 21,817,000$ | $\$ 140 /$ SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | $\$ 3,173,000$ | $10.0 \%$ of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | $\$ 34,900,000$ | $\$ 224 /$ SF GBA |
| $\quad$ Per Unit | $\$ 671,000$ |  |

B. Indirect Costs

| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$1,429,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Developer Fee | \$1,396,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$5,235,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$8,060,000 | 23.1\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$2,618,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 45,578,000 \\ \$ 877,000 \end{array}$ | \$292 /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verfied by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Proposed Conditions |
|  | Base Case with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69,068,000 \\ (\$ 3,108,000) \\ \$ \$ 65,960,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 65,960,000 \\ (\$ 6,907,000) \\ \$ 59,053,000 \\ (\$ 45,578,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,475,000 \\ \$ 293,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 21,042,020$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 405,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 53$ |

ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area <br> Hotel <br> Commercial <br> Total Site Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 1.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 3.0 Acres } \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.44 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | --- |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) <br> Hotel <br> Commercial <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 51,750 \mathrm{SF} \\ & \underline{5,000 ~ S F} \\ & \hline 56,750 \mathrm{SF} \end{aligned}$ |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Revenue |  |
| A. Hotel <br> Average Daily Rate (ADR) <br> Occupancy <br> Annual Room Revenue - Hotel <br> B. Commercial <br> Monthly Rent <br> Annual Revenue - Commercial <br> (Less) Vacancy @ <br> Effective Gross Income - Commercial |  90 Rooms <br>  $\$ 200$ <br>  $82.0 \%$ <br>  $\$ 5,387,000$ <br>  5,000 SF <br>  $\$ 4.00 / S F N N N$ <br> $10.0 \%$ $\$ 240,000$ <br>  $(\$ 24,000)$ <br>  $\$ 216,000$ |
| B. Total Annual Rental Income | \$5,627,000 |

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |  |  |
|  | Base Case |  |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.0 Acres |  |  |
| Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |  |  |
| Average Room Size (Gross) | 575 SF |  |  |
| Gross Building Area - Hotel | 51,750 SF |  |  |
| Gross Building Area - Commercial | 5,000 SF |  |  |
| Parking | 113 Spaces |  |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,294,000 | \$10 | /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 | Included above |
| Shell Construction - Hotel | \$10,868,000 | \$210 | /SF GBA |
| Shell Construction - Commercial | \$800,000 | \$160 | /SF GBA |
| Tenant Improvements | \$250,000 | \$50 | /SF GBA |
| FF\&E | \$2,250,000 | \$25,000 | /Room |
| Amenities | \$450,000 | \$5,000 | /Room |
| Contingency | \$796,000 | 5.0\% | of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$16,708,000 | \$294 | /SF GBA |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$568,000 | \$10 | /SF GBA |
| Developer Fee | \$668,000 | 4.0\% | of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,089,000 | 12.5\% | of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,325,000 | 19.9\% | of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,671,000 | 10.0\% | of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$21,704,000 | \$382 | /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  |  |
| A. Gross Room Revenue <br> B. Food \& Beverage <br> C. Other Operated Departments Revenue <br> D. Effective Parking Revenue <br> E. Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 10.0\% of Room Revenue 5.0\% of Room Revenue \$300 /Space/Month | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,387,000 \\ \$ 539,000 \\ \$ 269,000 \\ \$ 407,000 \\ \$ 6,602,000 \end{array}$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | 65.0\% of EGI | (\$4,291,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income (NOI) |  | \$2,311,000 |
| H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit | $3.0 \%$ of Value $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{7.0 \%} \\ \$ 33,014,000 \\ (\$ 990,000) \\ (\$ 3,301,000) \end{array}$ |
| L. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  | \$28,723,000 |


| II. Warranted Investment - Commercial |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Effective Gross Income <br> B. (Less) Unreimbursed Expenses <br> C. Net Operating Income |  $\$ 216,000$ <br> $5.0 \%$ $(\$ 11,000)$ <br>  <br> $\$ 205,000$ |
| D. Capitalization Rate <br> E. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> F. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value <br> G. (Less) Developer Profit 10.0\% of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{6.5 \%} \\ \$ 3,154,000 \\ (\$ 95,000) \\ (\$ 315,000) \end{array}$ |
| H. Warranted Investment - Commerical | \$2,744,000 |



ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME

## PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME

CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site - <br> Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.4 Acres |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.60 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | 82,000 SF |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) | 89,000 SF |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Affordable Units | ---- |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |
| B. Density Bonus |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus |  |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | ------- |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Rental Income |  |
| A. Affordable Units <br> Very Low <br> Low <br> Total Affordable Units | ----- |
| B. Market-Rate Rent @ Monthly Rent/SF Total Annual Rent | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.75{ }^{1)} \\ & \$ 3,690,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income | \$3,690,000 |

(1) Market rent estimated at 82,000 SF at $\$ 3.75 /$ SF .

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| II. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,685,000 \\ \underline{6.25 \%} \\ \$ 42,960,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value <br> E.  <br> E. (Less) Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 1,289,000) \\ & (\$ 4,296,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,375,000 \\ & (\$ 29,033,000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,342,000 \\ \$ 56 \end{array}$ |

Manchester Avenue West<br>Single-Family Detached - Small Lot<br>Zone R-11

|  | A | B | c | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |
| B. Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| c. Number of Units (1) | 18 Units | 18 Units | 18 Units | 18 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 2 Units | 3 Units | 2 Units | 3 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 11.1\% Very Low | 16.7\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 29.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 15.0 Unit/Acre | 14.4 Unit/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 25 Units | 24 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 \text { Units } & 11 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \underline{16 \text { Units }} & 89 \% \\ \hline 18 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 3 \text { Units } & 17 \% \\ \underline{15 \text { Units }} & \underline{83 \%} \\ \hline 18 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 2 \text { Units } & 8 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 23 \text { Units } & \underline{02 \%} \\ \hline 25 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 3 \text { Units } & 13 \% \\ \underline{21} \text { Units } & 88 \% \\ \hline 24 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low $\$ 90,000$ <br> Low $\$ 164,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 180,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 492,000 \\ \hline \$ 492,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 180,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 492,000 \\ \hline \$ 492,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 2,506 \mathrm{SF} \\ \underline{\$ 475} \\ \$ 1,190,000 \\ \$ 19,040,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,506 \text { SF } \\ \$ 475 \\ \$ 1,190,000 \\ \$ 17,850,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,510 \text { SF } \\ \$ 5500 \\ \$ 755,000 \\ \$ 17,365,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,510 \mathrm{SF} \\ \begin{array}{r} \$ 500 \\ \$ 755,000 \\ \$ 15,855,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,220,000 \\ \$ 1,068,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,342,000 \\ \$ 1,019,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,545,000 \\ \$ 702,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,347,000 \\ \$ 681,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

## estimate of development costs

PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  | c |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case <br> without Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 15\% @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description | 1.7 Acres |  | 1.7 Acres |  | 1.7 Acres |  |
| Site Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Unit Size | 2,506 SF |  | 1,510 SF |  | 1,510 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area | 45,108 SF |  | 37,750 SF |  | 36,240 SF |  |
| Number of Units | 18 Units |  | 25 Units |  | 24 Units |  |
| Parking | 45 Spaces |  | 50 Spaces |  | 48 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,819,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$1,819,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$1,819,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$6,766,000 | \$150 /SF GBA | \$6,040,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$5,798,000 | \$160 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$859,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$786,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$762,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$9,444,000 | \$209 /SF GBA | \$8,645,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$8,379,000 | \$231 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$525,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$349,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$495,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$500,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$480,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$378,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$346,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$335,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,417,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,297,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,257,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,290,000 | 24.2\% of Directs | \$2,143,000 | 24.8\% of Directs | \$2,072,000 | 24.7\% of Directs |
| c. Financing Costs | \$708,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$648,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$628,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,442,000 \\ \$ 691,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$276 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,436,000 \\ \$ 457,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$303 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 11,079,000 \\ \$ 462,000 \end{array}$ | \$306 /SF GBA |

[^4]ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,220,000 \\ \frac{(\$ 865,000)}{\$ 18,355,000} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,342,000 \\ (\$ 825,000) \\ \$ 17,517,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,545,000 \\ (\$ 790,000) \\ \$ 16,755,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,347,000 \\ (\$ 736,000) \\ \$ 15,611,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ $10.0 \%$ of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,355,000 \\ (\$ 1,922,000) \\ \$ 16,433,000 \\ (\$ 12,442,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,517,000 \\ (\$ 1,834,000) \\ \$(\$ 15,683,000 \\ (\$ 12,442,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,755,000 \\ (\$ 1,755,000) \\ \$ 15,000,000 \\ (\$ 11,436,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,611,000 \\ (\$ 1,635,000) \\ \$ 13,976,000 \\ (\$ 11,079,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,991,000 \\ \$ 222,000 \\ \$ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,241,000 \\ \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,564,000 \\ \$ 143,000 \\ \$ 49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,897,000 \\ \$ 121,000 \\ \$ 40 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 5,872,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 326,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 81$ |

[^5]
## Rancho Santa Fe Sites (Gaffney/Goodsen) Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking

|  | Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units | 180 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 18 Units | 27 Units | 18 Units | 27 Units | 27 Units | 36 Units | 45 Units | 36 Units | 45 Units | 54 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.0\% Very Low | 15.0\% Low | 15.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Low | 25.0\% Low | 30.0\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 32.5\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 39.7 Units/Acre | 38.2 Unit/Acre | 40.4 Unit/Acre | 40.4 Unit/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 239 Units | 230 Units | 243 Units | 243 Units | 243 Units | 243 Units | 243 Units | 243 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces $\quad 2.0$ Spaces/Unit |  |  | 478 Spaces | 460 Spaces | 486 Spaces | 486 Spaces | 486 Spaces | 486 Spaces | 486 Spaces | 486 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 18 Units $10 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> $\frac{162 \text { Units }}{}$ $\frac{90 \%}{180 \text { Units }}$ <br> $100 \%$  |   <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 27 Units $15 \%$ <br> 153 Units $\frac{85 \%}{}$ <br> 180 Units $100 \%$ | 18 Units $8 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 221 Units $\underline{92 \%}$ <br> 239 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 27 Units $12 \%$ <br> $\underline{203}$ Units $88 \%$ <br> 230 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 27 \text { Units } & 11 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{216 \text { Units }}{243} & \frac{89 \%}{203} \text { Units } \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 36 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline 207 \text { Units } & \frac{85 \%}{243} \text { Units } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} 45 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{198 \text { Units }}{243 \text { Units }} & \frac{81 \%}{100 \%} \end{array}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 36 Units $15 \%$ <br> 207 Units $85 \%$ <br> 243 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 45 Units $19 \%$ <br> $\underline{198 \text { Units }}$ $81 \%$  <br> 243 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{rc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 54 \text { Units } & 22 \% \\ \underline{189 \text { Units }} & \underline{78 \%} \\ \hline 243 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> $\quad$ Very Low @ $\$ 836 /$ Month <br> Low @ $\$ 1,022 /$ Month <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 181,000 \\ & \$ 181,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 331,000$ $\$ 331,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 181,000 \\ \$ 181,000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 331,000$ $\$ 331,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 271,000 \\ & \$ 271,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 361,000 \\ \$ 361,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 451,000 \\ & \$ 451,000 \\ & \hline \mathbf{\$ 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 0 \\ \$ 442,000 \\ \hline \$ 42,000\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 0 \\ \$ 552,000 \\ \hline \$ 52,000\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 662,000 \\ \hline \$ 662,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units <br> Unit Size <br> Rent/SF <br> Rent/Unit <br> Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.05 / \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 5,988,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { SF } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 55,655,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,800 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 8,168,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 7,503,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { /F } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 7,651,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 3,85 / \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 7,651,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{/F} \\ \$ 3,080 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 7,318,000 \end{gathered}$ | 800 SF \$3.85 /SF \$3,080 /Month \$6,985,000 |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,169,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,986,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,349,000 \\ \$ 35,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,834,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 8,254,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,012,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,769,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 8,093,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,870,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,647,000 \\ \$ 31,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.


[^6]

| Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| \$8,254,000 | \$8,012,000 | \$7,76,000 | \$8,093,000 | \$7,87,000 | \$7,647,000 |
| \$365,000 | \$365,000 | \$365,000 | \$365,000 | \$365,000 | \$365,000 |
| \$8,619,000 | \$8,377,000 | \$8,134,000 | \$8,458,000 | \$8,23,000 | \$8,012,000 |
| ( $\$ 4331,000)$ | ( 5419,000$)$ | ( 5407,000$)$ | ( 5423,000$)$ | (\$412,000) | ( 5401,000 ) |
| \$8,188,000 | \$7,958,000 | \$7,727,000 | \$8,035,000 | \$7,823,000 | \$7,611,000 |
| ( $\$ 1,215,000)$ | $(\$ 1,215,000)$ | $(\$ 1,215,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,215,000)$ | $(\$ 1,225,000)$ | (\$1,215,000) |
| ( $\$ 1,348,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,303,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,258,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,318,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,277,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,235,000)$ |
| ( 561,000$)$ | ( 561,000 ) | (\$61,000) | ( 561,000 ) | (\$61,000) | ( $\$ 61,000)$ |
| \$5,56,000 | \$5,379,000 | \$5,193,000 | \$5,441,000 | \$5,270,000 | \$5,100,000 |



| \$5,564,000 | \$5,379,000 | \$5,193,000 | \$5,441,000 | \$5,270,000 | \$5,100,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --4.25\%] | 4.25\% | 4.25\% | 4.25\% | 4.25\% | 4.25\% |
| \$130,918,000 | \$126,565,000 | \$122,188,000 | \$128,024,000 | \$124,000,000 | \$120,000,000 |
| $(\$ 3,928,000)$ | ( $53,797,000)$ | ( $\$ 3,666,000)$ | $(\$ 3,841,000)$ | $(\$ 3,720,000)$ | $(\$ 3,600,000)$ |
| (\$13,092,000) | (\$12,657,000) | (\$12,219,000) | (\$12,802,000) | (\$12,400,000) | (\$12,000,000) |
| \$113,898,000 | \$110,111,000 | \$106,303,000 | \$111,381,000 | \$107,880,000 | \$104,400,000 |
| ( $586,191,000$ ) | (\$86,191,000) | (\$86,191,000) | ( $\$ 86,191,000)$ | (\$88,191,000) | ( $586,191,000$ ) |
| \$27,707,000 | \$23,920,000 | \$20,12,000 | \$25,190,000 | \$21,689,000 | \$18,209,000 |
| \$114,000 | \$98,000 | \$83,000 | \$104,000 | \$89,000 | \$75,000 |
| \$106 | \$91 | \$77 | \$96 | \$83 | \$69 |


| III. Residual Land Value - High Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income | \$4,163,000 | \$4,023,000 | \$5,649,000 | \$5,282,000 |
| B. Capitalization Rate | 4.75\% | 4.75\% | --7.75\% | - $4.75 \%$ |
| c. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | \$87,642,000 | \$84,695,000 | \$118,926,000 | \$111,200,000 |
| $\begin{array}{lr}\text { D. (Less) Cost of Sale } & 3.0 \% \text { of Value } \\ \text { E. (Less Developer Profit } & 10.0 \% \text { of Value }\end{array}$ | ( $\$ 2,629,000$ ) <br> ( $\$ 8,764,000$ ) | ( $\$ 2,541,000)$ ( $\$ 8,470,000$ ) | ( $53,568,000$ ) ( $511,893,000$ ) | ( $\$ 3,336,000$ ) <br> ( $\$ 11,120,000$ ) |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 76,249,000 \\ (\$ 60,245,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 73,684,000 \\ & (\$ 60,245,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 103,465,000 \\ & (\$ 84,802,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 96,744,000 \\ (\$ 82,584,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per 5 F | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,004,000 \\ \$ 89,000 \\ \$ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,439,000 \\ \$ 75,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\$ 18,663,000$ $\$ 78,000$ $\$ 71$ | $\$ 14,160,000$ $\$ 62,000$ $\$ 54$ |


| $\frac{-55,564,000}{-\frac{4,75 \%}{}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,379,000 \\ \$ 4.75 \% \\ \$ 113,242,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,193,000 \\ -475 \% \\ \$ 109,326,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,270,000 \\ \square 4.75 \% \\ \$ 110,947,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} (\$ 3,514,000) \\ (\$ 11,714,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 3,397,000) \\ & (\$ 11,324,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 3,280,000) \\ (\$ 10,933,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 3,436,000) \\ (\$ 11,455,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 3,328,000) \\ (\$ 11,095,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 3,221,000) \\ (\$ 10,737,000) \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 101,909,000 \\ & (\$ 86,191,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 98,521,000 \\ (\$ 86,191,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 95,113,000 \\ & (\$ 86,191,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 99,656,000 \\ (\$ 86,191,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 96,524,000 \\ (\$ 86,191,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 93,410,000 \\ (\$ 86,191,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\$ 15,718,000$ $\$ 65,000$ \$60 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,330,000 \\ \$ 51,000 \\ \$ 47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,922,000 \\ \$ 37,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,465,000 \\ \$ 55,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,333,000 \\ \$ 43,000 \\ \$ 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,219,000 \\ \$ 30,000 \\ \$ 28 \end{gathered}$ |

[^7]
## ATTACHMENT 2.H

Sage Canyon<br>Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking

|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 99 Units | 99 Units | 99 Units | 99 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 10 Units | 15 Units | 10 Units | 15 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.1\% Very Low | 15.2\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% |
| c. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.6 Units/Acre | 38.5 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 134 Units | 127 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces 2.0 Spaces/Unit |  |  | 268 Spaces | 254 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 10 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{89 \text { Units }}{} 9 & \underline{90 \%} \\ \hline 99 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 15 Units $15 \%$ <br> 84 Units $85 \%$ <br> 99 Units $100 \%$ | 10 Units $7 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 124 Units $\underline{93 \%}$ <br> 134 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 15 Units $12 \%$ <br> $\underline{112 \text { Units }}$ $88 \%$ <br> 127 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \$ 100,000 \\ & \hline \mathbf{S O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ \$ 184,000 \\ \$ 184,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 100,000 \\ \$ 100,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 184,000 \\ \$ 184,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Rent/SF Rent/Unit Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { SF } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 3,289,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 3,105,000 \end{gathered}$ | 800 SF \$3.85 /SF \$3,080 /Month $\$ 4,583,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 4,140,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| c. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,389,000 \\ & \$ 34,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,289,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,683,000 \\ \$ 35,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,324,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{array}$ |


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit. (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenariowithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with oensity Bonus |  |  |  | very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\%@ Very low |  | 15\%@ Low |  | 15\%@ Very Low |  | 20\% @ Very Low |  | 25\%@ Very Low |  | 20\% © Low |  | 25\% © Low |  | 0\% © Low |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) (1) Number of Units Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \text { Acres } \\ 800 \\ \text { SF } \\ 126,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ 143 \mathrm{Nitits} \\ 288 \text { Spaces } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15 /SF Site Area \$25,000 /Space \$185 /SF GBA 10.0\% of Directs \$281 /SF GBA |  |  |  |  |
| Shell Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other/Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits fres (2) | S1,980,000 | \$20,00 Mnit |  |  | \$2,880,000 | 20,000 /Unit | \$2,540,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | S2,880,000 | \$20,000 /SF GBA | \$2,88,000 | \$2,000 /Unit | \$2,88,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | 000 | 20,000 Un | \$2,880,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$2,680,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer fee | \$985,000 | 4\% of Directs | \$1,14,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,36,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$1,415,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$1,415,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,41,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,415,000 | 4.\%\% of Directs | \$1,41,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,415,000 | 4.\% of directs |
| Other Indirects | \$3,65,000 | 15\% of Directs | \$5,307,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$5,124,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$5,30,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$5,307,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$5,37,000 | 15.\%\% of Directs | \$5,307,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$5,30,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$5,307,000 | 15.\% of directs |
| Total 1 diriect Costs | \$6,66,000 | 27\% of Directs | \$9,402,000 | 26.6\% of Directs | 59,030,000 | $26.4 \%$ of Directs | \$9,02,000 | 26.6\% of Directs | \$9,402,000 | 26.6\% of directs | 59,02,000 | 26.6\% of directs | \$9,402,000 | 26.6\% of Directs | S9,402,000 | 26.6\% of directs | S9,402,000 | 26.6\% of Directs |
| C. Financing costs | \$1,87,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 52,654,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$2,562,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 52,654,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 52,54,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 2,65,00 | 7.5\% of Direct | 52,55,000 | 7.5\% of dires | 52,554,000 | 7.5\% of Dire | 52,554,00 | 7.5\% of Diress |
| o. $\begin{gathered}\text { Totala Develomenent Costs } \\ \text { Per Unit }\end{gathered}$ | $\$ 33,137,000$ | $5356 /$ /FF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 47,439,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 45,754,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5381 /$ /F GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 47,439,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \end{array}$ | \$377 /SF GBA | $\$ 47,439,000$ | \$377 /SFGBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 47,439,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \end{array}$ | S377 /SFGBA | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 47,43,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 47,439,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \end{array}$ | \$377 /SFGBA | $\$ 47,439,000$ | S377 /SF GBA |



| II. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income | \$2,287,250 | \$2,211,250 | \$3,169,000 | \$2,916,000 |
| B. Capitalization RateC. Capitaized Value Upon Completion | - $4.25 \%$ | - $4.2 .25 \%$ ] | 4.25\% | 4.25\% |
|  | \$53,818,000 | \$52,029,000 | \$74,565,000 | \$68,612,000 |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $\quad 3.0 \%$ of Value | $(\$ 1,615,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,561,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,237,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,058,000)$ |
| E. (Less Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | ( $55,382,000$ ) | $(\$ 5,203,000)$ | ( $\$ 7,457,000)$ | ( $56,861,000)$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | \$46,821,000 | \$45,265,000 | \$64,871,000 | \$59,693,000 |
|  | ( $533,137,000$ ) | ( $533,137,000$ ) | ( $547,439,000$ ) | (\$45,754,000) |
| H. Residual Land Value | \$13,684,000 | \$12,128,000 | \$17,432,000 | \$13,939,000 |
| Per Unit | \$138,000 | \$123,000 | \$130,000 | \$110,000 |
| Per SF | \$95 | \$84 | \$121 | \$97 |


| cked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| \$4,548,000 | \$4,414,000 | \$4,280,000 | \$4,458,000 | \$4,336,000 | \$4,212,000 |
| \$201,000 | \$201,000 | \$201,000 | \$201,000 | \$201,000 | \$201,000 |
| \$4,749,000 | \$4,615,000 | \$4,481,000 | \$4,659,000 | \$4,537,000 | \$4,413,000 |
| (\$237,000) | ( $\$ 231,000)$ | (\$224,000) | ( $\$ 233,000)$ | (\$227,000) | ( 5221,000$)$ |
| \$4,512,000 | \$4,384,000 | \$4,257,000 | \$4,426,000 | \$4,310,000 | \$4,192,000 |
| $(\$ 670,000)$ | $(\$ 670,000)$ | $(\$ 670000)$ | \$670,000) | $(\$ 670,000)$ | $(\$ 670,000)$ |
| (\$742,000) | (\$717,000) | (\$693,000) | (\$726,000) | (\$703,000) | ( $\$ 680,000)$ |
| ( $\$ 34,000)$ | ( 534,000$)$ | ( $\$ 34,000)$ | ( 534,000$)$ | ( 534,000$)$ | ( $\$ 34,000)$ |
| \$3,066,000 | \$2,963,000 | \$2,860,000 | \$2,996,000 | \$2,903,000 | \$2,808,000 |


| III. Residual Land Value - High Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income | \$2,287,250 | \$2,211,250 | \$3,169,000 | \$2,916,000 |
| B. Capitalization RateC. Capitaized Value Upon Completion | - $4.75 \%$ | ---4.75\% | - $4.75 \%$ ] | -----------7.75\% |
|  | \$48,153,000 | \$46,553,000 | \$66,716,000 | \$61,389,000 |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value | ( $\$ 1,445,000)$ | (\$1,397,000) | ( $\$ 2,001,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,842,000)$ |
| E. (Less Developer Profit 10.0\% of Value | $(\$ 4,815,000)$ | ( $54,655,000$ ) | ( $56,672,000$ ) | $(\$ 6,139,000)$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 41,893,000 \\ \text { (433 13, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 40,501,000 \\ (\$ 33,137,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 58,043,000 \\ \$ 4743000 \end{gathered}$ | \$53,408,000 <br> (\$45,754,000) |
| H. Residual Land Value | \$8,756,000 | \$7,364,000 | \$10,604,000 | \$7,654,000 |
| Per UnitPer SF | \$88,000 | \$74,000 | \$79,000 | \$60,000 |
|  | \$61 | \$51 | \$74 | \$53 |


| $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,066,000 \\ \hdashline \mathbf{4} 4,547,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,963,000 \\ 4.75 \% \\ \$ 62,379,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,860,000 \\ \$ 40,-711,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,996,000 \\ \$ 63,-74,-700 ; \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,903,000 \\ \$ 61,-716,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,808,000 \\ \$ 59,716,0-00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $\$ 1,936,000$ ) ( $\$ 6,455,000$ ) | ( $\$ 1,871,000)$ ( $\$ 6,238,000$ ) | ( $\$ 1,806,000$ ) ( $\$ 6,021,000$ ) | ( $\$ 1,892,000$ ) ( $\$ 6,307,000$ ) | ( $\$ 1,833,000$ ) ( $\$ 6,112,000$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 1,773,000) \\ & (\$ 5,912,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 56,156,000 \\ (\$ 47,439,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 54,270,000 \\ (\$ 47,439,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 52,384,000 \\ (\$ 47,439,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 54,875,000 \\ (\$ 47,439,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 53,171,000 \\ (\$ 47,439,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 51,431,000 \\ (\$ 47,439,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,717,000 \\ \$ 65,000 \\ \$ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,831,000 \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \$ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,945,000 \\ \$ 37,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,436,000 \\ \$ 5,000 \\ \$ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,732,000 \\ \$ 43,000 \\ \$ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,992,000 \\ \$ 30,000 \\ \$ \$ 28 \end{array}$ |

[^8](1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes $\mathbf{4} .5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.

## ATTACHMENT 2.1

Baldwin and Sons Properties
Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking

|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Uprone Scenario with Density Bonus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 28 Units | 41 Units | 28 Units | 41 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.3\% Very Low | 15.0\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% |
| c. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.5 Units/Acre | 38.4 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 369 Units | 349 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces $\quad 2.0$ Spaces/Unit |  |  | 738 Spaces | 698 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline 245 \text { Units } & \frac{90 \%}{273 \text { Units }} \\ \hline 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 41 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 232 \text { Units } & \frac{85 \%}{273} \text { Units } \\ \hline 100 \% \end{array}$ | 28 Units $8 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 341 Units $\frac{92 \%}{36}$ <br> 369 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 41 \text { Units } & 12 \% \\ \hline 308 \text { Units } & 88 \% \\ \hline 349 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low @ $\$ 836 /$ Month <br> Low @ $\$ 1,022 /$ Month <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 281,000 \\ & \$ 281,000 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 503,000$ $\$ 503,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 281,000 \\ & \$ 281,000 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 \$503,000 $\$ 503,000$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Rent/SF Rent/Unit Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / S F \\ \$ 3,081 / \text { MManth } \\ \$ 9,057,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { /F } \\ \$ 3,081 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 8,577,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 12,606,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 11,386,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,338,000 \\ & \$ 34,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,080,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,887,000 \\ \$ 35,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 11,889,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{gathered}$ |

Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking

| Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres |
| 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units |
| 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| 41 Units | 55 Units | 69 Units | 55 Units | 69 Units | 82 Units |
| 15.0\% Very Low | 20.1\% Very Low | 25.3\% Very Low | 20.1\% Low | 25.3\% Low | 30.0\% Low |
| 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre |
| 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units |
| 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces |
|   <br> 1 Units $11 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 328 Units $89 \%$ <br> 369 Units $100 \%$ | 55 Units $15 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 314 Units $85 \%$ <br> 369 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 69 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 300 \text { Units } & \frac{81 \%}{369 \text { Units }} \\ \hline 300 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 55 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ \hline 314 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 69 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 300 \text { Units } & 81 \% \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 82 \text { Units } & 22 \% \\ \underline{287} \text { Units } & \underline{78 \%} \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 411,000 \\ & \$ 411,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 552,000 \\ & \$ 552,000 \\ & \$ \mathbf{S 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 692,000 \\ & \$ 692,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 675,000 \\ \hline \$ 675,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 846,000 \\ \hline \$ 846,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,006,000 \\ \$ 1,006,000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 12,126,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 11,608,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 11,091,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 11,608,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,081 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 11,091,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,81 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 10,610,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,537,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,160,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,783,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,283,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,937,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,616,000 \\ \$ 31,000 \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

TABLE 2.12
TIMATE Of fevelopment costs

| CIIV OF ENCNINTAS |
| :--- |


| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) (1) Number of Units Parking | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | @ Very Low |  | 15\% © Low |  | 15\%@ Very Low |  | 20\%@ very Low |  | 25\%@ Very low |  | 20\% © Low |  | 25\% © Low |  | 30\% © Low |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking | \$10,920,000 | \$20,000 /space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,000/space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,000/space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$18,450,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,00 /space | \$18,45,000 | \$25,000 /space |
| Shell Construction | \$44,975,00 | \$175 /5FG8A | \$664,195,000 | \$185 /5F 68A | \$60,85,000 | \$185/5F G8A | \$64,195,000 | \$185/5F 68A | \$66,195,00 | \$185/S5 GBA | \$664,195,000 | \$185 /SE GBA | \$66,19, 000 | 5185 /SF GBA | \$64,195,00 | \$185/SF G8A | \$64,195,000 | \$185//5 Gba |
| Other/Contingency | \$6,184000 | 10\% of Directs | \$8885,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$8,526,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$8,859,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$8,859,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$8,859,000 | 10.\% of dieets | \$8889,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$8859,000 | 10.0\% of Direets | \$8,859,000 | 10.0\% of Diects |
| Total Diect costs | \$68,025,00 | S265 /SF GBA | 597,45,000 | S281 /SF GBA | \$993,88,000 | S285 /SF GBA | 597,450,000 | \$281 /SF Gba | 597,450,000 | S281 /SF Gba | 597,450,000 | 5281 /SF GBA | 597,45,000 | S281 /SF GBA | 597,450,000 | 5281 /SF GBA | 597,45,000 | \$281 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$249,000 |  | \$266,000 |  | \$269,000 |  | \$264,000 |  | \$264,000 |  | \$264,000 |  | \$264,000 |  | \$264,000 |  | \$264,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permis \& fees ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$5,46,000 | \$20,000 Unit | \$7,38,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | 56,98,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$7,38,000 | \$20,000 /SF 6BA | \$7,38,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$7,38,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$7,380,00 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$7,38,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$7,380,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer fee | \$2,721,000 | 4\% offirects | \$3,88,000 | 4.\%\% of diects | \$3,75,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$3,88,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$3,88,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$3,88,000 | 4.0\% of Diects | \$3,88,000 | 4.\%\% of diects | \$3,88,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$3,988,000 | 4.0\% of Diects |
| other Indirects | 510,204000 | 15\% of Diects | \$14,618,000 | 15.0\% of directs | 514,068,000 | 15.0\% of diects | \$14,618,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$14,618,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | S14,618,000 | 15.\% of Dieets | \$14,618,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$14,618,00 | 15.0\% of Diects | 514,618,000 | 15.0\% of Diects |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$18,385,000 | 27\% of Diects | \$25,896,000 | $26.6 \%$ of diects | \$24,799,000 | $26.4 \%$ of diects | \$25,896,000 | $26.6 \%$ of diects | \$25,86,000 | $26.6 \%$ of Diects | \$25,896,000 | $26.6 \%$ of directs | \$25,89,000 | $26.6 \%$ of Directs | \$25,896,000 | $26.6 \%$ of diects | \$25,896,000 | 26.6\% of |
| c. Financing Costs | \$5,102,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$7,39,000 | 7.5\% of directs | 57,34,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$7,30,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$7,39,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$7,30,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 57,309,000 | 7.5\% of direas | \$7,30,000 | 7.5\% of Dif | \$7,30,000 | 7.5\% of Dire |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D. Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 91,512,000 \\ \$ 335,000 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{5356} / 5$ F GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \end{array}$ | \$377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 125,620,000 \\ \$ 360,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$382 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{5377} /$ /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354.000 \end{array}$ | S377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \end{array}$ | \$377 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,655,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$377 /SF GBA |



| III. Residual Land Value - High Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income | \$6,301,750 | \$6,103,750 | \$8,720,000 | \$8,018,000 |
| B. Capitalization Rate | - $4.75 \%$ ] | --7.75\% | 4.75\% | 4.75\% |
| c. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | \$132,668,000 | \$128,50,000 | \$183,579,000 | \$168,80,000 |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $\quad 3.0 \%$ of Value | $(\$ 3,980,000)$ | ( $53,855,000)$ | ( $\$ 5,507,000)$ | $(\$ 5,064,000)$ |
| E. (Less Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | (\$13,267,000) | $(\$ 12,850,000)$ | ( $\$ 18,358,000)$ | ( $\$ 16,880,000$ ) |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | \$115,421,000 |  | \$159,714,000 | \$146,856,000 |
|  | (\$91,512,000) | (\$91,512,000) | ( $\$ 130,655,000)$ | ( $\$ 125,620,000)$ |
| H. Residual Land Value | \$23,909,000 | \$20,283,000 | \$29,059,000 | \$21,236,000 |
| Per Unit | \$88,000 | \$74,000 | \$79,000 | \$61,000 |
| Per SF | \$60 | \$51 | \$73 | \$54 |


| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,452,000 \\ \$ 477,937,000 \\ \$ 4 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,258,000 \\ \$ 173,853,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,993,000 \\ {\left[\begin{array}{c} 4.75 \% \\ \$ 168,274,000 \end{array}\right.} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,747,000 \\ \$ 4753,095,000 \\ \$ 163,095,000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 5,338,000) \\ (\$ 17,794,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 5,156,000) \\ (\$ 17,187,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 4,974,000) \\ (\$ 16,579,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 5,216,000) \\ (\$ 17,385,000) \end{array}$ | ( $55,048,000)$ <br> ( $\$ 16,827,000)$ | ( $\$ 4,893,000)$ ( $\$ 16,310,000$ ) |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 154,805,000 \\ (\$ 130,655,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 199,531,000 \\ (\$ 130,655,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 144,236,000 \\ (\$ 130,655,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 151,252,000 \\ & (\$ 130,655,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 146,399,000 \\ (\$ 130,655,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 141,892,000 \\ (\$ 130,655,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,150,000 \\ \$ 65,000 \\ \$ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,87,000 \\ \$ 51,000 \\ \$ 48 \end{array}$ | $\$ 13,581,000$ $\$ 37,000$ $\$ 34$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,597,000 \\ \$ 56,000 \\ \$ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,744,000 \\ \$ 43,000 \\ \$ 40 \end{array}$ | $\$ 11,237,000$ $\$ 30,000$ $\$ 28$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 41,457,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| :--- | ---: |

(1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes $\mathbf{a} .5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.

## ATTACHMENT 2.J

## Jackel Property <br> Stacked Flats with Tuck-Under/Podium Parking

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area (Residential) | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Unit/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 4 Units | 6 Units | 4 Units | 6 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.3\% Very Low | 15.4\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% |
| c. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.4 Units/Acre | 38.1 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 53 Units | 50 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces 2.0 Spaces/Unit |  |  | 106 Spaces | 100 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 4 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{35 \text { Units }}{} & 90 \% \\ \hline 39 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 6 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 33 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 39 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 4 \text { Units } & 8 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline \frac{49}{} \text { Units } & \underline{92 \%} \\ \hline 53 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 6 \text { UUits } & 12 \% \\ \frac{44 \text { Units }}{} & 88 \% \\ \hline 50 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low @ $\$ 836 /$ Month <br> Low @ $\$ 1,022 /$ Month <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,000 \\ & \$ 40,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 74,000 \\ \$ 74,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 40,000 \\ \$ 40,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 74,000 \\ \$ 74,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Rent/SF Rent/Unit Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 \text { /Month } \\ \$ 1,294,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { SF } \\ \$ 3,080 \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,220,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,811,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 \text { /Month } \\ \$ 1,626,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,334,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,294,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,851,000 \\ \$ 35,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,700,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{gathered}$ |


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit. (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Upzone Scenariowithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density sonus |  |  |  | Verv Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very low |  | 15\% © Low |  | 15\% @ Very Low |  | 20\% © Very Low |  | 25\% © very low |  | 20\%\% © Low |  | 25\% © Low |  | 30\% © Low |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 800 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 39 \text { Fuits } \\ & 30 \text { Rooms } \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.0 Acres <br> 800 SF <br> 53 Units <br> 30 Rooms |  | 3.0 Acres 800 SF 50 Units 30 Rooms |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 Acres |  |  |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  |
| Average Unit Size |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ 800 \mathrm{sF} \\ 53 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { sf } \\ 53 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | 800 SF 53 Units |  | 800 SF 53 Units |  | $800 \mathrm{SF}$$53 \text { Units }$ |  |
| Number of Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Rooms |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{50}^{53}{ }_{30}$ nooms |  | 30 Rooms |  | 30 Ro |  | 30 Rooms |  | ${ }^{30}$ Rooms |  |
| Gross Suiliding Area (GBA) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,000 5 ${ }^{12,000} 5 \mathrm{sf}$ $\frac{5,000}{72,250} \mathrm{sF}$ |  | 50,000 sf <br>  $\frac{5.000}{5 R}$ 72,250 sF |  |  |  | 50,000 SF$5,000 \mathrm{sF}$ <br> $72,25 \mathrm{sF}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Hotel }}}_{\text {Residential (1) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50,000 SF <br> 17,250 SF <br> 5,000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commerial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking  <br> Surface 88 Spaces <br> Tuck-Under/Podium $\underline{78}$ Spaces <br> Total Spaces 166 Spaces |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \text { Spaces } \\ & \frac{1065}{104 \text { Spaces }} \text { Spaces } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \text { Spacas } \\ & \frac{10}{100} \text { Spaces } \\ & 188 \text { Spaces } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Spaces |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}88 \text { Spaces } \\ \frac{106}{194} \text { Spaces } \\ \hline \text { Spaces }\end{array}$ |  | 88 Spaces$\frac{106}{194}$ SpacesSpaces |  |  |  | 88 Spaces$\frac{106}{194}$ SpacesSpaces |  |
| I. Develomment Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direet Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ste Improvenents 2 ) | \$1,941,000 | S15 /SFs site area | \$1,941,000 | S15 /sf Stie Area | \$1,94,000 | S15 /sf Site Area | \$1,941,000 | S15 /sf Stie Area | \$1,941,000 | S15 /sf Site Area | \$1,941,000 | S15 /sf Stie Area | \$1,941,000 | S15 /ss Site A | \$1,941,000 | S15 /ss Stie A | \$1,941,000 | \$15 /sf Stie Area |
| Parking Tuck-Under/Podium | \$1,56,000 | \$20,000 /Space | \$2,650,000 | \$25,000 /Space | \$2,500,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$2,65,000 | \$25,000/space | \$2,65,000 | \$25,000/Space | \$2,55,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$2,550,00 | \$25,000/space | \$2,550,00 | \$25,000 /Space | \$2,65,000 | \$25,00 /Space |
| Shell construction - Residential | \$6,775,00 | S175 /SFGBA | \$9,250,00 | S185 /fF Gba | \$8,99,000 | S185/ /FF GBA | \$9,25,000 | \$185/ /F GBA | \$9,250,00 | \$185/ /SF Gba | \$9,25,000 | S185/ /f: Gba | \$9,25,000 | S185/ /f: gba | \$9,25,000 | \$185 /SF GBA | \$9,250,000 | \$185/ /F Gba |
| Shell Construction - Hotel | \$3,62,000 | S220 /sF gba | \$3,623,000 | \$210 /sF gba | \$3,23,000 | S210 /ff GBA | \$3,62,000 | S210 /sF gba | \$3,62,000 | S210 /SFGba | \$3,623,000 | S220 /sF GBA | \$3,62,000 | 5210 /SEGBA | \$3,63,000 | S210 /SFGBA | \$3,623,000 | 5210 /ff Gba |
| Shell Constrution - Commercial | \$800,000 | S160 ISF GBA | \$880,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$880,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 ISE GBA | \$880,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA |
| Tenant mprovements | \$250,000 | \$50 /fF GBa | \$250,000 | \$50 /ff 6BA | \$250,000 | \$50 /fF GBA | \$250,000 | S50 /SFGBA | \$250,000 | S50 /SFGbA | \$250,000 | \$50 /SF GBa | \$250,000 | S50 /SFGBA | \$250,000 | \$50 /SFGBA | \$250,000 | \$50 /SFGBA |
| frge | \$750,000 | \$25,000 /Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000 /Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000 /Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$550,000 | \$25,000 /Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$750,000 | \$25,00 /Room | \$550,000 | \$25,00 /Room |
| Amenities | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room | \$150,000 | 55,00/ /Room | \$150,000 | \$5,000/Room | \$155,000 | \$5,000/Room | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room | \$150,000 | \$5,000/Room |
| Other/Contingency | SL,555,000 | 10\% of Directs | S1,941,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,871,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,94,000 | 10.0\% of Diects | S1,941,000 | 10.0\% of Diects | S1,941,000 | 10.0\% of directs | S1,941,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$1,941,000 | 10.0\% of Diects | [1,94, ,000 | 10.0\% of Diects |
| Total Direct costs | \$17,104,000 | S289 /SF GBA | \$21,355,000 | \$296/SF GBA | \$20,580,000 | S297 /SF GBA | \$21,355,000 | $5296 /$ /F GBA | \$22,35,000 | S296/ /SF GBA | \$21,355,000 | S296 /SF G8A | \$21,355,000 | \$296/ /SF GBA | \$22,35,000 | 5296 /SE GBA | \$21,355,00 | 5296 /SE GBA |
| Per Unit | \$433,000 |  | \$403,000 |  | \$412,000 |  | \$403,000 |  | \$403,00 |  | \$403,000 |  | \$403,000 |  | \$403,000 |  | \$403,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees-Residential 2 ) | 5780,000 | \$20,000 Unit | \$1,060,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,000,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,060,000 | \$20,000 /SF 68A | \$1,060,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,06,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,06,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,060,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,06,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Permits 8 fees - Hotel/comm. 21 | 5223,000 | s10 /SF | \$223,00 | \$10/sF | \$223,000 | \$10/sF | \$22,000 | \$10/sF | \$22,000 | \$10/sF | \$223,000 | \$10/sF | \$223,000 | \$10 /sF | \$223,000 | \$10/sF | \$22,000 | \$10 /SF |
| Developer Fee | \$684,000 | 4\% of firects | \$854,000 | 4.\%\% of diects | \$883,000 | 4.\%\% of Directs | \$854,000 | 4.0\% of diects | \$854,000 | 4.\% of directs | \$884,000 | 4.\%\% of diects | \$884,000 | 4.\%\% of Dieects | \$854,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$854,000 | 4.\% of diects |
| Other Indirects | \$2566,000 | 15\% of Divects | \$ 53203,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$3,87,000 | 15.0\% of Dieats | \$3,23,000 | 15.0\% of Diects | \$3,203,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$3,20,300 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,203,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$3,23,000 | 15.0\% of Dieets | 53,203,000 | 15.\% of diects |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$4,25,300 | 25\% of Directs | \$5,340,000 | 25.\%\% of direts | \$5,13, 000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,340,000 | 25.\%\% of diects | \$5,340,000 | 25.0\% of Directs | \$5,30,000 | 25.0\% of Directs | \$5,30,000 | $25.0 \%$ of Directs | \$5,34,000 | 25.0\% of directs | \$5,340,000 | 25.\%\% of diects |
| c. Financing costs | \$1,28,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,602,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 51,544,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,62,000 | 7.5\% of irects | 51,602,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$1,60,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,62,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$1, 020,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | 51, 0202,00 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{gathered}\text { Total Development Costs } \\ \text { Per Unit }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,640,000 \\ \$ 581,000 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{5882} /$ /SE GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | S392/ /SE GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,257,000 \\ \$ 545,000 \end{array}$ | \$394/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | $5392 /$ /5 6BA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | S392/ /SE GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | \$392 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | 5392 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | S392 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,297,000 \\ \$ 534,000 \end{array}$ | \$392 /SF GBA |



| ked Flats with Tuck-un | Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| $15 \% @$ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $25 \%$ @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20\% @ Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| \$1,797,000 | \$1,743,000 | \$1,689,000 | \$1,761,000 | \$1,712,000 | \$1,662,000 |
| \$80,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 |
| \$1,877,000 | \$1,823,000 | \$1,769,000 | \$1,841,000 | \$1,792,000 | \$1,742,000 |
| ( 594,000$)$ | (591,000) | ( 588,000 ) | (592,000) | ( 590,000 ) | ( 587,000$)$ |
| \$1,783,000 | \$1,732,000 | \$1,681,000 | \$1,749,000 | \$1,702,000 | \$1,655,000 |
| ( $\$ 265,000)$ | ( $\$ 265,000)$ | ( $\$ 265,000)$ | ( $\$ 265,000)$ | ( $\$ 265,000)$ | ( $\$ 265,000)$ |
| (\$293,000) | ( $\$ 283,000)$ | (\$273,000) | ( $\$ 287,000)$ | (\$278,000) | ( $\$ 268,000)$ |
| (\$13,000) | (\$13,000) | (\$13,000) | ( $\$ 13,000)$ | (\$13,000) | (\$13,000) |
| \$1,212,000 | \$1,171,000 | \$1,130,000 | \$1,184,000 | \$1,146,000 | \$1,109,000 |


| II. Net Sales Proceeds - Residential - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income | \$900,000 | \$870,000 | \$1,253,000 | \$1,146,000 |
| B. Capitalization Rate | 4.25\% | 4.25\%; | -4.25\%; | 4.25\% |
| C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | \$21,176,000 | \$20,471,000 | \$29,482,000 | \$26,965,000 |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $\quad 3.0 \%$ of Value | ( 5635,000$)$ | (\$614,000) | ( 5884,000$)$ | ( $\$ 80990000$ |
| E. (Less Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | ( $\$ 2,118,000)$ | $(\$ 2,047,000)$ | $(\$ 2,948,000)$ | $(\$ 2,697,000)$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds | \$18,423,000 | \$17,810,000 | \$25,650,000 | \$23,459,000 |


| $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,212,000 \\ -\frac{425 \%}{-25 \%} \\ -\$ 28,518,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { S }}{\text { S1,171,000 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 856,000) \\ (\$ 2,852,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 827,000) \\ (\$ 2,755,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 798,000) \\ (\$ 2,659,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 836,000) \\ (\$ 2,786,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 809,000) \\ (\$ 2,697,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 783,000) \\ (\$ 2,609,000) \end{array}$ |
| \$24,810,000 | \$23,971,000 | \$23,131,000 | \$24,237,000 | \$23,459,000 | \$22,702,000 |


| III. Net Sales Proceeds - Residential - High Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion |  | \$900,000 | \$870,000 | \$1,253,000 | \$1,146,000 |
|  |  | - $4.75 \%$ | - $4.75 \%$ | - $4.75 \%$ | - 4.75\% |
|  |  | \$18,947,000 | \$18,316,000 | \$26,379,000 | \$24,126,000 |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale | 3.0\% of Value | ( 5568,000 ) | ( 5449,000 ) | (\$791,000) | (\$724,000) |
| E. (Less Developer Profit | 10.0\% of Value | $(\$ 1,895,000)$ | $(\$ 1,832,000)$ | $(\$ 2,638,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,413,000)$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds |  | \$16,484,000 | \$15,935,000 | \$22,950,000 | \$20,989,000 |


| $\frac{\$ 1,212,000}{4,-55 \%}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,184,000 \\ \hdashline-\frac{4.75 \%}{}, 24,926,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,146,000 \\ -24,-75 \% \\ \$ 26,000 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 765,000) \\ (\$ 2,552,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 740,000) \\ (\$ 2,465,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 714,000) \\ (\$ 2,379,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 748,000) \\ (\$ 2,493,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 724,000) \\ (\$ 2,413,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 700,000) \\ (\$ 2,335,000) \end{array}$ |
| \$22,199,000 | \$21,448,000 | \$20,696,000 | \$21,685,000 | \$20,989,000 | \$20,312,000 |

[^9]|  |  |  |  | D | acked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Uprone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| IV. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Room Revenue | Rooms | Rooms | 30 Rooms | $\begin{array}{r}30 \text { Rooms } \\ \$ 200 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms |
| Average Daily Rate (ADR) | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 |  | \$200$82.0 \%$ | 5200$82.0 \%$ | \$200 | \$200 | 5200$82.0 \%$ | \$200$82.0 \%$ |
| Annual Room Revenue - Hotel | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 |
| B. Food \& Beverage  <br> C. Other Operated Departments Revenue $10 \%$ <br> $5.0 \%$  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 90,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 90,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 90,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 90,000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 180,000$$\$ 90,000$$\$ 133000$ | $\$ 180,000$$\$ 90,000$ | $\$ 1880,000$$\$ 90,000$$\$ 135,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ \$ 90,000 \end{array}$ | $\$ 180,000$$\$ 99000$$\$ 18500$ | $\$ 180,000$$\$ 90,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Effective Parking Revenue 38 Spaces $\quad \$ 300$ | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 |  | \$ $\$ 2,235,00000$ | \$ $\$ 2,215,000000$ |  | \$ $\$ 135,000$ | \$ $\$ 2,215,000000$ | \$ $\$ 1355,000$ |
| E. Effective Gross Income (EG1) | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 |  |  |  | \$ $\$ 1325,000$ |  |  |  |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses 65\% of EGI | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $51,431,000)$ |
| G. Net Operating Income (NOI) <br> H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Completion <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale 3.0\% of Value <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 770,000 \\ 7.00 \% \\ \$ 11,000,000 \\ (\$ 330,000) \\ (\$ 1,100,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 770,000$$7.00 \%$$\$ 11,000,000$$(\$ 330,000)$$(\$ 1,100,000)$ | $\$ 770,000$$7.00 \%$$\$ 11,000,000$$(\$ 330,000)$$(\$ 1,100,000)$ | $\$ 770,000$$\mathbf{7 . 0 0 \%}$$\$ 11,000,000$$(\$ 330,000)$$(\$ 1,100,000)$ | $\$ 770,000$$7.00 \%$$\$ 11,000,000$$\$ 330,000)$$(\$ 1,100,000)$ | $\$ 770,000$$\underline{7.00 \%}$$\$ 11,000,000$$(\$ 330,000)$$\$ 1,100,000)$ |  | $\$ 770,000$$\mathbf{7 . 0 0 \%}$$\$ 11,000,000$$(\$ 330,000)$$(\$ 1,100,000)$ | $\$ 770,000$$7.00 \%$$\$ 11,000,000$$\$ \$ 330,000)$$\$ 1,100,000)$ | $\$ 770,000$$7.00 \%$$\$ 11,000,000$$(\$ 330,000)$$\$ \$ 1,100,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L. Warranted Investment - Hotel | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,50,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 |
| V. Warranted Investment - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Commercial Revenu | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 5,000 SF } \\ \$ 4.00 / \text { SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 4.00 / \text { SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000 \text { SF } \\ \text { S4.00/SF NNN } \end{array}$ | 5,000 SF\$4.00/SF NNN | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5,000 \text { SF } \\ \text { \$4.00/SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 5,000 SF } \\ \text { S4.00/SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 4.00 / \text { SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 4.00 / \text { SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,000 \text { SF } \\ \text { S4.00/SF NNN } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 5,000 SF } \\ \text { S4.00/SF NNN } \end{array}$ |
| Monthly Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Revenue - Commercial | \$240,000 | \$240,000 |  $\$ 210,000$ <br> $10.0 \%$ $\$ 21,000)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 1 }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\$ 210,000 \\ \text { 10.0\% } \\ \hline\end{array} \$ 21,000\right)$ | 10.0\% $\begin{aligned} & \$ 210,000 \\ & (\$ 21,000)\end{aligned}$ |  $\$ 210,000$ <br> 10.0\% $(\$ 21,000)$ |  $\$ 210,000$ <br> 10.0\%  | $\begin{array}{ll} \\ & \$ 210,000 \\ \text { 10.0\% } & \text { \$21,000) }\end{array}$ |  $\$ 210,000$ <br> 10.0\% $(\$ 21,000)$ |  <br> 10.0\% $\begin{aligned} & \$ 210,000 \\ & (\$ 21,000)\end{aligned}$ |
| (Less) Vacancy @ | 10.0\% ( $\$ 24,000)$ | 10.0\% (\$24,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Gross income - Commercial | \$216,000 | \$216,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 |
| B. (Less)Unreimbursed Expenses | 5.0\% (\$11,000) | 5.0\% (\$11,000) | 5.0\% ( 59,000 | 5.0\% ( 59,000 ) | 5.0\% ( 59,000 | 5.0\% ( 59,000 ) | 5.0\% (59,000) | 5.0\% (59,000) | 5.0\% ( 59,000 ) | 5.0\% ( 59,000 ) |
| C. Net Operating Income (NOI) <br> D. Capitalization Rate <br> E. Capitalized Value Upon Completion <br> F. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value <br> G. (Less) Developer Profit $\quad 10.0 \%$ of Value | 5205,000 <br> $6.5 \%$ <br> $\$ 3,154,000$ <br> $(\$ 95,000)$ <br> $(\$ 15,000)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 205,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 3,154,000 \\ (\$ 95,000) \\ (\$ 315,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ (\$ 27,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ (\$ 277,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 180,000$$6.5 \%$$\$ 2,769,000$$(\$ 87,000)$$\$ \$ 27,000)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ (\$ 27,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ (\$ 277,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 180,000 \\ 6.5 \% \\ \$ 2,769,000 \\ (\$ 83,000) \\ (\$ 277,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Warranted Investment-Commercial | \$2,744,000 | \$2,744,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 |

## ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE

proposed very low and low income
CITY OF ENCIIITAS

|  | A | B | c | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds - Residential <br> B. Warranted Investment - Hotel <br> C. Warranted Investment - Commercial <br> D. Total Warranted Investment | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,423,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \\ \$ 30,737,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,810,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \\ \$ 30,124,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25,650,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 37,629,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,459,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 35,438,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,810,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 36,789,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,971,000 \\ \$, 570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 35,950,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,131,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 35,110,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,237,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 36,216,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,459,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 35,438,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,702,000 \\ \$, 570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 34,681,000 \end{array}$ |
| E. (Less) Development Costs | ( $\$ 22,640,000)$ | ( $\$ 22,640,000)$ | (\$28,297,000) | (\$27,257,000) | (\$28,297,000) | (\$28,297,000) | (\$28,297,000) | ( $\$ 28,297,000)$ | (\$28,297,000) | ( $\$ 28,297,000)$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | \$8,097,000 \$208,000 \$63 | \$7,484,000 \$192,000 \$58 | \$9,332,000 \$176,000 \$72 | \$8,181,000 \$164,000 \$63 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,492,000 \\ \$ 160,000 \\ \$ 66 \end{array}$ | \$7,653,000 \$144,000 \$59 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,813,000 \\ \$ 129,000 \\ \$ 53 \end{array}$ | \$7,919,000 \$149,000 \$61 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,141,000 \\ \$ 135,000 \\ \$ 55 \end{array}$ | $\$ 6,384,000$ $\$ 120,000$ \$49 |
| II. Residual Land Value - High Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds - Residential <br> B. Warranted Investment - Hotel <br> C. Warranted Investment - Commercial <br> D. Total Warranted Investment | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 16,484,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \\ \$ 28,798,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,935,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \\ \$ 28,249,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,950,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 34,929,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,989,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 32,968,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,199,000 \\ \$, 570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 34,178,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,448,000 \\ \$, 570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 33,427,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,696,000 \\ \$, 570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 32,675,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,685,000 \\ \$ 9,500000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 33,664,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,989,000 \\ \$, 570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 32,968,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,312,000 \\ \$ 9,570,000 \\ \$ 2,409,000 \\ \$ 32,291,000 \end{array}$ |
| G. (Less) Development Costs | (\$22,640,000) | (\$22,640,000) | ( $\$ 28,297,000)$ | ( $\$ 27,257,000)$ | (\$28,297,000) | (\$28,297,000) | (\$28,297,000) | (\$28,297,000) | (\$28,297,000) | ( $\$ 28,297,000)$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,158,000 \\ \$ 158,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,609,000 \\ \$ 144,000 \\ \$ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,632,000 \\ \$ 125,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,711,000 \\ \$ 114,000 \\ \$ 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,881,000 \\ \$ 111,000 \\ \$ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,130,000 \\ \$ 97,000 \\ \$ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,378,000 \\ \$ 83,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,367,000 \\ \$ 101,000 \\ \$ 41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,671,000 \\ \$ 88,000 \\ \$ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,994,000 \\ \$ 75,000 \\ \$ 31 \end{array}$ |

[^10]|  | Stacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25\% @ Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.4 Acres | 3.4 Acres | 3.4 Acres | 3.4 Acres | 3.4 Acres | 3.4 Acres |  | Acres | 3.4 Acres | 3.4 Acres |  | cres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Unit/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Unit/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Unit | its/Acre | 30.0 Unit/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Unit | /Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 101 Units | 101 Units | 101 Units | 101 Units | 101 Units | 101 Units | 101 | Units | 101 Units | 101 Units | 101 U |  |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Ver | ry Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% |  |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 11 Units | 16 Units | 11 Units | 16 Units | 16 Units | 21 Units |  | Units | 21 Units | 26 Units |  | Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.9\% Very Low | 15.8\% Low | 15.8\% Very Low | 20.8\% Very Low | 25.7\% V | very Low | 20.8\% Low | 25.7\% Low | 30.7\% | Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0 |  | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0 |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.4 Units/Acre | 38.1 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Unit | its/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Unit | /Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 137 Units | 129 Units | 137 Units | 137 Units | 137 | Units | 137 Units | 137 Units | 137 U |  |
| E. Parking Spaces $\quad 2.0$ Spaces/Unit |  |  | 274 Spaces | 258 Spaces | 274 Spaces | 274 Spaces | 274 Sp | paces | 274 Spaces | 274 Spaces | 274 Sp | aces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 11 \text { Units } & 11 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 90 \text { Units } & 89 \% \\ \hline 101 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cr\|} \hline 0 \text { U Units } & 0 \% \\ 16 \text { Units } & 16 \% \\ 85 \text { Units } & \frac{84 \%}{} \\ \hline 101 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 11 \text { Units } & 8 \% \\ 0 \text { UUits } & 0 \% \\ 126 \text { Units } & 92 \% \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 16 \text { Units } & 012 \% \\ \underline{113 \text { Units }} & \frac{88 \%}{129} \text { Units } \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 16 \text { Units } & 12 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 121 \text { Units } & 88 \% \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 21 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 116 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } & \frac{850 \%}{} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \text { Units } \\ 0 \text { Units } \\ 111 \text { Units } \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 81 \% \\ 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 21 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 116 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 26 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 111 \text { Units } & 81 \% \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \text { Units } \\ 31 \text { Units } \\ 106 \text { Units } \\ \hline 137 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \% \\ 23 \% \\ 77 \% \\ 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low @ $\$ 836 /$ Month <br> Low @ $\$ 1,022 /$ Month <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 110,000 \\ \$ 110,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$0 <br> $\$ 196000$ <br> $\$ 196,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 110,000 \\ \$ 110,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 196,000$ $\$ 196,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 161,000 \\ \$ 161,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 211,000 \\ & \$ 211,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 261,000 \\ & \$ 261,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 \$258,000 $\$ 258,000$ | \$0 <br> $\$ 319,000$ <br> $\$ 319,000$ |  | $\$ 0$ $\$ 380,000$ $\$ 880,000$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Rent/SF Rent/Unit Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{array}{cc} 800 & \text { SF } \\ \text { \$3.85 } & \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 & \text { Month } \\ \$ 3,326,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl} 800 & \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 & / \text { /F } \\ \$ 3,080 & \text { /Month } \\ \$ 3,141,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 4,657,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 4,176,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 \\ \$ 3,08 \mathrm{LF} \\ \$ \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 4,472,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \text { SF } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 4,287,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800 \\ \$ 33.85 \\ \$ 3,080 \end{array}$ | SF <br> /SF <br> /Month $\$ 4,103,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 4,287,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 4,103,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 800 \\ \$ 3,85 \\ \$ 3,080 \end{array}$ | SF Month $3,918,000$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,436,400 \\ \$ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,337,600 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,767,000 \\ \$ 35,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,372,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,633,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,498,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,364,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,545,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,422,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,298,000 \\ & \$ 31,000 \end{aligned}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.



| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 15,326,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 152,000$ |  |

(1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes a $4.5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.

|  |  |  |  | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 5 Units | 8 Units | 5 Units | 8 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.0\% Very Low | 16.0\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 32.5\% | 29.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.1 Units/Acre | 38.9 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 67 Units | 65 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces 2.0 Spaces/Unit |  |  | 134 Spaces | 130 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 5 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline 45 \text { Units } & 90 \% \\ \hline 50 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} o \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 8 \text { Units } & 16 \% \\ \frac{42}{} \text { Units } & 84 \% \\ \hline 50 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 5 \text { Units } & 7 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \underline{62 \text { Units }} & \underline{93 \%} \\ \hline 67 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 8 \text { Units } \\ 57 \text { Units } & \frac{88 \%}{68} \\ \hline 65 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Gross Rental Income |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000 \\ & \$ 50,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 98,00$ $\$ 98,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 50,000 \\ \$ 50,000 \\ \$ \mathbf{S O} \end{array}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 98,000$ $\$ 98,000$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Rent/SF Rent/Unit Total Anuual Rent | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 \quad \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,663,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cl} 800 & \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 & / S F \\ \$ 3,080 & \text { Month } \\ \$ 1,552,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 \\ \$ 3,080 \\ \$ \mathrm{lF} \\ \hline \text { M2,nth } \\ \$ 2,292,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 \text { /Month } \\ \$ 2,107,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,717,084 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,650,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,342,000 \\ \$ 35,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,205,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{array}$ |


| tacked Flats with Tuck-under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
| 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
| 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |  | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |  |
| 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |  | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |  |
| 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units |  | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units |  |
| 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low |  | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |  |
| 8 Units | 10 Units | 13 Units |  | 10 Units | 13 Units | 15 Units |  |
| 16.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 26.0\% Very Low |  | 20.0\% Low | 26.0\% Low | 30.0\% Low |  |
| 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |  | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |  |
| 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre |  | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre |  |
| 68 Units | 68 Units | 68 Units |  | 68 Units | 68 Units | 68 Units |  |
| 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces |  | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces |  |
| 8 Units $12 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 60 Units $88 \%$ <br> 68 Units $100 \%$ | 10 Units $15 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 58 Units $85 \%$ <br> 68 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { Units } \\ 0 \text { Units } \\ 55 \text { Units } \\ \hline 68 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 0 \% \\ \text { 81\% } \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 10 Units $15 \%$ <br> 58 Units $85 \%$ <br> 68 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 13 Units $19 \%$ <br> 55 Units $81 \%$ <br> 68 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units <br> 15 Units <br> 53 Units <br> 68 Units | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ \text { 22\% } \\ \text { 78\% } \\ \hline 700 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80,000 \\ & \$ 80,000 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 100,000 \\ \$ 100,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 130,000 \\ \$ 130,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 123,000 \\ \$ 123,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 159,000 \\ \$ 159,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 184,000 \\ \$ 184,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 2,218,000 \end{gathered}$ | 800 SF \$3.85 /SF \$3,080 /Month $\$ 2,144,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \text { SF } \\ \$ 3.85 \text { /SF } \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 2,033,000 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \text { Month } \\ \$ 2,144,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 2,033,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3.85 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 3,080 / \mathrm{Month} \\ \$ 1,959,000 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,298,000 \\ \$ 34,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,244,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,163,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,267,000 \\ \$ 33,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,192,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,143,000 \\ \$ 32,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit. (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number .
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Vacked flat with Tuck under/Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  | very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\%@ Very Low |  | 15\% © Low |  | 15\%@ Very Low |  | 20\%@ Very low |  | 25\%@ very Low |  | 20\% © Low |  | 25\%\% Low |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {Low }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ste Improvements 21 | \$1,091,000 | S15 /SF Stit Area | \$1,091,000 | /SF Site Area | \$1,091,000 | \$15 /SF Stie Area | \$1,091,000 | S15 /SF Site Area | \$1,091,000 | S15 /sF Stit area | \$1,091,000 | S15 /SE Siteare | \$1,01,000 | S15 /SF Stie area | \$1,01,000 | S15 /sF St | \$1,01,000 | $5 / 55$ Site Area |
| Parking | \$2,000,00 | \$20,000 /space | \$3,350,000 | \$25,000 /Space | \$3,250,00 | \$25,000 /Space | \$3,400,000 | \$25,000 /Space | \$3,400,000 | \$25,000/5space | \$3,40,000 | \$25,000/5sace | \$3,400,000 | \$25,000/5sace | \$3,40,000 | \$25,000 /Space | \$3,400,00 | \$25,000/Space |
| Shell construction | \$8,225,00 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$11,655,00 | \$185 /SF GBA | \$11,285,000 | \$185 /SF GBA | \$11,840,000 | S185/ /FF Gba | \$11,840,000 | \$185//5F 6BA | \$11,84,000 | \$185 /SE GBA | \$11,840,000 | \$185 /SE GBA | \$11,840,000 | \$185 /sF GBA | \$11,840,000 | \$185 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | SL132,000 | 10\% of Directs | \$1,61,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1.563,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$1,633,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$11,63,000 | 10.\% of diecets | \$11,63,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$1,633,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$1,633,00 | 10.0\% of Dire | \$1,63,000 | 10.0\% of Dires |
| Total Dirett costs | \$12,48, 000 | S265 /SF GBA | \$17,706,000 | \$281 /SF GBA | \$17,189,000 | S282 /SF GBA | \$17,964,00 | S281 /SF Gba | \$17,964,000 | S281 /SF GBA | \$17,964,000 | S281 /SF Gba | \$17,964,000 | S281 /SF GBA | \$17,96,00 | \$281 /SF GBA | \$17,964,00 | \$281 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | 5299,00 |  | S264,000 |  | \$264,000 |  | 564,00 |  | S264,000 |  | 5264,00 |  | 5264,00 |  | 5264,00 |  | \$264,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (2) | \$1,000,000 | \$20,000 Unit | \$1,340,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,300,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,360,000 | \$20,000 /SF 6BA | \$1,36,000 | \$2,000 /Unit | \$1,360,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,360,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,36,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,360,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer fee | \$498,000 | 4\% of Directs | \$708,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$688,00 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$719,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$79,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$719,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$719,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$719,00 | 4.\%\% of directs | \$719,000 | 4.\%\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,867,000 | 15\% of Diects | \$2,656,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$22578,000 | 15.\% of Direets | \$2,695,00 | 15.\% of Directs | \$2, 969,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$2,95, 000 | 15.0\% of directs | 52,95,000 | 15.\% of Directs | \$2,95,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | 52695,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,35,000 | 27\% of Directs | 54,704,000 | 26.6\% of directs | \$4,566,000 | 26.6\% of directs | \$4,774,000 | 26.6\% of Directs | \$4,774,000 | 26.6\% of directs | \$4,74,000 | 26.6\% of directs | \$4,74,000 | 26.6\% of directs | \$4,74,000 | 26.6\% of Directs | 54,74,000 | 26.6\% of Directs |
| C. Financing costs | 5934,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,38,000 | 7.5\% of Dire | \$1,28,000 | 7.5\% of D | \$1,37,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,37,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,347,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,347,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,37,000 | 7.5\% of direas | \$1,37,000 | 7.5\% of Dire |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16,747,000 \\ & \$ 335,000 \end{aligned}$ | $5356 /$ /FF GBA | $\begin{array}{\|c} 523,788,000 \\ 5354,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5377 /SF GBA | $\begin{aligned} & 523,044,0,00 \\ & 5355,000 \end{aligned}$ | 5378 /SF GBA | $\$ 24,085,000$ | \$376/SF GBA | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 8 5}, 000$ $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 4}, 000$ | $5376 /$ /F GBA | \$24,085,000 $\$ 354,000$ | \$376/SF GBA | $\mathbf{2 4 , 0 8 5}, 000$ $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 4}, 000$ | \$376//sF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,085,000 \\ \$ 354,000 \end{array}$ | \$376/SF GBA | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 0 8 5}, 000$ $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 4}, 000$ | \$376 /SF GBA |



[^11](1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes a $4.5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.

## APPENDIX 3

# HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE <br> "R-30" SITES TECHNICAL ANALYSIS 

## SCENARIO \#3

## Base Case: Existing Conditions

10\% @ Very Low - no Density Bonus<br>15\% @ Low - no Density Bonus<br>10\% @ Very Low - w/Density Bonus<br>15\% @ Low - w/Density Bonus

## Upzone Scenarios - Rental:

10\%, 15\%, 20\%, 25\% @ Very Low - w/ Density Bonus
15\%, 20\%, 25\%, 30\% @ Low - w/ Density Bonus

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Conditions |  | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 08 Rancho Santa Fe Parcels (Gaffney/Goodsen) <br> Existing Conditions (RR-2) - Single-Family | \$512,000 /Unit <br> \$33 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 487,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 30 & / S F \text { Site }\end{aligned}$ | --- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  |  | \$83,000 /Unit \$76 /SF Site | \$66,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$48,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 31,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 29 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\$ 63,000$ /Unit \$56 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 57,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 53 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$42,000 /Unit \$39 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 26,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 24 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| AD1 $\underset{\text { Exage Canyon }}{\text { Exiting Entitlements }}$ | \$347,000 /Unit \$24 /SF Site |  | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  |  | $\$ 83,000$ /Unit <br> \$77 /SF Site | \$65,000 /Unit \$61/SF Site | $\begin{gathered} \$ 47,000 \\ \$ 44 / \text { Unit } \\ \text { /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | \$30,000 /Unit \$28/SF Site | $\$ 65,000 \quad$ /Unit \$57 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$56,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 52 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 41,000 \quad$ /Unit <br> \$38/SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 25,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 23 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| AD2 Baldwin and Sons Properties Proposed Entitlements | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 289,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 33 / \text { SF Site }\end{aligned}$ |  | -- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 83,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 77 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$66,000 /Unit \$62 /SF Site | \$48,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$30,000 /Unit \$28 /SF Site | \$64,000 /Unit \$56 /SF Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$57,000 /Unit } \\ \$ 53 / \text { SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 41,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 38 & / S F \text { Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 27,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 25 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ |
| 7 Jackel Property <br> Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) | \$75 /SF Site |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 132,000 \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 54 / \text { /SF Site } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 114,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 47 / \text { /SF Site } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 96,000 \quad / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 39 \text { /SF Site } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 78,000 \\ & \$ 32 / \text { Unit } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$116,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 105,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 43 \text { /SF Site } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 89,000 \quad \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 37 / \text { /SF Site } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 73,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 30 & \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 Sunshine Garden Existing Conditions (OP) - Professional Office | \$56 /SF Site |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  |  | \$81,000 /Unit \$75 /SF Site | $\$ 64,000 /$ Unit $\$ 59 /$ SF Site | \$46,000 /Unit <br> \$43/SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 29,000 \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 27 / S 5 \text { Site } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 60,000 \\ \$ 53 / \text { /Unit } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 55,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 51 \text { /SF Site } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40,000 \quad / \text { Nnit } \\ \$ 37 / \text { SF Site } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000 \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 23 / \text { SF Site } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| AD11 Manchester Avenue West Existing Conditions (R-11) - Single-Family | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 143,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 49 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 122,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 40 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | -- | -- | -- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 77,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 71 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$63,000 /Unit \$59 /SF Site | \$49,000 /Unit \$46/SF Site | \$28,000 /Unit \$26 /SF Site | \$69,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 58,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 54 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$39,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 27,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 25 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ |

The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative to the Residual Land
Values generated by the Upzone Scenarios with increased affordable housing requirements. The following benchmarks were used: ${ }^{4}$ (4)
High Impact: greater than $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Exisiting Conditions (without Upzone); likely to provide an incentive to develop site
Medium Impact: $15 \%$ - $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may raise concerns regarding development of s site
Low Impact: less than $15 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may result in little to no incentive to develop site
(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing
requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated without Upzone (Existing Conditions) vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the Upzone Scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) Reflects residual land value for 10 market-rate units. No affordable units. No density bonus.
(3) GBA $=$ Gross Building Area
(4) For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.
Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates,
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> Rancho Santa Fe Sites (Gaffney/Goodsen) Single-Family Detached - Large Lot
> Zone RR-2

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| c. Number of Units (1) | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units | 12 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 2 Unit | 2 Units | 2 Units | 2 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 16.7\% Very Low | 16.7\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 29.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 2.8 Units/Acre | 2.7 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 17 Units | 16 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 \text { Units } & 17 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 10 \text { Units } & \frac{83 \%}{10} \\ \hline 12 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 2 \text { Units } & 17 \% \\ 10 \text { Units } & \underline{83 \%} \\ \hline 12 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | 2 Units $12 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 15 Units $88 \%$ <br> 17 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 2 Units $13 \%$ <br> 14 Units $88 \%$ <br> 16 Units <br> $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 186,000 \\ \underline{\$ 0} \\ \$ 186,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 356,000 \\ \$ 356,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 186,000 \\ \$ 180 \\ \$ 186,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 356,000 \\ \$ 356,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 5,020 \text { SF } \\ \$ 475 \\ \$ 2,385,000 \\ \$ 23,850,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,020 \text { SF } \\ \$ 475 \\ \$ 2,385,000 \\ \$ 23,850,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,500 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 2,250,000 \\ \$ 33,750,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,500 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 2,250,000 \\ \$ 31,500,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,036,000 \\ \$ 2,003,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,206,000 \\ \$ 2,017,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,936,000 \\ & \$ 1,996,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 31,856,000 \\ \$ 1,991,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the nex

## ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS


[^12]Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#3_v4;12/2/2019;jjx

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A B |  | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 24,036,000 \\ & (\$ 1,082,000) \\ & \$ 22,954,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 24,206,000 \\ & (\$ 1,089,000) \\ & \$ 23,117,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,936,000 \\ & (\$ 1,527,000) \\ & \$ 32,409,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 31,856,000 \\ & (\$ 1,434,000) \\ & \$ 30,422,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ $10.0 \%$ of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,954,000 \\ (\$ 2,404,000) \\ \$ 20,550,000 \\ (\$ 16,280,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,117,000 \\ (\$ 2,421,000) \\ \$ 20,696,000 \\ (\$ 16,280,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 32,409,000 \\ (\$ 3,394,000) \\ \$ 29,015,000 \\ (\$ 20,311,500) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,422,000 \\ (\$ 3,186,000) \\ \hline \$ 27,236,000 \\ (\$ 19,438,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,270,000 \\ \$ 356,000 \\ \$ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,416,000 \\ \$ 368,000 \\ \$ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,703,500 \\ \$ 512,000 \\ \$ 33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,798,000 \\ \$ 487,000 \\ \$ 30 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 8,190,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 683,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 31$ |

[^13]
## ATTACHMENT 3.B

Sage Canyon

Zone R-3

|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |
|  | 100\% Market-Rate |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.3 Acres |
| B. Density | 3.0 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 10 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 0\% Very Low |
| E. Affordable Units | 0 Unit |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |
| B. Density Bonus |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus |  |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 10 Units $\underline{100 \%}$ <br> 10 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> $\quad$ Very Low $\$ 89,000$ <br> Low $\$ 169,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 3,250 S F \\ \$ 4775 \\ \$ 1,544,000 \\ \$ 15,440,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,440,000 \\ \$ 1,544,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

[^14]ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements |  |
|  | Base Case <br> without Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.3 |  |
| Average Unit Size | 3,250 S |  |
| Gross Building Area | 32,500 S |  |
| Number of Units | 10 |  |
| Parking | 30 |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$2,156,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$4,388,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$654,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$7,198,000 | \$221 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$720,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$275,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Affordable Housing In-Lieu Fee (2) | \$350,000 | \$35,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$288,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,080,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,993,000 | 27.7\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$540,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$9,731,000 | \$299 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$973,000 |  |

(1) Estimate, not verfied by KMA or the City of Encinitas.
(2) Source: City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds | $\$ 15,440,000$ |  |
| B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ | $4.5 \%$ of Value | $(\$ 695,000)$ |
| C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\$ 14,745,000$ |  |



| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 3,470,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 347,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 24$ |


|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Propsoed Entitlements |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case with Density Bonus |
|  | 100\% Market-Rate with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |
| A. Site Area | 9.1 Acres |
| B. Density | 5.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 45 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11\% Very Low } \\ 2 \% \text { Low } \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 7 Unit |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units | 15.0\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus | 15.6\% |
| C. Density with Bonus | 5.7 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) | 52 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 6 Units $11 \%$ <br> 1 Units $2 \%$ <br> 45 Units $\underline{87 \%}$ <br> 52 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low $\$ 89,000$ <br> Low $\$ 169,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 509,276 \\ & \$ 175,828 \\ & \$ \mathbf{\$ 8 5 5 , 1 0 3} \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 2,998 \text { SF } \\ \$ \underset{\$ 500}{ } \\ \$ 1,499,000 \\ \$ 67,841,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 68,526,103 \\ \$ 1,317,000 \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whi
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Proposed Entitlements |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case with Density Bonus |
| I. Project Description |  |
| Site Area | 9.1 Acres |
| Average Unit Size | 2,998 SF |
| Gross Building Area | 155,958 SF |
| Number of Units | 52 Units |
| Parking | 21 Spaces |

II. Development Costs
A. Direct Costs

| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | $\$ 9,910,000$ | $\$ 25$ | $/$ SF Site Area |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Parking | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0 /$ Space |  |
| Shell Construction | $\$ 21,834,000$ | $\$ 140 /$ SF GBA |  |
| Other/Contingency | $\$ 3,174,000$ | $10.0 \%$ of Directs |  |
| Total Direct Costs | $\$ 34,918,000$ | $\$ 224 /$ SF GBA |  |
| $\quad$ Per Unit | $\$ 671,000$ |  |  |

B. Indirect Costs

| Permits \& Fees (1) | $\$ 1,431,000$ | $\$ 27,500$ /Unit |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Developer Fee | $\$ 1,397,000$ | $4.0 \%$ of Directs |
| Other Indirects | $\$ 5,238,000$ | $15.0 \%$ of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | $\$ 8,066,000$ | $23.1 \%$ of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | $\$ 2,619,000$ | $7.5 \%$ of Directs |
| Total Development Costs | $\$ 45,603,000$ | $\$ 292 ~ / S F ~ G B A$ |
| Per Unit | $\$ 877,000$ |  |

(1) Estimate, not verfied by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Proposed Conditions |
|  | Base Case with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 68,526,103 \\ (\$ 3,084,000) \\ \$ 65,442,103 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 65,442,103 \\ (\$ 6,853,000) \\ \$ 58,589,103 \\ (\$ 45,603,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,986,103 \\ \$ 289,000 \\ \$ 33 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 21,067,980$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 405,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 53$ |

## ATTACHMENT 3.D

Jackel Property<br>Limited Visitor Serving<br>Zone N-LVSC

ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area Hotel Commercial Total Site Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 1.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 3.0 Acres } \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.44 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | --- |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) <br> Hotel <br> Commercial <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 51,750 \mathrm{SF} \\ \underline{5,000 \mathrm{SF}} \\ \hline 56,750 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Revenue |  |
| A. Hotel <br> Average Daily Rate (ADR) <br> Occupancy <br> Annual Room Revenue - Hotel <br> B. Commercial <br> Monthly Rent <br> Annual Revenue - Commercial <br> (Less) Vacancy @ <br> Effective Gross Income - Commercial |  90 Rooms <br>  $\$ 200$ <br>  $82.0 \%$ <br>  $\$ 5,387,000$ <br>  5,000 SF <br>  $\$ 4.00 /$ SF NNN <br>  $\$ 240,000$ <br> $10.0 \%$ $(\$ 24,000)$ <br>  $\$ 216,000$ |
| B. Total Annual Rental Income | \$5,627,000 |

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |  |
|  | Base Case |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.0 Acres |  |
| Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |  |
| Average Room Size (Gross) | 575 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area - Hotel | 51,750 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area - Commercial | 5,000 SF |  |
| Parking | 113 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,294,000 | \$10 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 Included above |
| Shell Construction - Hotel | \$10,868,000 | \$210 /SF GBA |
| Shell Construction - Commercial | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA |
| Tenant Improvements | \$250,000 | \$50 /SF GBA |
| FF\&E | \$2,250,000 | \$25,000 /Room |
| Amenities | \$450,000 | \$5,000 /Room |
| Contingency | \$796,000 | 5.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$16,708,000 | \$294 /SF GBA |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$568,000 | \$10 /SF GBA |
| Developer Fee | \$668,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,089,000 | 12.5\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,325,000 | 19.9\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,671,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$21,704,000 | \$382 /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  |  |
| A. Gross Room Revenue <br> B. Food \& Beverage <br> C. Other Operated Departments Revenue <br> D. Parking Revenue <br> E. Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 10.0\% of Room Revenue <br> 5.0\% of Room Revenue <br> \$300 /Space/Month | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,387,000 \\ \$ 539,000 \\ \$ 269,000 \\ \$ 407,000 \\ \$ 6,602,000 \end{array}$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | 65.0\% of EGI | (\$4,291,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income (NOI) |  | \$2,311,000 |
| H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit | $3.0 \%$ of Value $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{7.0 \%} \\ \$ 33,014,000 \\ (\$ 990,000) \\ (\$ 3,301,000) \end{array}$ |
| J. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  | \$28,723,000 |



| III. Residual Land Value |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Warranted Investment - Hotel <br> B. Warramted Investment - Commercial | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,723,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. Total Warranted Investment <br> E. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 31,467,000 \\ (\$ 21,704,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| F. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,763,000 \\ \$ 75 \end{array}$ |

ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site - <br> Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.4 Acres |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.60 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | 82,000 SF |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) | 89,000 SF |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Affordable Units | ---- |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |
| B. Density Bonus |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus |  |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | ------- |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Rental Income |  |
| A. Affordable Units <br> Very Low <br> Low <br> Total Affordable Units | ----- |
| B. Market-Rate Rent @ Monthly Rent/SF Total Annual Rent | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.75{ }^{1)} \\ & \$ 3,690,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income | \$3,690,000 |

(1) Market rent estimated at $82,000 \mathrm{SF}$ at $\$ 3.75 / \mathrm{SF}$.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS


[^15]ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Net Operating Income |  |  |
| A. Gross Rental Income <br> B. Other Income <br> C. Gross Scheduled Income (GSI) <br> D. (Less) Vacancy <br> E. Effective Gross Income | \$3.75 /SF/Month/FSG $5.0 \% \text { of GSI }$ | $\$ 3,690,000$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 3,690,000$ $(\$ 185,000)$ $\$ 3,505,000$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | \$10 /SF GLA/Year | (\$820,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income |  | \$2,685,000 |


| II. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,685,000 \\ \underline{6.25 \%} \\ \$ 42,960,000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lr}\text { D. (Less) Cost of Sale } & 3.0 \% \text { of Value } \\ \text { E. (Less) Developer Profit } & 10.0 \% \text { of Value }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 1,289,000) \\ & (\$ 4,296,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 37,375,000 \\ (\$ 29,033,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,342,000 \\ \$ 56 \end{array}$ |

Manchester Avenue West<br>Single-Family Detached - Small Lot<br>Zone R-11


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

## ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS


[^16]Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#3_v4;12/2/2019;jjx

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |  | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  | Base Case w/Density Bonus |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low <br> No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,230,000 \\ (\$ 865,000) \\ \$ 18,365,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,369,000 \\ (\$ 827,000) \\ \$ 17,542,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,555,000 \\ (\$ 790,000) \\ \$ 16,765,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,374,000 \\ (\$ 737,000) \\ \$ 15,637,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,365,000 \\ (\$ 1,923,000) \\ \hline \$ 16,442,000 \\ (\$ 12,442,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,542,000 \\ (\$ 1,837,000) \\ \hline \$ 15,705,000 \\ (\$ 12,442,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,765,000 \\ (\$ 1,756,000) \\ \$ 15,009,000 \\ (\$ 11,436,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,637,000 \\ (\$ 1,637,000) \\ \$ \$ 14,000,000 \\ (\$ 11,079,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,000,000 \\ \$ 222,000 \\ \$ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,263,000 \\ \$ 181,000 \\ \$ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,573,000 \\ \$ 143,000 \\ \$ 49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,921,000 \\ \$ 122,000 \\ \$ 40 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 5,872,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 326,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 81$ |

[^17]
## Rancho Santa Fe Sites (Gaffney/Goodsen) Stacked Flats with Podium Parking


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit. (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.


[^18]|  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{E}}{\text { Stacked Flats with Podium Parking }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Uprone Scenario with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  |  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | 4.5\% of Value | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 107,028,000 \\ & (\$ 4,816,000) \\ & \$ 102,212,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$103,878,000 (\$4,675,000) \$99,203,000 | \$145,378,000 ( $\$ 6,542,000)$ \$138,836,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 136,378,000 \\ (\$ 6,137,000) \\ \$ 130,241,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 142,992,000 \\ \begin{array}{c} (\$ 6,435,000) \end{array} \\ \$ 136,557,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 138,006,000 \\ (\$ 6,210,000) \\ \$ 131,796,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 133,020,000 \\ & \begin{array}{l} (\$ 5,986,000) \end{array} \$ 127,034,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 140,454,000 \\ (\$ 6,320,000) \\ \$ 134,134,000 \end{array}$ | \$136,080,000 $(\$ 6,124,000)$ \$129,956,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 131,706,000 \\ (\$ 5,927,000) \\ \$ 125,779,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | 10.0\% of Value |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 99,203,000 \\ (\$ 10,388,000) \\ \hline \$ 8,815,00\end{array}$ \$88,815,000 <br> ( $\$ 74,695,000)$ | \$138,836,000 ( $\$ 14,538,000)$ $\$ 124,298,000$ ( $\$ 104,498,000$ ) | \$130,241,000 ( $\$ 13,638,000$ ) $\$ 116,603,000$ ( $\$ 102,008,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 136,557,000 \\ (\$ 14,299,000) \\ \$ 122,258,000 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered} \mathbf{1 0 6 , 2 3 5 , 0 0 0 )}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 131,796,000 \\ (\$ 13,801,000) \\ \$ 117,995,000 \\ (\$ 106,235,000) \end{gathered}$ | \$127,034,000 ( $\$ 13,302,000)$ \$113,732,000 ( $\$ 106,235,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 134,134,000 \\ (\$ 14,045,000) \\ \$ 120,089,000 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered} \mathbf{1 0 6 , 2 3 5 , 0 0 0 )}$ | $\$ 129,956,000$ ( $\$ 13,608,000)$ $\$ 116,348,000$ ( $\$ 106,235,000)$ | \$125,779,000 ( $\$ 13,171,000)$ \$112,608,000 ( $\$ 106,235,000)$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,814,000 \\ \$ 93,000 \\ \$ 64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,120,000 \\ \$ 78,000 \\ \$ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,80,000 \\ \$ 83,000 \\ \$ 76 \end{array}$ | $\$ 14,595,000$ $\$ 63,000$ $\$ 56$ | $\$ 16,023,000$ $\$ 66,000$ $\$ 61$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,760,000 \\ \$ 48,000 \\ \$ \$ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,497,000 \\ \$ 31,000 \\ \$ 29 \end{array}$ | $\$ 13,854,000$ $\$ 57,000$ $\$ 53$ | $\$ 10,113,000$ $\$ 42,000$ $\$ 39$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,373,000 \\ \$ 26,000 \\ \$ 24 \end{array}$ |

## ATTACHMENT 3.H

Sage Canyon
Stacked Flats with Podium Parking


Stacked Flats with Podium Parking

| Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% © Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres |
| 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| 99 Units | 99 Units | 99 Units | 99 Units | 99 Units | 99 Units |
| 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| 15 Units | 20 Units | 25 Units | 20 Units | 25 Units | 30 Units |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.2\% Very Low | 20.2\% Very Low | 25.3\% Very Low | 20.2\% Low | 25.3\% Low | 30.3\% Low |
| 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| 40.6 Units/Acre | 40.6 Units/Acre | 40.6 Units/Acre | 40.6 Units/Acre | 40.6 Units/Acre | 40.6 Units/Acre |
| 134 Units | 134 Units | 134 Units | 134 Units | 134 Units | 134 Units |
| 268 Spaces | 268 Spaces | 268 Spaces | 268 Spaces | 268 Spaces | 268 Spaces |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{rr} 15 \text { Units } & 11 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 119 \text { Units } & 89 \% \\ \hline 134 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 20 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 114 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 134 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 109 \text { Units } & 81 \% \\ \hline 134 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 20 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 114 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 134 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 25 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 109 \text { Units } & 81 \% \\ \hline 134 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 30 \text { Units } & 22 \% \\ \frac{104 \text { Units }}{134} & \frac{78 \%}{134} \text { Units } \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,440,000 \\ \$ 1,40,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,920,000 \\ \$ 1,920,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,400,000 \\ \$ 2,400,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 3,280,000 \\ \hline \$ 3,280,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 4,100,000 \\ \hline \$ 4,100,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 4,920,000 \\ \$ 4,920,000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 77,350,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 74,100,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 70,850,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 74,100,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 70,850,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 67,600,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,790,000 \\ \$ 588,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 76,020,000 \\ \$ 567,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,250,000 \\ \$ 547,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 77,380,000 \\ \$ 577,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 74,950,000 \\ \$ 559,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 72,520,000 \\ \$ 541,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) (1) Number of Units Parking | Upzone Scenariowithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density eonus |  |  |  | Verv Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ry low |  | 15\%@ Low |  | 15\%@ Very Low |  | 20\%@ Very Low |  | 25\%@ Very Low |  | 20\% © Low |  | 25\% © Low |  | 30\%@ Low |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seveloment costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ste Improvement 2 2) | \$2,156,00 | S15 /SF Stie Area | \$2,15,000 | \$15 /SFs Ste Area | \$2,15,000 | \$15 /SFs site Area | \$2,15,000 | S15 /SF Stie area | \$2,15,000 | S15 /SF Stie Area | \$2,15,000 | S15 /SF Stie Area | \$2,15,000 | S15 /SF Stit Area | \$2,15,000 | S15 /sf Stie Area | \$2,15,000 | S15 /SFs Site Area |
| Parking | \$4,95,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$8,04,000 | \$30,000 /5pace | \$8,040,000 | \$30,000 /space | \$8,04,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$8,04,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$8,00,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$8,04,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$8,00,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$8,040,000 | \$30,000 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$20,880,000 | S180 /SF GbA | \$30,02,000 | S190 /SF GbA | \$28,310,000 | \$190//F Gba | \$30,020,000 | S190 /SF GBA | \$30,020,000 | S190 /SF GBA | \$30,020,000 | S190 /SF GBA | \$30,020,000 | S190 /fF GbA | \$30,020,000 | S190 /ff gba | \$30,020,000 | S190 /ff gba |
| Other/Contingency | \$2,79,000 | 10\% of Directs | 54,022,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$3,851,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$4,02,000 | 10.\% of directs | 54,02,000 | 10.\% of diects | \$44,022,000 | 10.\% of directs | 54,022,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$4,02,000 | 10.\% of directs | \$4,022,000 | 10.\% of directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$30,785,000 | S265 /SF GBA | \$44,238,000 | S280 /SF GBA | \$42,357,000 | \$284 /SF GBA | \$44,238,000 | S280 /fF Gba | \$44,238,000 | S280 /fF GBA | \$44,238,000 | 5280 /SFGBA | \$44,238,000 | S280 /fF Gba | \$44,238,000 | \$280 /fF GBA | \$44,238,000 | S280 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$311,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | 5334,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees [2) $^{\text {a }}$ | \$1,980,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$2,880,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$2,540,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$2,880,000 | \$20,000 /sF GBA | \$2,880,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$2,880,00 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$2,880,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$2,80,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$2,680,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer fee | \$1,231,000 | 4\% of Divects | \$1,70,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,94,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,70,000 | 4.\% of directs | \$1,70,000 | 4.\%\% of directs | \$1,70,000 | 4.\% of directs | \$1,70,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,70,000 | 4.\%\% of directs | \$1,770,000 | 4.\%\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$4,618,000 | 15\% of Directs | \$6,63,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$6,34,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$6,63,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$6,63,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$6,63,000 | 15.0\% of directs | 56,63,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$6,63,000 | 15.\% of Directs | 56,63,000 | 15.\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$7,82, 000 | 25\% of Directs | \$11,086,00 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$10,588,000 | 25.\% of Directs | \$11,086,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$11,086,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$11,086,000 | 25.1\% of directs | \$11,086,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$11,086,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$11,086,000 | 25.1\% of Directs |
| c. Financing costs | 52,309,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$3,38,000 | 7.5\% of direct | \$3,17,000 | 7.5\% of Direct | \$3,38,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$3,318,000 | 7.5\% of diects | \$3,38,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$3,38,000 | 7.5\% of diects | \$3,318,000 | 7.5\% of direct | 53,318,000 | 7.5\% of Di |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D. Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 40,923,000$ S41300 | 5333 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,642,000 \\ \$ 438,000 \end{array}$ | \$371 /SF GBA | \$56,122,000 | S377 /SF GBA | $\$ 58,642,000$ $\$ 438,000$ | \$371 /sF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,642,000 \\ \$ 438,000 \end{array}$ | \$371 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,642,000 \\ \$ 438,000 \end{array}$ | \$371 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,642,000 \\ \$ 438,000 \end{array}$ | \$371 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,642,000 \\ \$ 438,000 \end{array}$ | \$371 /SF GBA | \$58,642,000 $\$ 438,000$ | S371 /sF GBA |

[^19]CITY OF ENCIIITAS

|  | A | в | c | D | E | F | G | н | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stacked Flats with Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. Cost of Sale @ <br> 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | \$58,810,000 ( $\$ 2,646,000$ ) \$56,164,000 | \$57,060,000 ( $\$ 2,568,000)$ \$54,492,000 | \$81,560,000 ( $\$ 3,670,000)$ \$77,890,000 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \$ 75,266,000 \\ \$(\$ 3,387,000) \\ \$ 71,873,000 \end{array}$ | \$78,790,000 ( $\$ 3,546,000)$ \$75,244,000 | \$76,020,000 ( $\$ 3,421,000)$ \$72,599,000 | \$73,250,000 ( $\$ 3,296,000)$ \$69,954,000 | \$77,380,000 ( $\$ 3,482,000)$ \$73,898,000 | \$74,950,000 ( $\$ 3,373,000)$ \$71,577,000 | \$72,520,000 ( $\$ 3,263,000)$ \$69,257,000 |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit <br> $10.0 \%$ of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 56,164,000 \\ (\$ 5,881,000) \\ \$ 50,283,000 \\ (\$ 40,923,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 54,492,000 \\ (\$ 5,706,000) \\ \$ 48,786,000 \\ (\$ 40,923,000) \end{gathered}$ | \$77,890,000 ( $\$ 8,156,000)$ \$69,734,000 ( $\$ 58,642,000)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 71,873,000 \\ & (\$ 7,526,000) \\ & \$ 64,347,000 \\ & (\$ 56,122,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 75,244,000 \\ (\$ 7,879,000) \\ \$ \$ 7,35,000 \\ (\$ 58,642,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 72,599,000 \\ (\$ 7,602,000) \\ \$ 64,997,000 \\ (\$ 58,642,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 69,954,000 \\ (\$ 7,325,000) \\ \$ 62,629,000 \\ (\$ 58,642,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 73,898,000 \\ (\$ 7,738,000) \\ \$ 66,160,000 \\ (\$ 58,642,000) \end{gathered}$ | \$71,577,000 ( $\$ 7,495,000)$ \$64,082,000 ( $\$ 58,642,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 7,252,000) \\ \$ 62,005,000 \\ (\$ 58,642,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\$ 9,360,000$ $\$ 95,000$ $\$ 65$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,863,000 \\ \$ 79,000 \\ \$ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,092,000 \\ \$ 83,000 \\ \$ 77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,225,000 \\ \$ 65,000 \\ \$ 57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,723,000 \\ \$ 65,000 \\ \$ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,35,000 \\ \$ 47,000 \\ \$ 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,987,000 \\ \$ 30,000 \\ \$ 28 \end{array}$ | $\$ 7,518,000$ $\$ 56,000$ $\$ 52$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,40,000 \\ \$ 41,000 \\ \$ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,363,000 \\ \$ 25,000 \\ \$ 23 \end{array}$ |

# SCENARIO \#3 <br> UPZONE SCENARIO: FOR-SALE (R-30) <br> BALDWIN AND SONS 

## ATTACHMENT 3.1

Baldwin and Sons Properties<br>Stacked Flats with Podium Parking

|  | Stacked Flats with Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25\% @ Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units | 273 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 28 Units | 41 Units | 28 Units | 41 Units | 41 Units | 55 Units | 69 Units | 55 Units | 69 Units | 82 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.3\% Very Low | 15.0\% Low | 15.0\% Very Low | 20.1\% Very Low | 25.3\% Very Low | 20.1\% Low | 25.3\% Low | 30.0\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.5 Units/Acre | 38.4 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Units/Acre | 40.5 Unit/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 369 Units | 349 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units | 369 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces 2.0 Spaces/Unit |  |  | 738 Spaces | 698 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces | 738 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 28 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 245 \text { Units } & 90 \% \\ \hline 273 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 41 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 232 \text { Units } & \frac{85 \%}{273 \text { Units }} \\ \hline 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 28 \text { Units } & 8 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 341 \text { Units } & \underline{92 \%} \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | 0 Units  <br> 41 Units  <br> 4  | $\begin{array}{cr} 41 \text { Units } & 11 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 328 \text { Units } & 89 \% \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 55 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline 14 \text { Units } & \frac{85 \%}{} 399 \text { Units } \\ \hline 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 69 \text { Units } & 19 \% \\ 0 \text { U Units } & 0 \% \\ 300 \text { nnits } & 0 \% \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 55 Units $15 \%$ <br> 314 Units $85 \%$ <br> 369 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 69 Units $19 \%$ <br> 30 Units $81 \%$ <br> 369 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 82 \text { Units } & 22 \% \\ \underline{287 \text { Units }} & \underline{78 \%} \\ \hline 369 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units <br> Total Affordable Units | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,688,000 \\ \$ 2,688,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$0 } \\ \text { S6,724,000 } \\ \hline \$ 6,724,000\end{array}$ | \$2,688,000 <br> $\$ 2,688,000$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 6,724,000$ $\$ 6,724,00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,936,000 \\ \$ 3,936,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,280,000 \\ \$ 5,280,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,624,000 \\ \$ 6,624,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 9,020,000 \\ \hline \$ 9,020,000 \end{array}$ | \$0 $\$ 11,316,00$ $\$ 11,316,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 13,448,000 \\ \hline \$ 13,448,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Price/SF Price/Unit Total Anuual Rent | 1,000 SF <br> \$650 /SF \$650,000 $\$ 159,250,000$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 200,200,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 213,200,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 204,100,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 195,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 204,100,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 195,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 186,550,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| c. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 161,938,000 \\ \$ 593,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 157,524,000 \\ \$ 577,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 224,338,000 \\ \$ 608,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 206,924,000 \\ \$ 593,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 217,136,000 \\ \$ 588,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 209,380,000 \\ \$ 567,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 201,624,000 \\ \$ 546,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 213,120,000 \\ \$ 578,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 206,316,000 \\ \$ 559,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 199,998,000$ $\$ 542,000$ |

[^20](2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

тable 3.12


| CIIV OF ENCNINTAS |
| :--- |



|  |  | Stacked Flats with Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  |  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> c. Net Sales Proceeds | 4.5\% of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 161,938,000 \\ (\$ 7,287,000) \\ \$ 154,651,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 157,524,000 \\ \begin{array}{l} \text { } \\ \text { \$157,089,000) } \end{array} \end{array}$ | \$224,338,000 ( $\$ 10,095,000)$ \$214,243,000 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \$ 206,924,000 \\ (\$ 9,312,000) \\ \$ 197,612,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 217,136,000 \\ \begin{array}{l} (\$ 9,771,000) \\ \$ 207,365,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 209,380,000 \\ (\$ 9,422,000) \\ \$ 199,958,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 201,624,000 \\ \begin{array}{l} (\$ 9,073,000) \\ \$ 192,551,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 213,120,000 \\ \begin{array}{r} \$ 9,590,000) \\ \$ 203,530,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 206,316,000 \\ & \frac{\$}{5,284,000} \\ & \$ 197,032,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 199,998,000 \\ (\$ 9,000,000) \\ \$ 190,998,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less Developer Profit <br> C. Waraanted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | 10.0\% of Value | \$154,651,000 ( $\$ 16,194,000)$ \$138,457,000 <br> ( $\$ 113,130,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 150,435,000 \\ (\$ 15,752,000) \\ \$ 134,683,000 \\ (\$ 113,130,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 214,23,000 \\ & \begin{array}{c} \$ 22,434,000) \\ \$ 191,809,000 \end{array} \\ & \hline(\$ 161,205,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 197,612,000 \\ & (\$ 20,692,000) \\ & \$ 176,920,000 \\ & \hline \$ 154,725,000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 207,365,000 \\ (\$ 21,714,000) \\ \$ 185,651,000 \\ (\$ 161,205,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 199,958,000 \\ (\$ 20,938,000) \\ \$ \$ 199,020,000 \\ (\$ 161,205,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 192,551,000 \\ (\$ 20,162,000) \\ \$ 172,389,000 \\ (\$ 161,205,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 203,530,000 \\ (\$ 21,312,000) \\ \$ 182,218,000 \\ (\$ 161,205,000) \end{gathered}$ | \$197,032,000 ( $\$ 20,632,000)$ \$176,400,000 ( $\$ 161,205,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 190,998,000 \\ (\$ 20,000,000) \\ \$ 170,998,000 \\ (\$ 161,205,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF |  | $\$ 25,327,000$ $\$ 93,000$ $\$ 64$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,553,000 \\ \$ 79,000 \\ \$ 54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 30,604,000$ $\$ 83,000$ $\$ 77$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,195,000 \\ \$ 64,000 \\ \$ 56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,446,000 \\ \$ 66,000 \\ \$ 62 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 17,815,000$ $\$ 48,000$ $\$ 45$ | $\$ 11,184,000$ $\$ 30,000$ $\$ 28$ | $\$ 21,013,000$ $\$ 57,000$ $\$ 53$ | $\$ 15,195,000$ $\$ 41,000$ $\$ 38$ | $\$ 9,793,000$ $\$ 27,000$ $\$ 25$ |

## ATTACHMENT 3.J

## Jackel Property Stacked Flats with Podium Parking

|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area (Residential) | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres | 1.3 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Unit/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units | 39 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 4 Units | 6 Units | 4 Units | 6 Units | 6 Units | 8 Units | 10 Units | 8 Units | 10 Units | 12 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.3\% Very Low | 15.4\% Low | 15.4\% Very Low | 20.5\% Very Low | 25.6\% Very Low | 20.5\% Low | 25.6\% Low | 30.8\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 35.0\% | 27.5\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.4 Unit/Acre | 38.1 Units/Acre | 40.4 Unit/Acre | 40.4 Unit/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Units/Acre | 40.4 Unit//Acre | 40.4 Unit/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 53 Units | 50 Units | 53 Units | 53 Units | 53 Units | 53 Units | 53 Units | 53 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces $\quad$ 2.0-------------------------10 |  |  | 106 Spaces | 100 Spaces | 106 Spaces | 106 Spaces | 106 Spaces | 106 Spaces | 106 Spaces | 106 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 4 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \begin{array}{lc} 35 \text { Units } & 90 \% \\ \hline 39 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array} \end{array}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 6 Units $15 \%$ <br> 33 Units  <br> 39 Units $105 \%$ | 4 Units $8 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 49 Units  <br> 53 Units $\frac{92 \%}{100 \%}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 6 Units $12 \%$ <br> 44 Units $88 \%$ <br> 50 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 6 \text { Units } & 11 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 47 \text { Units } & 89 \% \\ \hline 53 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 8 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{45 \text { Unist }}{} & 85 \% \\ \hline 53 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 Units $19 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 43 Units $\underline{81 \%}$ <br> 53 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 8 \text { Units } & 15 \% \\ \hline 54 \text { Units } & 85 \% \\ \hline 53 \text { Units } & 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 10 Units $19 \%$ <br> 43 Units $81 \%$ <br> 53 Units $100 \%$ | 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 12 Units $23 \%$ <br> 41 Units $77 \%$ <br> 53 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units Very Low @ \$96,000 Low @ Total Affordable Units | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 384,000 \\ \$ 384,000 \\ \$ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 984,000 \\ \$ 984,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 384,000 \\ & \$ 384,000 \\ & \underline{\$ 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 0$ $\$ 984,000$ $\$ 984,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 576,000 \\ \$ 576,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 768,000 \\ \$ \mathbf{\$ 0} \\ \$ 768,000 \end{array}$ | \$960,000 \$0 $\$ 960,000$ <br> \$960,000 | \$0 $\$ 1,312,00$ $\$ 1,32,000$ | \$0 $\$ 1,640,00$ $\$ 1,640,000$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,968,000 \\ \hline \$ 1,968,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \text { SF } \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 22,750,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \underline{S 650} / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 21,450,000 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 30,550,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 6550 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 29,250,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \stackrel{\$ 650}{ } / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 27,950,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 29,250,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 27,950,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 26,650,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,134,000 \\ \$ 593,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,434,000 \\ \$ 575,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 32,234,000 \\ \$ 608,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29,584,000 \\ \$ 592,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 31,126,000 \\ \$ 587,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,018,000 \\ \$ 566,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,910,000 \\ \$ 545,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,562,000 \\ \$ 577,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29,590,000 \\ \$ 558,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,618,000 \\ \$ 540,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Upzone Scenariowithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with onsity sonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ver low |  | 15\% © Low |  | 15\%@ Very low |  | 20\% © Very Low |  | 25\%@ Very low |  | 20\% © Low |  | 25\% © Low |  | 30\% © Low |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area | 3.0 Acres |  |  |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  | 3.0 Acres |  |
| Average Unit Size | $\underset{\substack{\text { 1,000 } \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ \text { Units }}}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 50 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,000 SF 53 Units |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 sf } \\ & 53 \\ & 53 \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 sf } \\ & 53 \\ & \hline 3 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 SF } \\ & 53 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,000 sf535 Units |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 sf } \mathrm{sf} \\ & 53 \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Number of Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Rooms | 30 Rooms |  |  |  | Rooms |  | 30 Rooms |  | \%ooms |  | 30 Roms |  | oms |  | 30 Roms |  | Room |  |
| Gross Suiliding Area (GBA) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 62,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ 17,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ 5,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ 84,250 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $62,000 \mathrm{sF}$$12,2,0005 \mathrm{sF}$ 84, 2,50 sF |  | $62,000 \mathrm{SF}$5,000 s $\stackrel{50,20}{84,250} \mathrm{sF}$ |  |  |  | $62,000 \mathrm{SF}$ <br> 5.000 sF <br> 5,000 |  |
| Residential() Hotel | 46,000 SF <br> 17,250 <br> $5 F$ <br> 5.000 SF <br> $6 F$ |  |  |  | 59,000 SF 17,250 SF |  |  |  | $62,000 \mathrm{sF}$ 17,250 sF |  |  |  | $62,000 \mathrm{sF}$ 17,250 sf |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total GBA | $68,250 \mathrm{~s}$ |  | 84,25 s |  | ${ }_{81,250 ~ 5 F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 Spaces |  |  |  | 88 Spaces |  | 88 Spaces |  | 88 Spaces |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuck-Under Total Spaces |  |  | $\frac{106}{194}$ Spaces |  | $\frac{100}{188} \text { Spaces }$ |  | ${ }_{104}^{106 \text { spaces }}$ |  | $\frac{106}{194}$ Spaces |  | $\frac{106 \text { Spaces }}{194 \text { Spaces }}$ |  | $\frac{106 \text { Spaces }}{194 \text { Spaces }}$ |  | $\frac{106 \text { Spaces }}{194 \text { Spaces }}$ |  | $\frac{1085 \text { Spaces }}{194}$ |  |
| I.. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ste lmprovements 2 ) | \$1,941,000 | S15 /SF Stit Area |  |  | \$1,941,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area $\$ 30,000$ /Space | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,941,000 \\ & \$ 3,180,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$1,941,000 \$15 /sF Ste Area |  | \$1,941,000 53,180,000 | S15 /s s site Area | \$1,941,000 S3, 18,000 | \$15 /fs site Area | \$1,94,000 | \$15 /SS Ste Area | $\$ 1,941,000$$\$ 3,18,000$ | \$15 /SF Site Area \$30,000 /Space |
| Parking | \$1,55,000 | \$25,000 /Space |  | \$30,000/Lspace | \$3,00,000 |  |  | \$30,000 /Space | \$11,88,000 |  |  | \$30,000 /Space | \$3,180,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$3,180,000 | \$30,000 /5pace |  |  |
| Shell Construction - Residential |  |  | \$11,780,000 |  | \$11,210,00 | S190 /SF Gba | \$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$1,78,0,00 } \\ & \$ 3,623,000\end{aligned}$ | \$190 /SF 6BA |  |  | \$11,780,000 \$3,623,000 | \$190 /sf Gba | \$11,780,000 $\$ 3,623,000$ | S190 /SFGBA | \$11,780,000 \$190 /SF GBA |  | \$11,780,000 \$190 /SF6BA |  |
| Shell Construction - Hotel |  |  | \$3,623,000s80,000 | S210 /SF GBA | \$3,623,0005800,000 | S210 /SF GBA |  | \$3,623,000 $\quad \$ 210 /$ /SF6BA | \$3,23,000 $\quad 5270 /$ /FF GBA |  |  | S210 /SF GBA |  | S220 /SF GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,623,000 \$ 210 \\ & 5850,000 \$ 160 \\ & \$ 5 F G A A \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Shell Construction - Commercial | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA |  | S160 /SF GBA |  | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$800,000 | S160 /SF GBA | \$880,000 S160 /SF GBA |  | \$880,000 S160 /SF GBA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenant Improvements | S250,000 | \$50 /SF GBA | \$250,000 | \$50/sf 6BA | \$550,000 | \$50 /sf 6BA | \$250,000 \$50 /SFGBA |  | \$250,000 \$50 /SF G8A |  | \$250,000 | S50 /SFGba | \$250,000 | \$50 /SF GBA | \$255,000 \$50 /SF6Ba |  | $\$ 800,000$ $\$ 160$ /SF GBA <br> $\$ 250,000$ $\$ 50$ /SF GBA |  |
| frze | \$550,000 | \$25,000 /Room | S500,000 | $\$ 25,000 /$ Room$\$ 5,000 /$ Room | $\$ 750,000$$\$ 150,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 25,000 / R o o m \\ \$ 5,000 \text { /Room } \end{gathered}$ | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | \$750,000 | \$25,000/Room | $\$ 750,000$ \$25,000 /Room \$150,000 $\$ 5,000 /$ Room |  |
| Amenities | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room |  |  |  |  | \$150,000 | \$5,000 /Room |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other/Contingency | S1,774000 | 10\% of Diects |  |  | $\$ 2,172,000$ <br> $\$ 23,896,00$ <br> $\$ 478,000$ $10.0 \%$ of Directs <br> $\$ 294$ <br> $\$ /$ SF GBA |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 22,247,000 \\ \$ 24,721,000 & 10.0 \% \text { of Directs } \\ \$ 2296 & \text { \$FF GBA } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Direct costs | \$19,518,000 | S286 /SF GBA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Uni | \$500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits F Fees- Residential (2) | 5780,000 | \$20,000 Unit | \$1,06,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,000,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,060,000 | \$20,000 /SF GBA | \$1,06,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,060,000 $\$ 20,000 /$ Unit |  | $\$ 1,060,000 \quad \$ 20,000 /$ Unit |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\$ 1,060,000 & \$ 20,000 / U n i t\end{array}$ |  | \$1,060,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Permits \& Fees- Hotel/comm.(2) | \$22,000 | S10 /SF | \$223,000 | \$10/SF | 522,000 | \$10/SF | 5223,000 | \$10 /SF | \$223,000 | \$10 /sF | \$223,000 | \$10 /sF | \$22,000 | \$10 /sF | \$223,000 | \$10 /sF | \$223,000 | \$10 /sF |
| Developer Fee | \$781,000 | 4\% of firects | \$988,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$956,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$988,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$988,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$988,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$988,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$989,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$989,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | $\underline{\$ 2,928,000}$ | 15\% of Directs | $\stackrel{53,788,000}{5}$ | $15.0 \%$ of Directs | \$3,584,000 | $15.0 \%$ of directs | $\underline{53,788,000}$ | 15.0\% of Directs | ¢3,708,000 | $15.0 \%$ of directs | ¢3,708,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | ${ }_{5}^{53,708,000}$ | 15.0\% of directs | ${ }_{53,708,000}$ | $15.0 \%$ of directs | ${ }_{5}^{53,78,000}$ | 15.0\% of directs |
| Total Indirect tosts | \$4,712,000 | 24\% of Directs | \$5,980,000 | 24.2\% of Directs | \$5,76,000 | 24.1\% of Directs | \$5,980,000 | 24.2\% of directs | \$5,88,000 | 24.2\% of directs | \$5,980,000 | $24.2 \%$ of diects | \$5,980,000 | 24.2\% of directs | \$5,980,000 | 24.2\% of directs | \$5,980,000 | 24.2\% of directs |
| C. Financing costs | \$1,66,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1, 854,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,792,000 | 7.5\% of Diects | \$1,854,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,85,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,85,000 | 7.5\% of directs | \$1,854,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,85,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,854,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| d. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Develomenent Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 2}, 555,000$ <br> $\$ 614,000$$\quad \$ 386 \quad /$ SF GBA |  | $\underset{\substack{\$ 31,451,000 \\ \$ 62,000}}{\$ 1,} \quad \$ 387 /$ SF GBA |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 5 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ <br> $\$ 614,000$$\quad \$ 386 \quad /$ SF GBA |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 5 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ <br> $\$ 614,000$$\quad \$ 386 \quad / S F$ GBA |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 2}, 555,000$ <br> $\$ 614,000$$\quad \$ 386 \quad /$ SF GBA |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 32,555,000 \\ \$ 614,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 5 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ <br> $\$ 614,000$$\quad \$ 386 \quad /$ SF GBA |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 5 5 5 , 0 0 0}$   <br> $\$ 614,000$ $\$ 386$ $/ S F$ GBA |  |


|  | $\stackrel{E}{\text { Stacked Flats with Podium Parking }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Uprone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \text { @ Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| IV. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Room Revenue | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms | 30 Rooms |
| Average Daily Rate (ADR) | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 | \$200 |
| Occupancy | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% | 82.0\% |
| Annual Room Revenue - Hotel | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 | \$1,796,000 |
| B. Food \& Beverage $10 \%$ | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 |
| C. Other Operated Departments Revenue $5 \%$ | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 | \$90,000 |
| D. Parking Revenue $\quad 38$ Spaces $\quad \$ 300$ | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 | \$135,000 |
| E. Effective Gross Income (EG1) | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 | \$2,201,000 |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses $\quad 65 \%$ of $E G 1$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $51,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $51,431,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ | ( $51,431,000$ ) | ( $\$ 1,431,000)$ |
| G. Net Operating Income (NOI) | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 | \$770,000 |
| H. Capitalization Rate | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 7.00\% |
| 1. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 | \$11,000,000 |
| J. (Less) Cost of Sale $\quad 3.0 \%$ of Value | $(5330000)$ | ( 5330,000 ) | $(5330000)$ | ( 5330,000$)$ | $(\$ 330,000)$ | $(5330,00)$ | $(5330,000)$ | $(5330,000)$ | $(5330,00)$ | $(5330,000)$ |
| K. (Less) Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | ( $\$ 1,100,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,100,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,100,000)$ | ( $51,100,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,100,000)$ | ( $51,100,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,100,000)$ | ( $51,100,000)$ | ( $51,100,000)$ | ( $51,100,000)$ |
| L. Warranted Investment - Hotel | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,50,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,50,000 |
| V. Warranted Investment - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Commercial Revenue | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF | 5,000 SF |
| Monthly Rent | \$4.00/SF NnN | \$4.00/SF NnN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN | \$4.00/SF NNN |
| Annual Revenue - Commercial | \$240,000 | \$240,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 | \$210,000 |
| (Less) Vacancy @ | 10.0\% ( $\$ 24,000)$ | 10.0\% ( 524,000$)$ | 10.0\% ( 521,000$)$ | 10.0\% ( 521,000 ) | 10.0\% ( 521,000$)$ | 10.0\% ( 521,000$)$ | 10.0\% ( 521,000$)$ | 10.0\% ( 521,000$)$ | 10.0\% ( 521,000 ) | 10.0\% ( 521,000 ) |
| Effective Gross Income - Commercial | \$216,000 | \$216,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 |
| B. (Less) Unreimbursed Expenses | 5.0\% ( $\$ 11,000)$ | 5.0\% ( 511,000 ) | 5.0\% (59,000) | 5.0\% ( 59,000 | 5.0\% ( 59,000$)$ | 5.0\% (59,000) | 5.0\% ( 59,000 ) | 5.0\% (59,000) | 5.0\% (59,000) | 5.0\% (59,000) |
| C. Net Operating Income (NOI) | \$205,000 | \$205,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 |
| D. Capitalization Rate | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.5\% |
| E. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | \$3,154,000 | \$3,154,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 | \$2,769,000 |
| F. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value | ( 595,000 ) | $(\$ 95,000)$ | $(583,000)$ | $(583,000)$ | $(\$ 83,000)$ | ( 583,000$)$ | $(583,000)$ | $(583,000)$ | ( 583,000$)$ | ( 583,000$)$ |
| G. (Less) Developer Profit $\quad 10.0 \%$ of Value | ( 5315,000 ) | ( $\$ 315,000)$ | ( $\$ 277,000)$ | ( $\$ 277,000)$ | ( $\$ 277,000)$ | ( $\$ 277,000)$ | ( $\$ 277,000)$ | (\$277,000) | ( $\$ 277,000)$ | ( $\$ 277,000)$ |
| 1. Warranted Investment-Commerial | \$2,744,000 | \$2,744,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 |


|  | A | в | c | - | E | F | ${ }^{6}$ | н | 1 | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stacked Flats with Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Uprone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross sales Proceeds - Residential | \$23,134,000 | \$22,434,000 | \$32,234,000 | \$29,584,000 | \$31,126,000 | \$30,018,000 | \$28,910,000 | \$30,562,000 | \$29,590,000 | \$28,618,000 |
| B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ ${ }^{\text {@ }}$. $5 \%$ of Value | ( $\$ 1,041,000)$ | ( $51,010,000)$ | ( $51,451,000$ ) | ( $\$ 1,331,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,401,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,351,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,301,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,375,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,332,000)$ | ( $\$ 1,288,000)$ |
| c. Net Sales Proceeds | \$22,093,000 | \$21,424,000 | \$30,783,000 | \$28,25,000 | \$29,725,000 | \$28,667,000 | \$27,09,000 | \$29,187,000 | \$28,258,000 | \$27,330,000 |
| D. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value | ( $\$ 2,313,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,243,000)$ | ( $53,223,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,958,000)$ | $(53,113,000)$ | ( $53,002,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,891,000)$ | $(\$ 3,056,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,959,000)$ | ( $\$ 2,862,000)$ |
| E. Warranted Investment - Residential | \$19,780,000 | \$19,181,000 | \$27,560,000 | \$25,295,000 | \$26,612,000 | \$25,665,000 | \$24,718,000 | \$26,131,000 | \$25,299,000 | \$24,468,000 |
| F. Warranted Investment - Hotel | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 | \$9,570,000 |
| G. Warranted Investment - Commercial | \$2,744,000 | \$2,744,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 | \$2,409,000 |
| H. Total Warranted Investment | \$32,094,000 | \$31,495,000 | \$39,539,000 | \$37,274,000 | \$38,591,000 | \$37,644,000 | \$36,697,000 | \$38,110,000 | \$37,278,000 | \$36,447,000 |
| I. (Less) Development Costs | ( $\$ 25,694,000)$ | ( $\$ 25,694,000)$ | ( $532,555,000)$ | (\$31,451,000) | ( $532,555,000)$ | ( $532,555,000)$ | ( $\$ 32,555,000)$ | ( $532,555,000)$ | ( $532,555,000)$ | ( $532,555,000)$ |
| J. Residual Land Value | \$6,400,000 | \$5,801,000 | \$6,984,000 | \$5,823,000 | \$6,036,000 | \$5,089,000 | \$4,142,000 | \$5,555,000 | \$4,723,000 | \$3,892,000 |
| Per Unit | \$164,000 | \$149,000 | \$132,000 | \$116,000 | \$114,000 | \$96,000 | \$78,000 | \$105,000 | \$89,000 | \$73,000 |
| Per SF | \$49 | \$45 | \$54 | \$45 | \$47 | \$39 | \$32 | \$43 | \$37 | \$30 |


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.


[^21]CITY OF ENCIIITAS

|  |  | Stacked Flats with Podium Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  |  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Income <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> c. Net Sales Proceeds | 4.5\% of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 59,556,000 \\ (\$ 2,680,000) \\ \hline \$ 56,876,000 \end{array}$ | \$57,874,000 ( $\$ 2,604,000)$ \$55,270,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 82,956,000 \\ & (\$ 3,733,000) \\ & \hline \$ 79,223,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 76,074,000 \\ (\$ 3,423,000) \\ \hline \$ 72,651,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 80,186,000 \\ & (\$ 3,608,000) \\ & \hline \$ 76,578,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 77,416,000 \\ & (\$ 3,484,000) \\ & \hline \$ 73,932,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 74,646,000 \\ & (\$ 3,359,000) \\ & \hline \$ 71,287,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 78,844,000 \\ & (\$ 3,548,000) \\ & \hline \$ 75,296,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 76,414,000 \\ (\$ 3,439,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ $\$ 72,975,000$ | \$73,984,000 ( $\$ 3,329,000)$ \$70,655,000 |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | 10.0\% of Value | $\begin{gathered} \$ \$ 56,876,000 \\ (\$ 5,956,000) \\ \$ 50,920,000 \\ (\$ 41,936,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 55,270,000 \\ (\$ 5,787,000) \\ \$ 49,483,000 \\ (\$ 41,936,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 79,223,000 \\ (\$ 8,296,000) \\ \$ 70,927,000 \\ (\$ 59,827,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 72,651,000 \\ & (\$ 7,67,000) \\ & \$ \$ 5,044,000 \\ & (\$ 57,288,000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 76,578,000 \\ (\$ 8,019,000) \\ \$ 68,559,000 \\ (\$ 59,827,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$73,932,000 <br> ( $\$ 7,742,000)$ <br> ( $599,827,000)$ <br> ( $599,827,000$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 71,287,000 \\ (\$ 7,465,000) \\ \$ 63,822,000 \\ (\$ 59,827,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 75,296,000 \\ (\$ 7,884,000) \\ \$ 67,412,000 \\ (\$ 59,827,000) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 70,655,000 \\ (\$ 7,398,000) \\ \$ 63,257,000 \\ (\$ 59,827,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,984,000 \\ \$ 89,000 \\ \$ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,547,000 \\ \$ 75,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,100,000 \\ \$ 81,000 \\ \$ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,756,000 \\ \$ 60,000 \\ \$ 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,732,000 \\ \$ 64,000 \\ \$ 59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,363,000 \\ \$ 46,000 \\ \$ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,995,000 \\ \$ 29,000 \\ \$ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,585,000 \\ \$ 55,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,507,000 \\ \$ 40,000 \\ \$ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,430,000 \\ \$ 25,000 \\ \$ 23 \end{array}$ |

# SCENARIO \#3 <br> UPZONE SCENARIO: FOR-SALE (R-30) <br> MANCHESTER AVENUE WEST 

## ATTACHMENT 3.L

Manchester Avenue West<br>Stacked Flats with Podium Parking

|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Upzone Scenario with Density Bonus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |
| B. Density | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low | 10\% Very Low | 15\% Low |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 5 Units | 8 Units | 5 Units | 8 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |  | 10.0\% Very Low | 16.0\% Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  |  | 32.5\% | 29.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |  | 40.1 Units/Acre | 38.9 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  |  | 67 Units | 65 Units |
| E. Parking Spaces $\quad 2.0$ Spaces/Unit |  |  | 134 Spaces | 130 Spaces |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 5 Units $10 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 45 Units $90 \%$ <br> 50 Units $100 \%$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 8 \text { Units } & 16 \% \\ \hline 42 \text { Units } & 84 \% \\ \hline 50 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 5 \text { Units } \\ 0 \text { Units } & 7 \% \\ 62 \text { Units } & \underline{93 \%} \\ \hline 67 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr} 0 \text { Units } \\ 8 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ 57 \text { Units } & \underline{88 \%} \\ \hline 65 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units <br> Total Affordable Units | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 480,000 \\ \$ 480,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,312,000 \\ \$ 1,312,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 480,000 \\ \$ 480,000 \\ \underline{\$ 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,312,000 \\ \$ 1,312,000 \end{array}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units Unit Size Price/SF Price/Unit Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ \mathbf{S 6 5 0} / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 29,250,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 650 / S F \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 27,300,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ \mathbf{\$ 6 5 0} / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 40,300,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \underline{\$ 650} / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 37,050,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,381,650 \\ \$ 608,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,612,000 \\ \$ 572,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40,780,000 \\ \$ 609,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 38,362,000 \\ \$ 590,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Stacked Flats with Podium Parking

| Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% @ \text { Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |
| 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre | 30.0 Units/Acre |
| 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units | 50 Units |
| 15\% Very Low | 20\% Very Low | 25\% Very Low | 20\% Low | 25\% Low | 30\% Low |
| 8 Units | 10 Units | 13 Units | 10 Units | 13 Units | 15 Units |


| 16.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Very Low | 26.0\% Very Low | 20.0\% Low | 26.0\% Low | 30.0\% Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre | 40.7 Units/Acre |
| 68 Units | 68 Units | 68 Units | 68 Units | 68 Units | 68 Units |
| 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces | 136 Spaces |


| 8 Units | 12\% | 10 Units | 15\% | 13 Units | 19\% | 0 Units | 0\% | 0 Units | 0\% | 0 Units | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 Units | 0\% | 0 Units | 0\% | 0 Units | 0\% | 10 Units | 15\% | 13 Units | 19\% | 15 Units | 22\% |
| 60 Units | 88\% | 58 Units | 85\% | 55 Units | 81\% | 58 Units | 85\% | 55 Units | 81\% | 53 Units | 78\% |
| 68 Units | 100\% | 68 Units | 100\% | 68 Units | 100\% | 68 Units | 100\% | 68 Units | 100\% | 68 Units | 100\% |


| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 768,000 \\ \$ \mathbf{\$ 0} \\ \$ 768,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 960,000 \\ \$ 0 \\ \$ 960,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,248,000 \\ \$ 1,248,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 1,640,000 \\ \$ 1,640,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 2,132,000 \\ \$ 2,132,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0 \\ \$ 2,460,000 \\ \hline \$ 2,460,000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ \mathrm{S560} / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 39,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 37,700,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 650 \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 35,750,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 37,700,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{sF} \\ \$ 650 \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 35,750,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650 / \mathrm{SF} \\ \$ 650,000 \\ \$ 34,450,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39,768,000 \\ \$ 585,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 88,660,000 \\ \$ 569,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,998,000 \\ \$ 544,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39,340,000 \\ \$ 579,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,882,000 \\ \$ 557,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,910,000 \\ \$ 543,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) (1) Number of Units Parking | Upzone Scenariowithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% @ Very Low |  | 15\% © Low |  | 15\%@ Very Low |  | 20\% @ Very Low |  | 25\%@ Very Low |  | 20\% © Low |  | 25\% © Low |  | 0\% © Low |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ste Improvements 2 2) | \$1,091,000 | S15 /fs site area | \$1,091,000 | /SFsite Area | \$1,01,000 | /SF Stit Area | \$1,091,000 | 5 /Sf Stite Area | \$1,091,000 | area | 1,000 | /SFs stearea | 1,00 | Area | \$1,091,000 | \$15 /SE Site Area | \$1,0 | Area |
| Parking | \$2,50,000 | \$25,000 /space | \$4,020,00 | \$30,000 /Space | \$3,900,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$4,08,000 | \$30,000 /Space | \$4,08,000 | \$30,000/space | \$4,08,000 | \$30,000/5pace | \$4,080,000 | \$30,000/space | \$4,080,000 | \$30,000 /spare | \$4,08,000 | \$30,000 /space |
| Shell Construction | \$10,62,000 | S180 /SF Gba | \$15,01,000 | S190/ /SF GBA | \$14,440,000 | S190 /SF GBA | \$15,200,000 | \$190 /SE GBA | \$15,200,000 | \$190 /SF GBA | \$15,200,000 | \$190 /SE GBA | \$15,200,000 | \$190 /SE GBA | \$15,200,000 | S190 /SF Gba | \$15,200,000 | \$190 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,421,000 | 10\% of Directs | \$20012000 | 10.0\% of diects | \$1,943,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$2037,000 | 10.0\% of directs | \$2,037,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$2,037,000 | 10.\% of Directs | \$2,037,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$2,037,000 | 10.0\% of direts | \$22,037,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct costs | \$15,63,000 | S265 /SF GBA | \$22,13,000 | S280 /SF GBA | \$22,374,000 | S281 /SF Gba | \$22,408,00 | S280 /SF GBA | \$22,408,000 | S280 /SF GBA | \$22,408,000 | S280 /SF Gba | \$22,408,000 | S880 /SF GBA | \$22,408,000 | S280 /SF GBA | \$22,408,000 | \$280 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$313,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$229,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,00 |  | \$330,000 |  | \$330,000 |  | 5330,00 |  | \$330,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& fees 2 ) | 51,000,000 | \$20,000 Unit | \$1,340,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,30,000 | \$20,000/Unit | \$1,360,00 | \$20,000 /SF GBA | \$1,36,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,360,00 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,36,000 | \$20,00 /Unit | \$1,36,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,36,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | S625,000 | 4\% of firects | \$885,000 | 4.0\% of directs | \$855,000 | 4.0\% of Diects | \$896,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$896,000 | 4.0\% of Diects | \$896,00 | 4.0\% of Diects | \$896,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$896,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$896,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2345,000 | 15\% of Divects | \$3,320,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$3,206,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,361,000 | 15.0\% of Diects | \$3,361,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$3,361,000 | 15.\%\% of directs | \$3,361,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,361,000 | 15.0\% of directs | \$3,66,000 | 15.0\% of Direets |
| Total 1 diriect Costs | \$3,970,000 | 25\% of Divects | \$5,545,000 | 25.1\% of diects | \$5,31,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$5,617,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$5,617,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$5,67,000 | 25.1\% of directs | \$5,617,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$5,617,000 | 25.1\% of Dieects | \$5,17,000 | 25.1\% of Directs |
| C. Financing costs | \$1,172,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,660,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,603,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,88,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,68,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,681,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,881,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,681,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,681,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$20,774,000 | \$352 /SF GBA | \$29,338,000 $\$ 438,000$ | \$371/ /SF GBA | \$28,338,000 | ${ }^{5773} /$ /5F GBA | \$29,706,000 | S371/ /5F GBA | \$29,706,000 | ${ }^{5371} /$ /SF GBA | \$29,706,000 | \$771/ /5F GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 29,706,000 \\ \$ 437,000 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{5771} /$ /5F GBA | \$29,706,000 437,000 | \$371 /SF GBA | \$29,706,000 | \$371 /SF GBA |


|  |  | B | c | D | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upzone Scenario without Density Bonus |  | Uprone Scenario w/Density Bonus |  | Low |  |  | Very Low |  |  |
|  | 10\% @ Very Low No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low No Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | \$30,381,650 ( $\$ 1,367,000)$ \$29,014,650 | \$28,612,000 ( $\$ 1,288,000$ ) $\$ 27,324,000$ | \$40,780,000 ( $\$ 1,835,000)$ \$38,945,000 | $\$ 38,362,000$ ( $\$ 1,726,000)$ \$36,336,000 | $\$ 39,768,000$ <br> $(\$ 1,790,000)$ <br> $\$ 37,978,000$ | \$38,660,000 ( $\$ 1,740,000)$ \$36,920,000 | \$36,998,000 ( $\$ 1,665,000)$ \$35,333,000 | \$39,340,000 <br> ( $\$ 1,770,000)$ <br> \$37,570,000 | \$37,882,000 ( $\$ 1,705,000)$ \$36,177,000 | \$36,910,000 ( $\$ 1,661,000)$ \$35,249,000 |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit <br> $10.0 \%$ of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 29,014,650 \\ & (\$ 3,038,000) \\ & \$ 25,976,650 \\ & (\$ 20,774,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 27,324,000 \\ & (\$ 2,861,000) \\ & \$ \$ 24,43,000 \\ & (\$ 20,774,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 38,945,000 \\ (\$ 4,078,000) \\ \$ 34,867,000 \\ (\$ 29,706,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 36,636,000 \\ (\$ 3,836,000) \\ \$ 32,800,000 \\ (\$ 28,338,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 37,978,000 \\ (\$ 3,977,000) \\ \$ 34,001,000 \\ (\$ 29,706,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 36,920,000 \\ (\$ 3,866,000) \\ \$ 33,054,000 \\ (\$ 29,706,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,333,000 \\ & (\$ 3,700,000) \\ & \$ \$ 1,63,000 \\ & (\$ 29,706,000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,570,000 \\ (\$ 3,934,000) \\ \begin{array}{l} \$ 33,66,000 \\ (\$ 29,706,000) \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,177,000 \\ (\$ 3,788,000) \\ \$ \$ 32,39900 \\ (\$ 29,076,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 35,249,000 \\ (\$ 3,691,000) \\ \$ 31,588,000 \\ \hline(\$ 29,06,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\$ 5,202,650$ $\$ 104,000$ $\$ 72$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,689,000 \\ \$ 74,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,161,000 \\ \$ 77,000 \\ \$ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,462,000 \\ \$ 69,000 \\ \$ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,295,000 \\ \$ 63,000 \\ \$ 59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,348,000 \\ \$ 49,000 \\ \$ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,927,000 \\ \$ 28,000 \\ \$ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,930,000 \\ \$ 58,000 \\ \$ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,683,000 \\ \$ 39,000 \\ \$ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,852,000 \\ \$ 27,000 \\ \$ 25 \end{array}$ |

## APPENDIX 4

## CITYWIDE INCLUSIONARY TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

SCENARIO \#1A

## Base Case: Pre-August 2018

1 Unit @ Very Low for every 10 units<br>no Density Bonus (For-Sale Only)

Inclusionary Scenarios:

15\%, 20\%, 25\% @ Very Low - w/ Density Bonus
20\%, 25\%, 30\% @ Low - w/ Density Bonus

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

|  | CITYWIDE DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-August 2018 | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| RESIDUAL LAND VaLue ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units No Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| A. Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) | \$469,000 /Unit <br> \$32 /SF Site | \$383,000 /Unit <br> \$37 /SF Site | \$306,000 /Unit \$30 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 306,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 30 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$319,000 /Unit <br> \$31 /SF Site | \$319,000 /Unit <br> \$31 /SF Site | \$246,000 /Unit <br> \$24 /SF Site |
| B. Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) | \$295,000 /Unit <br> \$34 /SF Site | \$336,000 /Unit \$52 /SF Site | \$288,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$239,000 /Unit <br> \$37 /SF Site | \$298,000 /Unit <br> \$46 /SF Site | \$252,000 /Unit <br> \$39 /SF Site | \$206,000 /Unit \$32 /SF Site |
| C. Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (RS-8) | \$206,000 /Unit \$38 /SF Site | \$174,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | \$143,000 /Unit <br> \$37 /SF Site | \$143,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit <br> \$27 /SF Site |
| D. Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (RS-11/R-11) | \$163,000 /Unit \$41 /SF Site | \$118,000 /Unit \$41 /SF Site | \$93,000 /Unit <br> \$32 /SF Site | \$68,000 /Unit <br> \$23 /SF Site | \$103,000 /Unit \$36 /SF Site | \$81,000 /Unit <br> \$28 /SF Site | \$70,000 /Unit <br> \$24 /SF Site |
| E. Mixed-Use Development | \$468,000 /Unit \$107 /SF Site | \$318,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | \$318,000 /Unit <br> \$102 /SF Site | \$192,000 /Unit <br> \$62 /SF Site | \$327,000 /Unit \$105 /SF Site | \$211,000 /Unit <br> \$68 /SF Site | \$211,000 /Unit \$68 /SF Site |
| F. Townhomes (R-15) | \$159,000 /Unit \$55 /SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit <br> \$61 /SF Site | \$113,000 /Unit <br> \$53 /SF Site | \$86,000 /Unit \$40 /SF Site | \$122,000 /Unit \$57 /SF Site | \$98,000 /Unit <br> \$46 /SF Site | \$82,000 /Unit <br> \$38 /SF Site |
| G. Garden Apartments (R-20) <br> - High Capitalization Rate (4.75\%) | $\begin{aligned} \text { \$145,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \text { \$67 } & \text { SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | $\$ 139,000 /$ Unit $\$ 86$ /SF Site | \$126,000 /Unit \$78 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 112,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 70 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\$ 130,000 /$ Unit $\$ 81$ /SF Site | \$119,000 /Unit \$73 /SF Site | \$106,000 $/$ Unit \$66 /SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate (4.25\%) | \$192,000 /Unit \$88 /SF Site | \$186,000 /Unit \$115 /SF Site | \$171,000 /Unit \$106 /SF Site | \$156,000 /Unit \$96 /SF Site | \$176,000 /Unit \$109 /SF Site | \$163,000 /Unit \$101 /SF Site | \$149,000 /Unit \$92 /SF Site |

```
The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Value per SF generated by the Base Case relative to the Residual Land
Values per SF generated when increased affordable housing requirements are imposed. The following benchmarks were used:(:2)
Low Impact: less than \(15 \%\) decrease in Residual Land Value from Base Case; likely to have nominal impact on project feasibility
Medium Impact: \(15 \%-25 \%\) decrease in Residual Land Value from Base Case; may raise concerns for project feasibility
High Impact: greater than 25\% decrease in Residual Land Value from Base Case; may result in financially infeasible project
```

(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated by the Base Case vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the development scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

|  | CITYWIDE DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-August 2018 | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
| RESIDUAL LAND VALUE ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| A. Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) | \$442,000 /Unit <br> \$41 /SF Site | \$383,000 /Unit <br> \$37 /SF Site | \$306,000 /Unit \$30 /SF Site | \$306,000 /Unit \$30 /SF Site | \$319,000 /Unit <br> \$31 /SF Site | \$319,000 /Unit <br> \$31 /SF Site | \$246,000 /Unit <br> \$24 /SF Site |
| B. Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) | \$385,000 /Unit \$60 /SF Site | \$336,000 /Unit \$52 /SF Site | \$288,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$239,000 /Unit <br> \$37 /SF Site | \$298,000 /Unit <br> \$46/SF Site | \$252,000 /Unit <br> \$39 /SF Site | \$206,000 /Unit <br> \$32 /SF Site |
| C. Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (RS-8) | \$208,000 /Unit \$51 /SF Site | \$174,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit <br> \$34 /SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | \$143,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$143,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$27/SF Site |
| D. Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (RS-11/R-11) | \$137,000 /Unit \$45/SF Site | \$118,000 /Unit \$41 /SF Site | \$93,000 /Unit <br> \$32 /SF Site | \$68,000 /Unit <br> \$23 /SF Site | \$103,000 /Unit \$36 /SF Site | \$81,000 /Unit \$28 /SF Site | \$70,000 /Unit <br> \$24 /SF Site |
| E. Mixed-Use Development | \$457,000 /Unit \$105 /SF Site | \$318,000 /Unit <br> \$102 /SF Site | \$318,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | \$192,000 /Unit \$62 /SF Site | \$327,000 /Unit \$105 /SF Site | \$211,000 /Unit \$68 /SF Site | \$211,000 /Unit <br> \$68 /SF Site |
| F. Townhomes (R-15) | \$153,000 /Unit \$68/SF Site | \$131,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$113,000 /Unit \$53 /SF Site | \$86,000 /Unit \$40 /SF Site | \$122,000 /Unit \$57 /SF Site | \$98,000 /Unit \$46/SF Site | \$82,000 /Unit <br> \$38/SF Site |
| G. Garden Apartments (R-20) <br> - High Capitalization Rate (4.75\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 175,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 106 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$139,000 /Unit \$86 /SF Site | \$126,000 /Unit \$78/SF Site | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 112,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 70 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$130,000 /Unit \$81/SF Site | \$119,000 /Unit $\$ 73$ /SF Site | $\$ 106,000 /$ Unit $\$ 66$ /SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate (4.25\%) | \$223,000 /Unit \$136 /SF Site | \$186,000 /Unit \$115 /SF Site | \$171,000 /Unit \$106 /SF Site | \$156,000 /Unit \$96 /SF Site | \$176,000 /Unit \$109 /SF Site | \$163,000 /Unit \$101 /SF Site | \$149,000 /Unit \$92 /SF Site |


(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated by the Base Case vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the development scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased
(2) For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

ATTACHMENT 4.A
Single-Family Detached - Large Lot
Zone R-3

|  | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus | Base Case w/Density Bonus |
|  | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units No Density Bonus | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 4.0 Acres | 4.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 3.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 3.0 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 12 Units | 12 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 1 Unit | 1 Units |



| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Very Low | 1 Units | 8\% | 1 Units | 6\% |
| B. Low | 0 Units | 0\% | 0 Units | \% |
| C. Market-Rate | 11 Units | 92\% | 15 Units | 94\% |
| D. Total | 12 Units | 100\% | 16 Units | 100\% |



| 16.7\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 25.0\% Very Low | 33.3\% Very Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% | 35.0\% |
| 4.3 Units/Acre | 4.3 Units/Acre | 4.3 Units/Acre | 4.3 Units/Acre | 4.3 Units/Acre | 4.3 Units/Acre |
| 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units | 17 Units |
| 2 Units 12\% | 3 Units 18\% | 3 Units 18\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% |
| 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 0 Units 0\% | 3 Units 18\% | 3 Units 18\% | 4 Units 24\% |
| $\frac{15 \text { Units }}{17 \text { Units }} \quad \frac{88 \%}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{14 \text { Units }}{17 \text { Units }} \quad \frac{82 \%}{120 \%}$ | $\frac{14 \text { Units }}{17 \text { Units }} \quad \frac{82 \%}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{14 \text { Units }}{17 \text { nuits }} \quad \frac{82 \%}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{14 \text { Units }}{17 \text { Units }} \quad \frac{82 \%}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{13 \text { Units }}{17 \text { Units }} \quad \frac{76 \%}{100 \%}$ |
| 17 Units 100\% | 17 Units 100\% | 17 Units 100\% | 17 Units 100\% | 17 Units 100\% | 17 Units 100\% |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number


[^22]ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
NCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 7,178,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\quad$ Per Unit | $\$ 598,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 41$ |

## ATTACHMENT 4.B

## Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot

Zone R-5


[^23](3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number

|  | Lot (R.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  |  |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $20 \%$ @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \text { @ Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus }\end{aligned}$ |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) Number of Units | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \mathrm{~A} \text { Acres } \\ & 3,25 \mathrm{OF} \\ & 65,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,25 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{SF} \\ 27 \mathrm{Units} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ 3,255 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,250 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ 3,250 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,250 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 3,250 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,750 \mathrm{sF} \\ 27 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 3,250 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 87,750 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 27 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | \$4,356,000 | \$25 /SF S Site Area | \$4,356,000 | \$25 /SF S Ste Area | \$4,356,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$4,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$4,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$4,356,000 | \$25/sf Site Area | \$4,35,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$4,356,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | so | So /Space | so | \$0/Space | \$0 | S0/Space | so | So /Space | \$0 | So /Space | so | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | so | \$0/Space |
| Shell Construction | \$9,100,000 | \$140 /SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145/SF GbA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF Gba | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145/SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA | \$12,724,000 | \$145/SF GbA | \$12,724,000 | \$145 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,346,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,788,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,708,000 | 10.0\% of Dire |
| Total Direct costs | \$14,802,000 | \$228 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA | \$18,788,000 | \$214 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$740,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  | \$696,000 |  |
| B. Indirect costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$550,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$73,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$73,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$73,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$743,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$592,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$752,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,220,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,818,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,818,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,818,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,818,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,88,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,818,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,881,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,362,000 | 22.7\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$4,313,000 | 23.0\% of Directs |
| c. Financing Costs | \$1,110,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,40,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,409,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,40,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 19,274,000 \\ \$ 964,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | S297 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 / /5F GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 / /5F GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,510,000 \\ \$ 908,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$279 /SF GBA |

[^24]
## estimate of residual land value

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSI
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Base Case without Density Bonus <br> 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units No Density Bonus | Base Case w/Density Bonus 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\qquad$ | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | 4.5\% of Value |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 29,440,000 \\ & (\$ 1,325,000) \\ & \$ 28,115,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,815,000 \\ & (\$ 1,837,000) \\ & \hline \$ 38,978,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 39,285,000 \\ & (\$ 1,768,000) \\ & \$ 37,517,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,755,000 \\ & (\$ 1,699,000) \\ & \$ 36,056,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 36,225,000 \\ & (\$ 1,630,000) \\ & \$ 34,595,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 38,067,000 \\ & (\$ 1,713,000) \\ & \$ 36,354,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 36,615,000 \\ & (\$ 1,648,000) \\ & \$ 34,967,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,163,000 \\ & (\$ 1,582,000) \\ & \$ 33,581,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,115,000 \\ (\$ 2,944,000) \\ \hline \$ 25,171,000 \\ (\$ 19,274,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 38,978,000 \\ (\$ 4,082,000) \\ \$ 34,896,000 \\ (\$ 24,510,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,517,000 \\ (\$ 3,929,000) \\ \$ 33,588,000 \\ (\$ 24,510,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,056,000 \\ (\$ 3,776,000) \\ \$ 32,280,000 \\ (\$ 24,510,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 34,595,000 \\ (\$ 3,623,000) \\ \$ 30,972,000 \\ (\$ 24,510,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,354,000 \\ (\$ 3,807,000) \\ \$ 32,547,000 \\ (\$ 24,510,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 34,967,000 \\ (\$ 3,662,000) \\ \$ 31,305,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 33,581,000 \\ (\$ 3,516,000) \\ \$ 30,065,000 \\ (\$ 24,510,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,897,000 \\ \$ 295,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,386,000 \\ \$ 385,000 \\ \$ 60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,078,000 \\ \$ 336,000 \\ \$ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,770,000 \\ \$ 288,000 \\ \$ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,462,000 \\ \$ 239,000 \\ \$ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,037,000 \\ \$ 298,000 \\ \$ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,795,000 \\ \$ 252,000 \\ \$ 39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,555,000 \\ \$ 206,000 \\ \$ 32 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 8,513,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 426,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 49$ |

## ATTACHMENT 4.C

## Single-Family Detached - Small Lot

Zone R-8


[^25](2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.


[^26]ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCIUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANAIYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { C D } \\ \hline \text { Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-8) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Base Case without Density Bonus } \\ & \hline 1 \text { unit @ Very Low for every } 10 \text { units } \\ & \text { No Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | Base Case w/Density Bonus <br> 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 1. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ $\quad 4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\$ 11,678,000$ ( $\$ 526,000)$ \$11,152,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,345,000 \\ (\$ 646,000) \\ \$ 13,699,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,440,000 \\ (\$ 650,000) \\ \$ 13,790,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,585,000 \\ (\$ 611,000) \\ \$ 12,974,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,819,000 \\ (\$ 622,000) \\ \$ 13,197,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,819,000 \\ (\$ 622,000) \\ \$ 13,197,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,042,000 \\ (\$ 587,000) \\ \$ 12,455,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,152,000 \\ (\$ 1,168,000) \\ \hline \$ 9,984,000 \\ (\$ 7,517,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 13,699,000 \\ & (\$ 1,435,000) \\ & \$ 12,264,000 \\ & (\$ 8,939,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 13,790,000 \\ & (\$ 1,444,000) \\ & \$ 12,346,000 \\ & (\$ 9,384,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,974,000 \\ & (\$ 1,359,000) \\ & \hline \$ 11,615,000 \\ & (\$ 9,384,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,974,000 \\ & (\$ 1,359,000) \\ & \hline \$ 11,615,000 \\ & (\$ 9,384,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 13,197,000 \\ & (\$ 1,382,000) \\ & \$ 11,815,000 \\ & (\$ 9,384,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 13,197,000 \\ & \frac{(\$ 1,382,000)}{} \\ & \hline \$ 11,815,000 \\ & (\$ 9,384,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,455,000 \\ & (\$ 1,304,000) \\ & \hline \$ 11,151,000 \\ & (\$ 9,384,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\$ 2,467,000$ $\$ 206,00$ $\$ 38$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,325,000 \\ \$ 208,000 \\ \$ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,962,000 \\ \$ 174,000 \\ \$ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,231,000 \\ \$ 131,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,231,000 \\ \$ 131,000 \\ \$ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,431,000 \\ \$ 143,000 \\ \$ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,431,000 \\ \$ 143,000 \\ \$ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,767,000 \\ \$ 104,000 \\ \$ 27 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 3,286,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 274,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 50$ |

Estimate of sales proceeds
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number

|  | $\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\text { Single-Family }}$ Detached - Small Lot (RS-11/R-11) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus <br> unit @ Very Low for every 10 units <br> with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $20 \%$ @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $20 \%$ @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | $25 \%$ @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \text { @ Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus }\end{aligned}$ |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) Number of Units | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ 2,00 \mathrm{SF} \\ 66,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ 33 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1.51 \mathrm{sF} \\ 64,930 \mathrm{sF} \\ 3 \mathrm{sunits} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ 1,51 \mathrm{sF} \\ 67,950 \mathrm{SF} \\ 45 \mathrm{Units} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1,51 \mathrm{sF} \\ 67,950 \mathrm{SF} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1,51 \mathrm{SF} \\ 67,950 \mathrm{SF} \\ 45 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 67,95 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 45 \mathrm{Snits} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 67,95 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 45 \mathrm{Sn} \\ & 45 \mathrm{Units} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ & 1.510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 67,95 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 45 \mathrm{Snits} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25/sf Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF S Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF S Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF S Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$3,267,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | so | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/space | so | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/5pace |
| Shell Construction | \$9,900,000 | \$150/SF GbA | \$10,389,000 | \$160 /SF Gba | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GbA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GbA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF Gba | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GbA | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF Gba | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GbA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,317,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,366,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,414,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,414,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,414,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,41,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,414,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,414,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$14,484,000 | \$219 /SF GBA | \$15,022,000 | \$231 /SF GbA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF GBA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF Gba | \$15,53,000 | \$229 /SF GbA | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF Gba | \$15,553,000 | \$229 /SF GbA |
| Per Unit | \$439,000 |  | \$349,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$366,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  | \$346,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (i) | \$907,500 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,183,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,238,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,23,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,238,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,238,00 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,238,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,238,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$579,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$601,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$622,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,173,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,25,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,333,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,333,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,33,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,333,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,659,500 | 25.3\% of Directs | \$4,037,000 | 26.9\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.0\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.0\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.0\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.0\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.0\% of Directs | \$4,193,000 | 27.0\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,086,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,127,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,16,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,166,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 19,230,000 \\ & \$ 583,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$291/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 20,186,000 \\ \$ 469,000 \end{array}$ | \$311/SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$308 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$308 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$20,912,000 } \\ \$ 465,000 \end{array}$ | \$308 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \end{array}$ | \$308/SF GBA | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,912,000 \\ \$ 465,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$308 /SF GBA |



| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 7,574,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 230,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 58$ |

## ATTACHMENT 4.E

Mixed-Use Development

CITY OF ENCINITAS

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

|  | Mixed-Use Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  |  | Base Case with Density Bonus <br> unit $@$ Very Low for every 10 units <br> with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $25 \%$ @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $\stackrel{20 \% @ \text { Low }}{\text { with Density Bonus }}$ |  | $25 \%$ @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description | 0.5 Acres |  |  |  |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  | 0.5 Acres |  |
| Site Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Unit Size | 1,500 SF |  |  |  |  | 1,500 SF |  | 1,500 SF |  | $1,500 \mathrm{sF}$10500105 |  | 1,500 SF |  | 1,500 SF |  | 1,500 SF |  |  |  |
| Residential GBA | 7,500 SF |  |  | 7,500 sF |  | 10,500 SF |  | 10,500 sF |  |  |  | 10,500 SF |  | 10,500 SF |  | 10,500 sF |  |  |  |
| Number of Units | 5 Units$5,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |  |  | 5 Units |  | 7 Units5,000 SF |  | 7 Units |  |  |  | 7L,000 SF |  | $\xrightarrow{7} \begin{aligned} & \text { Units } \\ & \text { 5,000 SF }\end{aligned}$ |  | 7 Units5,00 SF |  |  |  |
| Commericial GBA |  |  |  | 5,000 S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Total GBA }}$ Parking | ${ }_{\text {12,500 SF }}^{46 \text { Spaces }}$ |  |  | 12,500 SF46 spaces |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15,500 SF } \\ & 51 \text { spaces } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15,500 5F } \\ & 51 \text { spaces } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15,500 SF51 Spaces |  | 15,500 SF51 Spaces |  | 15,500 SF51 Spaces |  | 15,500 SF 51 Spaces |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | \$436,000 | \$20 | /sF Site Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$436,000 | \$20/SF Site Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$436,000 | \$20 /SF S Site Area |  |  |
| Parking | \$1,840,000 | \$40,000 | /Space | \$1,840,000 | \$40,000 /space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000 /Space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000 /Space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000/Space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000/Space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000 /Space | \$2,040,000 | \$40,000 /space |  |  |
| Shell Construction - Residential | \$1,35,000 | \$180 | /SF GBA-Res | \$1,38,000 | \$185 /SF gba-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /SF gba-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /SF gba-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /SF GBA-Res | \$1,94,000 | \$185/SF GBA-Res | \$1,943,000 | \$185 /SF gBa-Res | \$1,94, 000 | \$185/SF GBA-Res |  |  |
| Shell Construction - Commericial | \$800,000 | \$160 | /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA-Cmm | \$800,000 | \$160/SF GBA-Cmm |  |  |
| Tenant Improvements - Commercial | \$250,000 | \$50 | /SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm | \$250,000 | \$50/SF GBA-Cmm |  |  |
| Other/Contingency | \$468,000 | 10.0\% | of Directs | \$471,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$547,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |  |  |
| Total Direct Costs | \$5,14,000 | \$412 | /SF Total GBA | \$5,18,000 | \$415 /SF GbA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF GbA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF GbA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF GbA | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF Gba | \$6,016,000 | \$388 /SF GBA | \$6,016,000 | \$388/SF GbA |  |  |
| Per Unit | \$1,029,000 |  |  | \$1,037,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  | \$859,000 |  |  |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees - Residential (1) | \$138,000 | \$27,500 |  | \$138,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$193,000 | \$27,500/Unit |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees - Commercial (1) | \$50,000 | \$10 |  | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF | \$50,000 | \$10/SF |  |  |
| Developer Fee | \$206,000 | 4.0\% | of Directs | \$207,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$241,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |  |  |
| Other Indirects | \$772,000 | 15.0\% | of Directs | \$778,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$9902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | 5902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$902,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |  |  |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,166,000 | 22.7\% ه | of Directs | \$1,173,000 | 22.6\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$1,386,000 | 23.0\% of Directs | \$1,38,000 | 23.0\% of Directs |  |  |
| C. Financing costs | \$386,000 | 7.5\% | of Directs | \$389,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$451,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |  |  |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,696,000 \\ & \$ 1,339,000 \end{aligned}$ | 5536 | /SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,747,000 \\ & \$ 1,349,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$540 /SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,853,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$507/SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,853,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$507 /SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,853,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$507/SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 7,853,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$507 /SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 7,853,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$507/SF Total GBA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 7,853,000 \\ & \$ 1,122,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$507/SF Total GBA |  |  |

[^27]

| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 2,140,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 428,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 98$ |



[^28]|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus <br> 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $25 \%$ @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area Average Unit Size Gross Building Area (GBA) Number of Units |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ 87,58 \mathrm{sF} \\ 58 \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 1,51 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 61 \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \mathrm{Acres} \\ 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ 92,110 \mathrm{sF} \\ \hline 6 \mathrm{l} \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \text { Acres } \\ & 1,510 \mathrm{sF} \\ & 92,110 \mathrm{SF} \\ & 6 \mathrm{SF} \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| II. Development Costs A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (1) | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$2,61,000 | \$20 /sf Site Area | \$2,61,000 | \$20 /SF S Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF S Ste Area | \$2,61,000 | \$20 /sf Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF S Site Area | \$2,614,000 | \$20 /SF S Site Area |
| Parking | so | \$0/Space | so | \$0/Space | so | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/5pace | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space | so | \$0/Space |
| Shell Construction | \$10,872,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$15,327,000 | \$175/SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF Gba | \$16,119,000 | \$175/SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175/SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175/SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175 /SF GBA | \$16,119,000 | \$175/SF Gba |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,349,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,794,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,873,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$14,835,000 | \$218 /SF GBA | \$19,735,000 | \$225 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA | \$20,606,000 | \$224 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$330,000 |  | \$340,000 |  | \$338,000 |  | \$338,00 |  | \$338,000 |  | \$338,000 |  | \$338,000 |  | \$338,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$900,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,160,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,22,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,22,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,20,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,220,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$593,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$789,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$824,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,225,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,96,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,09,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$3,091,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,718,000 | 25.1\% of Directs | \$4,90, 000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,13,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs | \$5,135,000 | 24.9\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,113,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,480,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,545,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,666,000 \\ \$ 437,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$289 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 26,124,000 \\ \$ 450,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$298 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,286,000 \\ \$ 447,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$296 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,286,000 \\ \$ 447,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$296/SF GBA | \$27,286,000 \$447,000 | \$296/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,286,000 \\ \$ 447,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$296 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,286,000 \\ \$ 447,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$296 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,286,000 \\ \$ 447,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$296 /SF GBA |

estimate of residual land value
ITCUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANAIYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 9,382,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 208,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 72$ |

## ATTACHMENT 4.G

## Garden Apartments

Zone R-20

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the

|  | Garden Apartments (R-20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Casewithout Density Bonus |  | Base Case with Density Bonus with Density Bonus |  | Very Low |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $25 \%$ @ Very Low with Density Bonus |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 25 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |  | $30 \%$ @ Lowwith Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area <br> Average Unit Size <br> Gross Building Area (GBA) (1) <br> Number of Units <br> Parking | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 825 \\ 33,854 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ 44,857 \\ 53 \\ 106 \end{array}$ | Acres sf SF Units Spaces | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ 45,703 \\ 54 \\ 108 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 8.5 \\ 45,703 \\ 54 \\ 108 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 8.0 \\ 45,703 \\ 54 \\ 108 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UF } \\ & \text { Sints } \\ & \text { Spaces } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ 45,703 \\ 54 \\ 108 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF } \\ & \text { Units } \\ & \text { Spaces } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 825 \\ 45,703 \\ 54 \\ 108 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UF } \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ Spaces | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 825 \\ 45,703 \\ 54 \\ 108 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sF } \\ & \text { SF } \\ & \text { Units } \\ & \text { spaces } \end{aligned}$ |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On/off Site Improvements (2) | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50/SF Site Area | \$1,88,000 | \$13/SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50/SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /sf Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50/SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /SF Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /sf Site Area | \$1,089,000 | \$12.50 /SF site Area |
| Parking | \$200,000 | \$2,500/Space | \$265,00 | \$2,500/Space | \$270,00 | \$2,500/space | \$270,000 | \$2,500/space | \$270,000 | \$2,500/space | \$270,00 | \$2,500/Space | \$270,000 | \$2,500/space | \$270,000 | \$2,500 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$5,47,000 | \$160 /SF GBA | \$6,72,000 | \$150 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,770,000 | \$170 /SF GBA | \$7,77,000 | \$170/SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$677,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$888,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$913,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$913,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$993,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$913,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$991,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$991,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$7,377,000 | \$218 /SF GBA | \$8,891,000 | \$198 /SF GBA | \$11,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA | \$10,042,000 | \$220 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$184,000 |  | \$168,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  | \$186,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (2) | \$800,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,06,000 | \$20,000/Unit | \$1,08,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,080,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,080,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,080,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,080,000 | \$20,000 /Unit | \$1,080,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$295,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$356,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$402,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,107,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,334,000 | 15.0\% of Direts | \$1,506,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,506,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,202,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,75,000 | 30.9\% of Directs | \$2,98,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,988,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,988,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,988,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,988,000 | 29.8\% of Directs | \$2,988,000 | 29.8\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$553,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$667,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$753,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Development Costs } \\ & \text { Per Unit }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,132,000 \\ \$ 253,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$299/SF GBA | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 12,388,000 \\ \$ 232,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$274 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 302 / \text { SF GBA }$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$302 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 302$ /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 302 / \text { SF GBA }$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$302 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,783,000 \\ \$ 255,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\$ 302 / \text { SF GBA }$ |

12: Estimate, not verified by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

|  | - |  |  |  |  | $\underline{6}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Garden Apartments (R-20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus | Base Case w/Density Bonus | Very Low |  |  | Low |  |  |
|  | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units No Density Bonus | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Operating Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Gross Rental Income <br> B. Other Income <br> \$75 /Unit/Month <br> C. Gross Scheduled Income (GSI) <br> D. (Less) Vacancy <br> 5.0\% of GSI <br> E. Effective Gross Income | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,323,000 \\ \underline{\$ 36,000} \\ \$ 1,359,000 \\ (\$ 68,000) \\ \$ 1,291,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,786,000 \\ \$ 48,000 \\ \$ 1,834,000 \\ (\$ 92,000) \\ \$ 1,742,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,771,000 \\ \$ 499000 \\ \$ 1,820,000 \\ (\$ 91,000) \\ \$ 1,729,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,719,000 \\ \$ \$ 49,000 \\ \$ 1,768,000 \\ (\$ 88,000) \\ \$ 1,680,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,668,000 \\ \$ 49,000 \\ \$ 1,717,000 \\ (\$ 86,000) \\ \$ 1,631,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,737,000 \\ \$ 49,000 \\ \$ 1,786,000 \\ (\$ 89,000) \\ \$ 1,697,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,691,000 \\ \$ 49,000 \\ \$ 1,740,000 \\ (\$ 87,000) \\ \$ 1,653,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,644,000 \\ \$ 49,000 \\ \$ 1,693,000 \\ (\$ 85,000) \\ \$ \$ 1,608,000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { F. (Less) Operating Expenses } & \$ 5,000 / \text { Unit/Year } \\ \text { G. (Less) Property Taxes (1) } \\ \text { H. (Less) Replacement Reserves } & \$ 250 / \text { Unit//Year }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 200,000) \\ (\$ 211,000) \\ (\$ 10,000) \end{gathered}$ | $(\$ 265,000)$ ( $\$ 286,000$ ) ( $\$ 13,000)$ | $(\$ 270,000)$ ( $\$ 282,000$ ) ( $\$ 14,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 270,000) \\ (\$ 272,000) \\ (\$ 14,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $(\$ 270,000)$ ( $\$ 263,000$ ) ( $\$ 14,000)$ | $(\$ 270,000)$ ( $\$ 276,000$ ) ( $\$ 14,000)$ | (\$270,000) ( $\$ 267,000$ ) ( $\$ 14,000)$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 270,000) \\ (\$ 258,000) \\ (\$ 14,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| I. Net Operating Income | \$870,000 | \$1,178,000 | \$1,163,000 | \$1,124,000 | \$1,084,000 | \$1,137,000 | \$1,102,000 | \$1,066,000 |
| II. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> c. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 870,000 \\ 4.25 \% \\ \$ 20,471,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,178,000 \\ \quad \begin{array}{r} 4.25 \% \\ \$ 27,718,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 1,163,000 \\ 4.25 \% \\ \$ 27,365,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,124,000 \\ \underline{4.25 \%} \\ \$ 26,447,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,084,000 \\ 4.25 \% \\ \$ 25,506,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,137,000 \\ 4.25 \% \\ \$ 26,753,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,102,000 \\ \underline{4.25 \%} \\ \$ 25,929,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,066,000 \\ \underline{4.25 \%} \\ \$ 25,082,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value <br> E. (Less) Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 614,000) \\ (\$ 2,047,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 832,000) \\ (\$ 2,772,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 821,000) \\ (\$ 2,737,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 793,000) \\ (\$ 2,645,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 765,000) \\ (\$ 2,551,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 803,000) \\ (\$ 2,675,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 778,000) \\ (\$ 2,593,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 752,000) \\ (\$ 2,508,000) \end{array}$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 17,810,000 \\ (\$ 10,132,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 24,114,000 \\ (\$ 12,308,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 23,807,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 23,009,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 22,190,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 23,275,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 22,558,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 21,822,000 \\ & (\$ 13,783,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | \$7,678,000 \$192,000 $\$ 88$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,806,000 \\ \$ 223,000 \\ \$ 136 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$10,024,000 \$186,000 \$115 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,226,000 \\ \$ 171,000 \\ \$ 106 \end{array}$ | \$8,407,000 $\$ 156,000$ $\$ 96$ | \$9,492,000 \$176,000 \$109 | \$8,775,000 \$163,000 \$101 | \$8,039,000 \$149,000 $\$ 92$ |
| III. Residual Land Value - High Capitalization Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | (\%) $\frac{5870,000}{}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,163,000 \\ \$ 24,484,000 \\ \hline 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,124,000 \\ \$ 23,663,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,084,000 \\ \$ 2 ., 75 \% \\ \$ 22,82,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,137,000 \\ \$ 23,937,0,000 \\ \hline 4.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c:c} \$ 1,102,000 \\ \hdashline 23,200,000 \\ \hline 450 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,066,000 \\ \$ 22,442,000 \\ \hline 4.750 \end{array}$ |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $3.0 \%$ of Value <br> E. (Less) Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 549,000) \\ (\$ 1,832,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 744,000) \\ (\$ 2,480,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 735,000) \\ (\$ 2,448,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 710,000) \\ (\$ 2,366,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 685,000) \\ (\$ 2,282,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 718,000) \\ (\$ 2,394,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 696,000) \\ (\$ 2,320,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 673,000) \\ (\$ 2,244,000) \end{array}$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15,935,000 \\ (\$ 10,132,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 21,576,000 \\ (\$ 12,308,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 21,301,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,587,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 19,854,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,825,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,184,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 19,525,000 \\ (\$ 13,783,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,803,000 \\ \$ 145,000 \\ \$ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,268,000 \\ \$ 175,000 \\ \$ 106 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,518,000 \\ \$ 139,000 \\ \$ 86 \end{array}$ | $\$ 6,804,000$ \$126,000 \$78 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,071,000 \\ \$ 112,000 \\ \$ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,042,000 \\ \$ 130,000 \\ \$ 81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,401,000 \\ \$ 119,000 \\ \$ 73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,742,000 \\ \$ 106,000 \\ \$ 66 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 8,211,000$ <br> Per Unit <br> Per SF |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 205,000$ |  |

(1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes a $4.5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.

## APPENDIX 5

# HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE <br> "R-30" SITES TECHNICAL ANALYSIS 

SCENARIO \#2A

## Base Case: Pre-August 2018

1 Unit @ Very Low for every 10 units no Density Bonus (For-Sale Only)

Upzone Scenarios - Rental

10\%, 15\%, 20\%, 25\% @ Very Low - w/ Density Bonus
15\%, 20\%, 25\%, 30\% @ Low - w/ Density Bonus

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
| RESIDUAL LAND Value ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 Unit @ Very Low for Every 10 Units without Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $15 \%$ @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 08 Rancho Santa Fe Parcels (Gaffney/Goodsen) <br> Existing Conditions (RR-2) - Single-Family | \$519,000 $/$ Unit \$24 $/$ SF Site | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 78,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 71 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 65,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 60 / / 5 F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$51,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 47 / / 5 F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 34 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 62,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 54 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 55,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 51 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 39 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 30,000 /$ Unit $\$ 28 / S F$ Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$129,000 /Unit \$118 /SF Site | \$114,000 /Unit \$106 /SF Site | \$98,000 /Unit \$91 /SF Site | \$83,000 /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$111,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$96 /SF Site | \$89,000 /Unit \$83 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 75,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 69 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ |
| AD1 Sage Canyon <br> Existing Conditions ( R -3) - Single-Family (2) | $\begin{aligned} \$ 543,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 38 & / \text { SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 79,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 74 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 65,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 61 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$51,000 /Unit $\$ 47 /$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 37,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 34 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$60,000 /Unit } \\ \$ 53 \text { /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 55,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 52 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / / 5 F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 30,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 28 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | $\$ 130,000 /$ Unit $\$ 121 /$ SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$114,000 } \text { /Unit } \\ & \text { \$106 } \\ & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 98,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 92 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 83,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 77 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$110,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 / Unit $\$ 97 /$ SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 89,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 83 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 75,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 70 & / \text { SF Site }\end{array}$ |
| AD2 Baldwin and Son Properties <br> Existing Conditions ( $R$-5) - Single-Family | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 282,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 36 / S F ~ S i t e\end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$79,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 73 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 65,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 61 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$51,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 48 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 37,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 34 / \text { SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 61,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 54 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 56,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 52 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \text { \$40 / /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 30,000$ /Unit $\$ 28$ /SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | $\$ 130,000$ $\$ 121 /$ Unit /SF Site | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 115,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 107 \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 99,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 92 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 83,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 77 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$110,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$97/SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 89,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 83 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 76,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 70 & / \text { SF Site }\end{array}$ |


|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
| RESIDUAL LAND Value ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 Unit @ Very Low for Every 10 Units without Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 7 Jackel Property <br> Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) | \$75 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | \$176,000 /Unit \$72 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 160,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 66 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$144,000 $/$ /Unit \$59 / /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \text { \$129,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 53 & / \text { SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$164,000 $/$ /Unit $\$ 63 /$ /SF Site | \$149,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 135,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 55 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 120,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 49 \\ & / S F \\ & \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$125,000 /Unit <br> \$51/SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$111,000 /Unit } \\ & \text { \$45 /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$97,000 /Unit $\$ 40 /$ SF Site | \$83,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$114,000 /Unit } \\ \$ 44 \text { /SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$101,000 } / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 41 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$88,000 /Unit \$36 /SF Site | \$75,000 /Unit <br> \$31 /SF Site |
| 12 Sunshine Garden <br> Existing Conditions (OP) - Professional Office | \$56 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | \$77,000 /Unit $\$ 71$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 63,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 58 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 49,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 46 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$35,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 33 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$59,000 /Unit $\$ 52$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$54,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 50 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$41,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 38 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$29,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 27 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$127,000 /Unit \$118/SF Site | \$112,000 /Unit \$104 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 97,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 90 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 81,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 75 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { \$108,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 95 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \$ 102,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 95 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$88,000 /Unit \$82 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 74,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 68 / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ |
| AD11 Manchester Avenue West <br> Existing Conditions (R-11) - Single-Family | $\begin{aligned} \$ 274,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 68 & \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 74,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 68 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 63,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 59 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 52,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 48 / S F \text { site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 33 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 64,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 58 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$57,000 /Unit $\$ 53$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 41,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 38 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$31,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 29 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$125,000 /Unit \$115 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 112,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 105 & / S F \text { Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$99,000 /Unit \$93 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 81,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 76 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$114,000 /Unit \$102 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \text { \$105,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 98 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$87,000 /Unit \$82 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 76,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 71 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ |

The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative to the Residual Land
The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative
Values generated by the Upzone Scenarios with increased affordable housing requirements. The following benchmarks were used: ${ }^{4}$.
High Impact: greater than $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Exisiting Conditions (without Upzone); ikely to provide an incentive to develop site
Medium Impact: $15 \%$ - $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may raise concerns regarding development of site
Low Impact: less than 15\% increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may result in little to no incentive to develop site
(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated without Upzone (Existing Conditions) vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the Upzone Scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) Reflects residual land value for 10 market-rate units. No affordable units. No density bonus.
(3) $G B A=$ Gross Building Are

For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
| RESIDUAL LAND Value ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 08 Rancho Santa Fe Parcels (Gaffney/Goodsen) <br> Existing Conditions (RR-2) - Single-Family | $\begin{aligned} \$ 939,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 43 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | \$78,000 /Unit \$71 / /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 65,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 60 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$51,000 /Unit $\$ 47$ /SF Site | $\$ 37,000$ /Unit $\$ 34$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 62,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 54 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$55,000 /Unit $\$ 51 /$ SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 39 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 30,000$ /Unit $\$ 28 /$ SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$129,000 /Unit \$118 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 114,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 106 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$98,000 /Unit \$91 /SF Site | \$83,000 /Unit \$77/SF Site | \$111,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$96/SF Site | \$89,000 /Unit \$83 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 75,000 & / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 69 & / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ |
| AD1 Sage Canyon <br> Existing Conditions (R-3) - Single-Family (2) | $\begin{aligned} \$ 987,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 69 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\$ 79,000 /$ Unit $\$ 74$ /SF Site | \$65,000 /Unit $\$ 61$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 51,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 47 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 34 \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\$ 60,000$ /Unit $\$ 53$ /SF Site | \$55,000 /Unit $\$ 52$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 43,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / S F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 30,000$ /Unit $\$ 28$ /SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$130,000 /Unit \$121 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$114,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 106 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 98,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 92 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$83,000 /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$110,000 /Unit <br> \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | \$89,000 /Unit \$83 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 75,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 70 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| AD2 Baldwin and Son Properties <br> Existing Conditions (R-5) - Single-Family | \$506,000 /Unit \$65 /SF Site | --- | -- | --- | --- | -- | -- | -- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | \$79,000 /Unit $\$ 73$ /SF Site | \$65,000 /Unit $\$ 61$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 51,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 48 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,000 / \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 34 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$61,000 /Unit \$54 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$56,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 52 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$43,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 40 / \text { SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$30,000 /Unit $\$ 28$ /SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$130,000 /Unit \$121/SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$115,000 /Unit } \\ & \text { \$107 /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 99,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 92 & / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$83,000 /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$110,000 /Unit <br> \$97 /SF Site | \$104,000 /Unit \$97 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl} \$ 89,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 83 & / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 76,000 \quad / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 70 / \text { SF Site } \end{gathered}$ |

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  | 1 unit @ Very Low for every 10 units with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25\% @ Very Low } \\ & \text { with Density Bonus } \end{aligned}$ | 5\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 7 Jackel Property <br> Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) | \$75 /SF Site | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$176,000 } / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 72 \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 160,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 66 / \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$144,000 $/$ Unit $\$ 59$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \text { \$129,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 53 & / S F \text { site }\end{aligned}$ | \$164,000 /Unit <br> \$63 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$149,000 } \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 61 / S F \text { Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$135,000 $\$ 55 /$ /Unit /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 120,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 49 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$125,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 51 / \text { /F Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$111,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$97,000 /Unit \$40 /SF Site | \$83,000 /Unit \$34 /SF Site | \$114,000 /Unit \$44 /SF Site | \$101,000 /Unit \$41/SF Site | $\$ 88,000$ /Unit \$36 /SF Site | \$75,000 /Unit \$31/SF Site |
| 12 Sunshine Garden <br> Existing Conditions (OP) - Professional Office | \$56 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | \$77,000 /Unit $\$ 71 /$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 63,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 58 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$49,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 46 / / 5 F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 35,000$ /Unit $\$ 33$ /SF Site | $\$ 59,000 /$ Unit $\$ 52 /$ /FF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 54,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 50 / \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$41,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 38 \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$29,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 27 / / / 5 F \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | \$127,000 /Unit \$118 /SF Site | \$112,000 /Unit \$104/SF Site | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 97,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 90 & / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$81,000 /Unit \$75 /SF Site | \$108,000 /Unit \$95 /SF Site | \$102,000 /Unit \$95 /SF Site | \$88,000 /Unit \$82 /SF Site | \$74,000 /Unit \$68 /SF Site |
| AD11 Manchester Avenue West <br> Existing Conditions (R-11) - Single-Family | $\begin{aligned} \$ 345,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 85 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | --- | -- | --- | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 74,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 68 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$63,000 /Unit $\$ 59$ /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 52,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 48 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000 / \text { Unit } \\ & \$ 33 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 64,000 \text { /Unit } \\ & \$ 58 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$57,000 /Unit $\$ 53 /$ SF Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$41,000 /Unit } \\ & \$ 38 \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$31,000 /Unit $\$ 29 /$ SF Site |
| - Low Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} \$ 125,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 115 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$112,000 /Unit \$105 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl} \$ 99,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 93 & / \text { SF Site } \end{array}$ | \$81,000 /Unit \$76/SF Site | \$114,000 /Unit \$102/SF Site | \$105,000 /Unit \$98/SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl} \$ 87,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 82 & / S F \text { Site } \end{array}$ | \$76,000 /Unit \$71/SF Site |

The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative to the Residual Land
The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative
Values generated by the Upzone Scenarios with increased affordable housing requirements. The following benchmarks were used:
High Impact: greater than $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Exisiting Conditions (without Upzone); ikely to provide an incentive to develop site
Medium Impact: $15 \%$ - $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may raise concerns regarding development of site
Low Impact: less than $15 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Uprone); may result in little to no incentive to develop site
(1) Residual Land Value represents the maximum supported land payment that a developer can afford for a given development prototype. It is calculated by estimating the total project value upon completion less the estimated total development costs (excluding land costs). The impact of affordable housing
requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated without Upzone (Existing Conditions) vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the Upzone Scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) Reflects residual land value for 10 marke-rate units. No affordable units. No density bonus.
(3) $\quad$ GBA $=$ Gross Building Are

For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rancho Santa Fe Sites (Gaffney/Goodsen) } \\
\text { Single-Family Detached - Large Lot } \\
\text { Zone RR-2 }
\end{array}
$$

|  | $\frac{\text { A }}{\substack{\text { Single-Family Detached } \\ \text { Large Lot (RR-2) } \\ \text { - Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions }}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 6.0 Acres | 6.0 Acres |
| B. Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 2.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| c. Number of Units (1) | 12 Units | 12 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 Uüit @ VLfor every 10 units | 1 Unit @ ©VL for every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 1 Unit | 1 Unit |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  | 8.3\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  | 27.5\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  | 2.7 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  | 16 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 \text { Units } & 8 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \underline{11 \text { Units }} & \underline{92 \%} \\ \hline 12 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 \text { Units } & 6 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \frac{15 \text { Units }}{} & \underline{94 \%} \\ \hline 16 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low $\$ 93,000$ <br> Low $\$ 178,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 93,000 \\ & \$ 93,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 93,000 \\ & \$ 93, \underline{000} \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 5,020 \text { SF } \\ \$ \frac{\$ 475}{} \\ \$ 2,385,000 \\ \$ 26,235,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,020 \text { SF } \\ \$ 15,500 \\ \$ 2,510,000 \\ \$ 37,650,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 26,328,000 \\ \$ 2,194,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,743,000 \\ \$ 2,359,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

## estimate of development costs <br> INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS <br> CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  | c |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description <br> Site Area <br> Average Unit Size <br> Gross Building Area <br> Number of Units <br> Parking | 5,020 60,24 | Acres <br> SF <br> SF <br> Units <br> Spaces | 6.0 A $5,020 \mathrm{~S}$ $80,320 \mathrm{~S}$ 16 64 S | res <br> nits <br> aces |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$3,933,00 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$3,933,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking |  | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$7,530,00 | \$125 /SF GBA | \$10,843,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,146,00 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,478,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$12,609,000 | \$209 /SF GBA | \$16,254,000 | \$202 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$1,051,00 |  | \$1,016,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$330,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$440,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$504,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$650,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,891,00 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,438,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,725,00 | 21.6\% of Directs | \$3,528,000 | 21.7\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$946,00 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,219,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{gathered}\text { Total Development Costs } \\ \text { Per Unit }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,280,000 \\ \$ 1,357,000 \end{array}$ | \$270 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 21,001,000 \\ \$ 1,313,000 \end{array}$ | \$261 /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

## ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | ABSingle-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2)Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 26,328,000 \\ & (\$ 1,185,000) \\ & \$ \$ 25,143,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,743,000 \\ & (\$ 1,698,000) \\ & \$ \$ 36,045,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25,143,000 \\ (\$ 2,633,000) \\ \$ 22,510,000 \\ (\$ 16,280,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,045,000 \\ (\$ 3,774,000) \\ \hline \$ 32,271,000 \\ (\$ 21,001,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,230,000 \\ \$ 519,000 \\ \$ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,270,000 \\ \$ 939,000 \\ \$ 43 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 8,190,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 683,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 31$ |

[^29]ATTACHMENT 5.B

Sage Canyon

Zone R-3

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \text { Bingle-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) } \\ \text { Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.3 Acres | 3.3 Acres |
| B. Density | 3.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 3.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| c. Number of Units (1) | 10 Units | 10 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 Unit @ VLIfor every 10 units | 1 Unit @ VL for every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 1 Units | 1 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  | 10.0\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  | 32.5\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  | 4.2 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  | 14 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 \text { Units } & 10 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \underline{9} \text { Units } & \underline{90 \%} \\ \hline 10 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | 1 Units $7 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 13 Units $\underline{93 \%}$ <br> 14 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low $\$ 93,000$ <br> Low $\$ 178,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 93,000 \\ & \$ 93,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 93,000 \\ & \$ 93,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 4,500 \text { SF } \\ \$ 4755 \\ \$ 2,138,000 \\ \$ 19,242,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} 4,500 \text { SF } \\ \$ 550 \\ \$ 2,250,000 \\ \$ 29,250,000 \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| c. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,335,000 \\ \$ 1,934,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29,343,000 \\ \$ 2,096,000 \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded ut to the next whole number

## ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description | 3.3 Acres |  |  |  |
| Site Area |  |  |  |  |
| Average Unit Size | 4,500 SF |  | 3.3 Acres$4,500 \mathrm{SF}$ |  |
| Gross Building Area | 45,000 SF |  | 63,000 SF |  |
| Number of Units | 10 Units |  | 14 Units |  |
| Parking | 21 Spaces |  | 21 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$2,156,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$2,156,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$5,625,000 | \$125 /SF GBA | \$8,505,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$778,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,066,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$8,559,000 | \$190 /SF GBA | \$11,727,000 | \$186 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$856,000 |  | \$838,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$275,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$385,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$342,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$469,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,284,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,759,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,901,000 | 22.2\% of Directs | \$2,613,000 | 22.3\% of Directs |
| c. Financing Costs | \$642,000 7.5\% of Directs |  | \$880,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$11,102,000 | \$247 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,220,000 \\ \$ 1,087,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$242 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$1,110,000 |  |  |  |

[^30]ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | ASingle-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3)Potential Upzone Site - Existing Entitlements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Base Case without Density Bonus |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,335,000 \\ (\$ 870,000) \\ \$ 18,465,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 29,343,000 \\ & \frac{\$ 1,320,000)}{} \\ & \$ 28,023,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,465,000 \\ (\$ 1,934,000) \\ \$(\$ 16,531,000 \\ (\$ 11,102,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,023,000 \\ (\$ 2,934,000) \\ \$ 25,089,000 \\ (\$ 15,220,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,429,000 \\ \$ 543,000 \\ \$ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,869,000 \\ \$ 987,000 \\ \$ 69 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 7,178,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 718,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 50$ |

[^31]|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units wih Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres |
| B. Density | 5.7 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 5.7 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 51 Units | 51 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 Unit @ VL for every 10 units | 1 Unit @VL for every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 5 Units | 5 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  | 9.8\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  | 32.5\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  | 7.5 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  | 68 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 5 Units $10 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> $\underline{46 \text { Units }}$ $\underline{90 \%}$ <br> 51 Units $100 \%$ | 5 Units $7 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> $\underline{63 \text { Units }}$ $\underline{93 \%}$ <br> 68 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low $\$ 93,000$ <br> Low $\$ 178,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 465,000 \\ & \$ 465,000 \\ & \underline{\$ 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 465,000 \\ & \$ 465,000 \\ & \underline{\$ 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000 S F \\ \$, 5500 \\ \$ 1,500,000 \\ \$ 69,000,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 1,500,000 \\ \$ 94,500,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69,465,000 \\ \$ 1,362,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 94,965,000 \\ \$ 1,397,000 \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  | c |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units wih Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description Site Area <br> Average Unit Size Gross Building Area Number of Units Parking | 9.1 3,000 153,000 51 21 | Acres <br> SF <br> SF <br> Units <br> Spaces | 9.1 $3,000 \mathrm{~S}$ 204,000 68 198 | cres <br> nits <br> paces |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$9,910,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$9,910,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$21,420,000 | \$140 /SF GBA | \$28,560,000 | \$140 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$3,133,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$3,847,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$34,463,000 | \$225 /SF GBA | \$42,317,000 | \$207 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$676,000 |  | \$622,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$1,402,500 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,870,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$1,379,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,693,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$5,169,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$6,348,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$7,950,500 | 23.1\% of Directs | \$9,911,000 | 23.4\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$2,585,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$3,174,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,998,500 \\ \$ 882,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$294 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 55,402,000 \\ \$ 815,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$272 /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i::Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 20,408,500$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 400,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 51$ |

[^32]|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area <br> Hotel <br> Commercial <br> Total Site Area | 2.0 Acres <br> 1.0 Acres <br> 3.0 Acres |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.44 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | --- |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) <br> Hotel <br> Commercial <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { 51,750 SF } \\ \underline{5,000 ~ S F} \\ 56,750 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Room Revenue |  |
| A. Hotel <br> Average Daily Rate (ADR) <br> Occupancy <br> Annual Room Revenue - Hotel <br> B. Commercial <br> Monthly Rent <br> Annual Revenue - Commercial (Less) Vacancy @ <br> Effective Gross Income - Commercial | 90 Rooms  <br> $\$ 200$  <br> $82.0 \%$  <br>  $\$ 5,387,000$ <br>  5,000 SF <br>  $\$ 4.00 / S F$ NNN <br>  $\$ 240,000$ <br> $10.0 \%$ $(\$ 24,000)$ <br>  $\$ 216,000$ |
| B. Total Annual Rental Income | \$5,627,000 |

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \hline \text { Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) } \\ \text { Potential Upzone Site - } \\ \text { Existing Conditions } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Base Case |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.0 Acres |  |
| Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |  |
| Average Room Size (Gross) | 575 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area - Hotel | 51,750 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area - Commercial | 5,000 SF |  |
| Parking | 113 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,294,000 | \$10 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 Included above |
| Shell Construction - Hotel | \$10,868,000 | \$210 /SF GBA |
| Shell Construction - Commercial | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA |
| Tenant Improvements | \$250,000 | \$50 /SF GBA |
| FF\&E | \$2,250,000 | \$25,000 /Room |
| Amenities | \$450,000 | \$5,000 /Room |
| Contingency | \$796,000 | 5.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$16,708,000 | \$323 /SF GBA |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$568,000 | \$10 /SF GBA |
| Developer Fee | \$668,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,089,000 | 12.5\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,325,000 | 19.9\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,671,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$21,704,000 | \$382 /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  |  |
| A. Gross Room Revenue <br> B. Food \& Beverage <br> C. Other Operated Departments Revenue <br> D. Parking Revenue <br> E. Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 10.0\% of Room Revenue <br> 5.0\% of Room Revenue <br> \$300 /Space/Month | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,387,000 \\ \$ 539,000 \\ \$ 269,000 \\ \$ 407,000 \\ \hline \$ 6,602,000 \end{array}$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | 65.0\% of EGI | (\$4,291,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income |  | \$2,311,000 |
| H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit | $3.0 \%$ of Value $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{7.0 \%} \\ \$ 33,014,000 \\ (\$ 990,000) \\ (\$ 3,301,000) \end{array}$ |
| J. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  | \$28,723,000 |


| II. Warranted Investment - Commercial |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Effective Gross Income <br> B. (Less) Unreimbursed Expenses <br> C. Net Operating Income |  $\$ 216,000$ <br> $5.0 \%$ $(\$ 11,000)$ <br>  $\$ 205,000$ |
| H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale 3.0\% of Value <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit <br> $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \% \\ \$ 3,154,000 \\ (\$ 95,000) \\ (\$ 315,000) \end{array}$ |
| J. Warranted Investment - Commerical | \$2,744,000 |


| III. Residual Land Value |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Warranted Investment - Hotel <br> C. Warramted Investment - Commercial | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,723,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. Total Warranted Investment <br> E. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 31,467,000 \\ (\$ 21,704,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| F. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,763,000 \\ \$ 75 \end{array}$ |

ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.4 Acres |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.60 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | 82,000 SF |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) | 89,000 SF |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Affordable Units | ---- |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |
| B. Density Bonus |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus |  |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | ------- |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Rental Income |  |
| A. Affordable Units <br> Very Low <br> Low <br> Total Affordable Units | ----- |
| B. Market-Rate Rent @ Monthly Rent/SF Total Annual Rent | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.75{ }^{1} \\ & \$ 3,690,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income | \$3,690,000 |

(1) Market rent estimated at $82,000 \mathrm{SF}$ at $\$ 3.75 / \mathrm{SF}$.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| Site Area | 3.4 Acres |
| Average Unit Size | --- SF |
| Gross Leaseable Area | 82,000 SF |
| Gross Building Area | 89,000 SF |
| Number of Units | --- Units |
| Parking | 297 Spaces |

II. Development Costs
A. Direct Costs

| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | $\$ 1,477,000$ | $\$ 10$ | /SF Site Area |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Included above |  |  |  |

B. Indirect Costs
Permits \& Fees (1) \$890,000 \$10 /SF GBA

Developer Fee
Other Indirects
Total Indirect Costs
C. Financing Costs
$\$ 890,000 \quad \$ 10$ /SF GBA
$\$ 873,000 \quad 4.0 \%$ of Directs
$\$ 3,272,000 \quad 15.0 \%$ of Directs
\$5,035,000 23.1\% of Directs
$\$ 2,182,000 \quad 10.0 \%$ of Directs
D.

Total Development Costs
\$29,033,000 \$326 /SF GBA

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Net Operating Income |  |  |
| A. Gross Rental Income <br> B. Other Income <br> C. Gross Scheduled Income (GSI) <br> D. (Less) Vacancy <br> E. Effective Gross Income | \$3.75 /SF/Month/FSG $5.0 \% \text { of GSI }$ | $\$ 3,690,000$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 3,690,000$ $\$ 185,000)$ $\$ 3,505,000$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | \$10 /SF GLA/Year | (\$820,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income |  | \$2,685,000 |


| II. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,685,000 \\ \underline{6.25 \%} \\ \$ 42,960,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $\quad 3.0 \%$ of Value <br> E. (Less) Developer Profit $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 1,289,000) \\ & (\$ 4,296,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 37,375,000 \\ (\$ 29,033,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,342,000 \\ \$ 56 \end{array}$ |

Manchester Avenue West<br>Single-Family Detached - Small Lot<br>Zone R-11

|  | A B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |
| B. Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 18 Units | 18 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 Unit @ vilfor every 10 units | 1 Unit @ VLfor every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 1 Units | 1 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  | 5.6\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  | 20.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  | 13.2 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  | 22 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 1 \text { Units } & 6 \% \\ \text { oUnits } & 0 \% \\ \frac{17 \text { Units }}{} & \underline{94 \%} \\ \hline 18 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 \text { Units } & 5 \% \\ \text { oUnits } & 0 \% \\ \underline{21} \text { Units } & \underline{95 \%} \\ \hline 22 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> Very Low $\$ 95,000$ <br> Low $\$ 173,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 95,000 \\ & \$ 95,000 \\ & \$ \mathbf{S O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 95,000 \\ & \$ 95,000 \\ & \$ \mathbf{S 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| c. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,325,000 \\ \$ 1,129,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$25,085,000 \$1,140,000 |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net arreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,325,000 \\ (\$ 915,000) \\ \$ 19,410,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,085,000 \\ & \frac{(\$ 1,129,000)}{} \\ & \$ 23,956,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ <br> $10.0 \%$ of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,410,000 \\ (\$ 2,033,000) \\ \$ 17,377,000 \\ (\$ 12,442,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,956,000 \\ (\$ 2,509,000) \\ \$ 21,447,000 \\ (\$ 15,246,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,935,000 \\ \$ 274,000 \\ \$ 68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,201,000 \\ \$ 345,000 \\ \$ 85 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 5,872,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 326,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 81$ |

[^33]
## APPENDIX 6

# HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE <br> "R-30" SITES TECHNICAL ANALYSIS 

SCENARIO \#3A

## Base Case: Pre-August 2018

1 Unit @ Very Low for every 10 units<br>no Density Bonus (For-Sale Only)

Upzone Scenarios - For-Sale

10\%, 15\%, 20\%, 25\% @ Very Low - w/ Density Bonus
15\%, 20\%, 25\%, 30\% @ Low - w/ Density Bonus

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
| Residual land value ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 Unit @ Very Low for Every 10 Units without Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 08 Rancho Santa Fe Parcels (Gaffney/Goodsen) <br> Existing Conditions (RR-2) - Single-Family | \$519,000 /Unit <br> \$24 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$83,000 /Unit \$76 /SF Site | \$66,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$48,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$31,000 /Unit \$29 /SF Site | \$63,000 /Unit \$56 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 57,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 53 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$42,000 /Unit \$39 /SF Site | \$26,000 /Unit \$24 /SF Site |
| AD1 Sage Canyon <br> Existing Conditions (R-3) - Single-Family (2) | \$543,000 /Unit \$38/SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | $\$ 83,000$ /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$65,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$47,000 /Unit \$44 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 30,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 28 & \text { SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$65,000 /Unit \$57 /SF Site | \$56,000 /Unit \$52 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 41,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 38 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$25,000 /Unit <br> \$23 /SF Site |
| AD2 Baldwin and Sons Properties <br> Existing Conditions (R-5) - Single-Family | \$282,000 /Unit \$36/SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$83,000 /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$66,000 /Unit \$62 /SF Site | \$48,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$30,000 /Unit \$28 /SF Site | \$64,000 /Unit \$56 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 57,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 53 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$41,000 /Unit \$38/SF Site | \$27,000 /Unit \$25 /SF Site |


|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
| Residual land value ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1 Unit @ Very Low for Every 10 Units without Density Bonus | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25\% @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \text { @ Low } \\ \text { with Density Bonus } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 Jackel Property <br> Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) | \$75 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | \$132,000 /Unit \$54 /SF Site | \$114,000 /Unit \$47/SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 96,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 39 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 78,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 32 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$116,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$105,000 $/$ Unit \$43 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 89,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 37 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 73,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 30 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ |
| 12 Sunshine Garden <br> Existing Conditions (OP) - Professional Office | \$56 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$81,000 /Unit \$75 /SF Site | \$64,000 /Unit \$59 /SF Site | \$46,000 /Unit \$43 /SF Site | \$29,000 /Unit <br> \$27 /SF Site | \$60,000 /Unit \$53 /SF Site | \$55,000 /Unit \$51 /SF Site | \$40,000 /Unit <br> \$37 /SF Site | \$25,000 /Unit <br> \$23 /SF Site |
| AD11 Manchester Avenue West <br> Existing Conditions (R-11) - Single-Family | $\begin{gathered} \$ 274,000 / \text { Unit } \\ \$ 68 / \text { SF Site } \end{gathered}$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { \$77,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \text { \$71 } & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$63,000 /Unit \$59 /SF Site | \$49,000 /Unit \$46/SF Site | \$28,000 /Unit <br> \$26 /SF Site | \$69,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$58,000 /Unit \$54 /SF Site | \$39,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$27,000 /Unit \$25 /SF Site |

```
The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative to the Residual Land
The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relativ
```

```High Impact: greater than \(25 \%\) increase in Residual Land Value from Exisiting Conditions (without Upzone); likely to provide an incentive to develop site
Medium Impact: \(15 \%\) - \(25 \%\) increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may raise concerns regarding development of site
Low Impact: less than \(15 \%\) increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may result in little to no incentive to develop site
```

 requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated without Upzone (Existing Conditions) vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the Upzone Scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
(2) Reflects residual land value for 10 market-rate units. No affordable units. No density bonus.
(3) GBA $=$ Gross Building Area
(4)

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 <br> 1 Unit @ Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 08 Rancho Santa Fe Parcels (Gaffney/Goodsen) <br> Existing Conditions (RR-2) - Single-Family | $\$ 939,000 /$ Unit $\$ 43 /$ SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$83,000 /Unit \$76 /SF Site | \$66,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$48,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$31,000 /Unit \$29 /SF Site | \$63,000 /Unit \$56 /SF Site | \$57,000 /Unit \$53 /SF Site | \$42,000 /Unit \$39 /SF Site | \$26,000 /Unit \$24 /SF Site |
| AD1 Sage Canyon Existing Conditions ( $\mathrm{R}-3$ ) - Single-Family (2) | $\$ 987,000 /$ Unit $\$ 69$ /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$83,000 /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$65,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 47,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 44 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 30,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 28 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ <br> \$28 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ 65,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 57 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ <br> \$57 /SF Site | \$56,000 /Unit <br> \$52 /SF Site | \$41,000 /Unit \$38/SF Site | \$25,000 /Unit \$23 /SF Site |
| AD2 Baldwin and Son Properties <br> Existing Conditions (R-5) - Single-Family | $\$ 519,000 /$ Unit $\$ 67 /$ SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$83,000 /Unit \$77 /SF Site | \$66,000 /Unit \$62 /SF Site | \$48,000 /Unit \$45 /SF Site | \$30,000 /Unit \$28 /SF Site | \$64,000 /Unit \$56 /SF Site | \$57,000 /Unit <br> \$53 /SF Site | \$41,000 /Unit \$38 /SF Site | \$27,000 /Unit \$25 /SF Site |

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES

|  | HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE "R-30" SITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre August 2018 <br> 1 Unit @ Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus | Very Low |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Very Low with Density Bonus | 15\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 20\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 25\% @ Low with Density Bonus | 30\% @ Low with Density Bonus |
| 7 Jackel Property <br> Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) | \$75 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats <br> - High Capitalization Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} \$ 132,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 54 & \text { /SF Site } \end{aligned}$ | \$114,000 /Unit \$47 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ 96,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 39 & \text { /SF Site } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 78,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 32 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ | \$116,000 /Unit \$45/SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \text { \$105,000 } & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 43 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ | \$89,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | $\begin{array}{cl}\$ 73,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 30 & \text { /SF Site }\end{array}$ |
| 12 Sunshine Garden <br> Existing Conditions (OP) - Professional Office | \$56 /SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$81,000 /Unit \$75 /SF Site | \$64,000 /Unit \$59 /SF Site | \$46,000 /Unit \$43 /SF Site | \$29,000 /Unit \$27/SF Site | \$60,000 /Unit \$53 /SF Site | \$55,000 /Unit \$51 /SF Site | \$40,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | \$25,000 /Unit \$23 /SF Site |
| AD11 Manchester Avenue West <br> Existing Conditions (R-11) - Single-Family | $\$ 345,000 /$ Unit $\$ 85 /$ SF Site | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Upzone Scenario - Stacked Flats |  | \$77,000 /Unit \$71 /SF Site | \$63,000 /Unit \$59 /SF Site | \$49,000 /Unit \$46 /SF Site | \$28,000 /Unit \$26 /SF Site | \$69,000 /Unit \$61 /SF Site | \$58,000 /Unit \$54 /SF Site | \$39,000 /Unit \$37 /SF Site | $\begin{aligned} \$ 27,000 & \text { /Unit } \\ \$ 25 & \text { /SF Site }\end{aligned}$ |

[^34]Rancho Santa Fe Sites (Gaffney/Goodsen) Single-Family Detached - Large Lot<br>Zone RR-2


(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

## estimate of development costs

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  | c |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |  |  |
| Site Area | 6.0 Acres |  | 6.0 Acres |  |
| Average Unit Size | 5,020 SF |  | 5,020 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area | 60,240 SF |  | 80,320 SF |  |
| Number of Units | 12 Units |  | 16 Units |  |
| Parking | 48 Spaces |  | 64 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$3,933,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$3,933,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0/Space |
| Shell Construction | \$7,530,000 | \$125 /SF GBA | \$10,843,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,146,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,478,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$12,609,000 | \$209 /SF GBA | \$16,254,000 | \$202 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$1,051,000 |  | \$1,016,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$330,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$440,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$504,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$650,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,891,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$2,438,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,725,000 | 21.6\% of Directs | \$3,528,000 | 21.7\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$946,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$1,219,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{gathered}\text { Total Development Costs } \\ \text { Per Unit }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 16,280,000 \\ & \$ 1,357000 \end{aligned}$ | \$270 /SF GBA | \$21,001,000$\$ 1,313,000$ | \$261 /SF GBA |
|  | $\$ 1,357,000$ |  |  |  |

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A $\quad$ BSingle-Family Detached - Large Lot (RR-2)Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $4.5 \%$ of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 26,328,000 \\ & (\$ 1,185,000) \\ & \$ 25,143,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 37,743,000 \\ & (\$ 1,698,000) \\ & \$ 36,045,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 25,143,000 \\ (\$ 2,633,000) \\ \hline \$ 22,510,000 \\ (\$ 16,280,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 36,045,000 \\ (\$ 3,774,000) \\ \hline \$ 32,271,000 \\ (\$ 21,001,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,230,000 \\ \$ 519,000 \\ \$ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11,270,000 \\ \$ 939,000 \\ \$ 43 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market | $\$ 8,190,000$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 683,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 31$ |

[^35]
## ATTACHMENT 6.B

Sage Canyon

Zone R-3

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit. (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus nits are rounded uto to the ext whde nember
3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

## ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  |  | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.3 Acres |  | 3.3 Acres |  |
| Average Unit Size | 4,500 SF |  | 4,500 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area |  |  | 63,000 SF |  |
| Number of Units | 10 Units |  | 14 Units |  |
| Parking | 21 Spaces |  | 42 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$2,156,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area | \$2,156,000 | \$15 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$5,625,000 | \$125 /SF GBA | \$8,505,000 | \$135 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$778,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$1,066,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$8,559,000 | \$190 /SF GBA | \$11,727,000 | \$186 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$856,000 |  | \$838,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$275,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$385,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$342,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$469,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,284,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,759,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,901,000 | 22.2\% of Directs | \$2,613,000 | 22.3\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$642,000 7.5\% of Directs |  | \$880,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$11,102,000 | \$247 /SF GBA | \$15,220,000 \$242 /SF GBA |  |
| Per Unit | \$1,110,000 |  | $\$ 1,087,000$ |  |

[^36]Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | ASingle-Family Detached - Large Lot (R-3)Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,335,000 \\ (\$ 870,000) \\ \$ 18,465,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 29,343,000 \\ & (\$ 1,320,000) \\ & \$ 28,023,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,465,000 \\ (\$ 1,934,000) \\ \$ 16,531,000 \\ (\$ 11,102,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,023,000 \\ (\$ 2,934,000) \\ \$ 25,089,000 \\ (\$ 15,220,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,429,000 \\ \$ 543,000 \\ \$ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,869,000 \\ \$ 987,000 \\ \$ 69 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 7,178,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 718,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 50$ |

[^37]|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| 1. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 9.1 Acres | 9.1 Acres |
| B. Density | 5.7 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density | 5.7 Units/Acre Maximum Density |
| C. Number of Units (1) | 51 Units | 51 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 Unit @ VL for every 10 units | 1 Unit @ VL for every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 5 Units | 5 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  | 9.8\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  | 35.0\% |
| c. Density with Bonus |  | 7.6 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  | 69 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | 5 Units $10 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> 46 Units $\underline{90 \%}$ <br> 51 Units $100 \%$ | 5 Units $7 \%$ <br> 0 Units $0 \%$ <br> $\underline{64 \text { Units }}$ $\underline{93 \%}$ <br> 69 Units $100 \%$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 465,000 \\ & \$ 465,000 \\ & \hline \frac{\$ 0}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 465,000 \\ & \$ 465,000 \\ & , ~ \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 1,5500,000 \\ \$ 69,000,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,000 \text { SF } \\ \$ 500 \\ \$ 1,500,000 \\ \$ 96,000,000 \end{array}$ |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69,465,000 \\ \$ 1,362,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 96,465,000 \\ \$ 1,398,000 \end{array}$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit
(1) Maximum number of dweling ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$ Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  | c |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description | 9.1 Acres |  | 9.1 Acres |  |
| Site Area |  |  |  |  |
| Average Unit Size | 3,000 SF |  | 3,000 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area | 153,000 SF51 Units |  | $207,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |  |
| Number of Units |  |  | 69 Units |  |
| Parking | 21 Spaces |  | 207 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$9,910,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$9,910,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$21,420,000 | \$140 /SF GBA | \$28,980,000 | \$140 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$3,133,000 | 10.0\% of Directs | \$3,889,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$34,463,000 | \$225 /SF GBA | \$42,779,000 | \$207 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$676,000 |  | \$620,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$1,403,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$1,898,000 | \$27,500 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$1,379,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$1,711,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$5,169,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$6,417,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$7,951,000 | 23.1\% of Directs | \$10,026,000 | 23.4\% of Directs |
| c. Financing Costs | \$2,585,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$3,208,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,999,000 \\ \$ 882,000 \end{array}$ | \$294 /SF GBA | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 56,013,000 \\ \$ 812,000 \end{array}$ | \$271 /SF GBA |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached -Medium Lot (R-5) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 69,465,000 \\ & (\$ 3,126,000) \\ & \$ \$ 66,339,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 96,465,000 \\ & (\$ 4,341,000) \\ & \$ \$ 92,124,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ 10.0\% of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 66,339,000 \\ (\$ 6,947,000) \\ \hline \$ 59,392,000 \\ (\$ 44,999,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 92,124,000 \\ (\$ 9,647,000) \\ \hline \$ 82,477,000 \\ (\$ 56,013,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,393,000 \\ \$ 282,000 \\ \$ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 26,464,000 \\ \$ 519,000 \\ \$ 67 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 20,408,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 400,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 51$ |

[^38]ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area <br> Hotel Commercial Total Site Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 1.0 Acres } \\ & \text { 3.0 Acres } \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.44 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | --- |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) <br> Hotel <br> Commercial <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 51,750 \mathrm{SF} \\ \underline{5,000 \mathrm{SF}} \\ \hline 56,750 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Number of Rooms | 90 Rooms |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Room Revenue |  |
| A. Hotel <br> Average Daily Rate (ADR) <br> Occupancy <br> Annual Room Revenue - Hotel <br> B. Commercial <br> Monthly Rent <br> Annual Revenue - Commercial (Less) Vacancy @ Effective Gross Income - Commercial | 90 Rooms  <br>  $\$ 200$ <br> $82.0 \%$  <br>  $\$ 5,387,000$ <br>  5,000 SF <br>  $\$ 4.00 /$ SF NNN <br>  $\$ 240,000$ <br> $10.0 \%$ $(\$ 24,000)$ <br>  $\$ 216,000$ |
| B. Total Annual Rental Income | \$5,627,000 |

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited <br> Poten <br> Exis | itor Serving (N-LVSC) tial Upzone Site ting Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.0 | Acres |
| Number of Rooms |  | Rooms |
| Average Room Size (Gross) | 575 | SF |
| Gross Building Area - Hotel | 51,750 | SF |
| Gross Building Area - Commercial | 5,000 |  |
| Parking | 113 | Spaces |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,294,000 | \$10 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 Included above |
| Shell Construction - Hotel | \$10,868,000 | \$210 /SF GBA |
| Shell Construction - Commercial | \$800,000 | \$160 /SF GBA |
| Tenant Improvements | \$250,000 | \$50 /SF GBA |
| FF\&E | \$2,250,000 | \$25,000 /Room |
| Amenities | \$450,000 | \$5,000 /Room |
| Contingency | \$796,000 | 5.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$16,708,000 | \$323 /SF GBA |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$568,000 | \$10 /SF GBA |
| Developer Fee | \$668,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$2,089,000 | 12.5\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$3,325,000 | 19.9\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$1,671,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$21,704,000 | \$382 /SF GBA |

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Limited Visitor Serving (N-LVSC) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  |  |
| A. Gross Room Revenue <br> B. Food \& Beverage <br> C. Other Operated Departments Revenue <br> D. Parking Revenue <br> E. Effective Gross Income (EGI) | 10.0\% of Room Revenue <br> 5.0\% of Room Revenue <br> \$300 /Space/Month | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,387,000 \\ \$ 539,000 \\ \$ 269,000 \\ \$ 407,000 \\ \hline \$ 6,602,000 \end{array}$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | 65.0\% of EGI | (\$4,291,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income |  | \$2,311,000 |
| H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit | $3.0 \%$ of Value $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{7.0 \%} \\ \$ 33,014,000 \\ (\$ 990,000) \\ (\$ 3,301,000) \end{array}$ |
| J. Warranted Investment - Hotel |  | \$28,723,000 |


| II. Warranted Investment - Commercial |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Effective Gross Income <br> B. (Less) Unreimbursed Expenses <br> C. Net Operating Income |  $\$ 216,000$ <br> $5.0 \%$ $\$ 11,000)$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> $\$ 205,000$ |
| H. Capitalization Rate <br> I. Capitalized Value Upon Compleition <br> J. (Less) Cost of Sale 3.0\% of Value <br> K. (Less) Developer Profit <br> $10.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{array}{r} \underline{6.5 \%} \\ \$ 3,154,000 \\ (\$ 95,000) \\ (\$ 315,000) \end{array}$ |
| J. Warranted Investment - Commerical | \$2,744,000 |


| III. Residual Land Value |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Warranted Investment - Hotel <br> C. Warramted Investment - Commercial | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,723,000 \\ \$ 2,744,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. Total Warranted Investment <br> E. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 31,467,000 \\ (\$ 21,704,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| F. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,763,000 \\ \$ 75 \end{array}$ |

## ATTACHMENT 6.E

Sunshine Gardens<br>Professional Office<br>Zone OP

ESTIMATE OF GROSS ANNUAL RENTAL INCOME INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional Office (OP) <br> Potential Upzone Site - <br> Existing Conditions |
|  | Base Case |
| I. Project Description |  |
| A. Site Area | 3.4 Acres |
| B. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) | 0.60 FAR |
| C. Gross Leasable Area (GLA) | 82,000 SF |
| D. Gross Building Area (GBA) | 89,000 SF |
| E. Inclusionary Set-aside | ---- |
| F. Affordable Units | ---- |
| II. Density Bonus |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  |
| B. Density Bonus |  |
| C. Density with Bonus |  |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus |  |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | -------- |
| IV. Estimate of Annual Rental Income |  |
| A. Affordable Units <br> Very Low <br> Low <br> Total Affordable Units | ----- |
| B. Market-Rate Rent @ Monthly Rent/SF Total Annual Rent | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3.75{ }^{(1)} \\ & \$ 3,690,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| C. Total Annual Rental Income | \$3,690,000 |

(1) Market rent estimated at $82,000 \mathrm{SF}$ at $\$ 3.75 / \mathrm{SF}$.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |  |  |
|  | Base Case |  |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |  |
| Site Area | 3.4 Acres |  |  |
| Average Unit Size | --- SF |  |  |
| Gross Leaseable Area | 82,000 SF |  |  |
| Gross Building Area | 89,000 SF |  |  |
| Number of Units | --- Units |  |  |
| Parking | 297 Spaces |  |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,477,000 | \$10 | /SF Site Ar |
| Parking | \$0 |  | Included |
| Shell Construction | \$16,020,000 | \$180 | /SF GBA |
| Tenant Improvements | \$3,280,000 | \$40 | /SF GLA |
| Other/Contingency | \$1,039,000 | 5.0\% | of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$21,816,000 | \$245 | /SF GBA |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$890,000 | \$10 | /SF GBA |
| Developer Fee | \$873,000 | 4.0\% | of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$3,272,000 | 15.0\% | of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$5,035,000 | 23.1\% | of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$2,182,000 | 10.0\% | of Directs |
| D. Total Development Costs | \$29,033,000 | \$326 | /SF GBA |

ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Professional Office (OP) Potential Upzone Site Existing Conditions |
|  |  | Base Case |
| I. Net Operating Income |  |  |
| A. Gross Rental Income <br> B. Other Income <br> C. Gross Scheduled Income (GSI) <br> D. (Less) Vacancy <br> E. Effective Gross Income | \$3.75 /SF/Month/FSG $5.0 \% \text { of GSI }$ | $\$ 3,690,000$ $\$ 0$ $\$ 3,690,000$ $(\$ 185,000)$ $\$ 3,505,000$ |
| F. (Less) Operating Expenses | \$10 /SF GLA/Year | (\$820,000) |
| G. Net Operating Income |  | \$2,685,000 |


| II. Residual Land Value - Low Capitalization Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Operating Income <br> B. Capitalization Rate <br> C. Capitalized Value Upon Completion | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,685,000 \\ \underline{6.25 \%} \\ \$ 42,960,000 \end{array}$ |
| D. (Less) Cost of Sale $\quad 3.0 \%$ of Value | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 1,289,000) \\ & (\$ 4,296,000) \end{aligned}$ |
| F. Net Sales Proceeds <br> G. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{gathered} \$ 37,375,000 \\ (\$ 29,033,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| H. Residual Land Value Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,342,000 \\ \$ 56 \end{array}$ |

Manchester Avenue West<br>Single-Family Detached - Small Lot<br>Zone R-11

ESTIMATE OF SALES PROCEEDS
inclusionary housing economic analysis
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | ASingle-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11)Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Inclusionary Housing |  |  |
| A. Site Area | 1.7 Acres | 1.7 Acres |
| B. Density | 11.0 Units/Acre Maximum Density | 11.0 Units/Acre <br> Maximum Density |
| c. Number of Units (1) | 18 Units | 18 Units |
| D. Inclusionary Set-aside | 1 Unit @ vL for every 10 units | 1 Unit @ VLfor every 10 units |
| E. Affordable Units (2) | 1 Units | 1 Units |
| II. Density Bonus |  |  |
| A. Actual \% of Affordable Units |  | 5.6\% Very Low |
| B. Density Bonus |  | 20.0\% |
| C. Density with Bonus |  | 13.2 Units/Acre |
| D. Number of Units with Density Bonus (3) |  | 22 Units |
| III. Affordability Mix |  |  |
| A. Very Low <br> B. Low <br> C. Market-Rate <br> D. Total | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 \text { Units } & 6 \% \\ 0 \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \underline{17 \text { Units }} & \underline{94 \%} \\ \hline 18 \text { Units } & 100 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 \text { Units } & 5 \% \\ \text { o Units } \\ \underline{21} \text { Units } & 0 \% \\ \hline 22 \text { Units } & \underline{95 \%} \\ \hline 100 \% \end{array}$ |
| IV. Estimate of Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Affordable Units  <br> $\quad$ Very Low $\$ 95,000$ <br> Low $\$ 173,000$ <br> Total Affordable Units  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 95,000 \\ & \$ 95,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 95,000 \\ & \$ 95,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. Market-Rate Units @ <br> Unit Size <br> Price/SF <br> Price/Unit <br> Total Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| C. Total Sales Proceeds Per Unit | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,325,000 \\ \$ 1,129,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$25,085,000 $\$ 1,140,000$ |

(1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unitit s reduced to the next lower whole unit.
(2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number.
(3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

ESTIMATE OF DEVELOPMENT COSTS
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A/B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus |  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |  |
| I. Project Description |  |  |  |  |
| Site Area | 1.7 Acres |  | 1.7 Acres |  |
| Average Unit Size | 2,506 |  | 2,506 SF |  |
| Gross Building Area | 45,108 SF |  | 55,132 SF |  |
| Number of Units | 18 Units |  | 22 Units |  |
| Parking | 45 Spaces |  | 44 Spaces |  |
| II. Development Costs |  |  |  |  |
| A. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |
| On/Off Site Improvements (1) | \$1,819,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area | \$1,819,000 | \$25 /SF Site Area |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 /Space | \$0 | \$0 /Space |
| Shell Construction | \$6,766,000 | \$150 /SF GBA | \$8,821,000 <br> \$1,064,000 | \$160 /SF GBA |
| Other/Contingency | \$859,000 | 10.0\% of Directs |  | 10.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$9,444,000 | \$209 /SF GBA | \$11,704,000 | \$212 /SF GBA |
| Per Unit | \$525,000 |  | \$532,000 |  |
| B. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$495,000 | \$27,500 /Unit | \$440,000 | \$20,000 /Unit |
| Developer Fee | \$378,000 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$468,000 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Other Indirects | \$1,417,000 | 15.0\% of Directs | \$1,756,000 | 15.0\% of Directs |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,290,000 | 24.2\% of Directs | \$2,664,000 | 22.8\% of Directs |
| C. Financing Costs | \$708,000 | 7.5\% of Directs | \$878,000 | 7.5\% of Directs |
| D. $\begin{gathered}\text { Total Development Costs } \\ \text { Per Unit }\end{gathered}$ | \$12,442,000 | \$276 /SF GBA | \$15,246,000 | \$277 /SF GBA |
|  | \$691,000 |  | \$693,000 |  |

(1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i::Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#3A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

## ESTIMATE OF RESIDUAL LAND VALUE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | A B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single-Family Detached - Small Lot (R-11) Potential Upzone Site - Existing Conditions |  |
|  | Pre-August 2018 |  |
|  | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units No Density Bonus | 1 Unit at Very Low for Every 10 Units with Density Bonus |
| I. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| A. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> 4.5\% of Value <br> C. Net Sales Proceeds | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 20,325,000 \\ (\$ 915,000) \\ \$ 19,410,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,085,000 \\ & (\$ 1,129,000) \\ & \$ 23,956,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| II. Residual Land Value |  |  |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds <br> B. (Less) Developer Profit @ <br> $10.0 \%$ of Value <br> C. Warranted Investment <br> D. (Less) Development Costs | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,410,000 \\ (\$ 2,033,000) \\ \$ 17,377,000 \\ (\$ 12,442,000) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,956,000 \\ (\$ 2,509,000) \\ \$ 21,447,000 \\ (\$ 15,246,000) \end{array}$ |
| E. Residual Land Value Per Unit Per SF | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,935,000 \\ \$ 274,000 \\ \$ 68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,201,000 \\ \$ 345,000 \\ \$ 85 \end{array}$ |


| Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market | $\$ 5,872,000$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Per Unit | $\$ 326,000$ |
| Per SF | $\$ 81$ |
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## APPENDIX 7

## OFF-SITE COMPLIANCE

 ESTIMATE OF AFFORDABLITY GAPS
## summary table

ESTIMATES OF AFFORDABILTY GAP BY INCOME LEVEL/TENURE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| RENTAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| with 4\% Tax Credits |  |  | without Tax Credits |  |
| Extremely Low Income 30\% of AMI | Very Low Income $50 \%$ of AMI | Low Income 60\% of AMI | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Low Income } \\ & 70 \% \text { of AMI } \end{aligned}$ | Moderate Income $110 \%$ of AMI |
| Stacked Flats over Parking Podium/Subterranean Parking <br> 35 Units <br> 17.5 Units/Acre <br> 70 Spaces | Stacked Flats over Parking Podium/Subterranean Parking <br> 35 Units <br> 17.5 Units/Acre <br> 70 Spaces | Stacked Flats over Parking Podium/Subterranean Parking 35 Units 17.5 Units/Acre 70 Spaces | Stacked Flats over Parking Podium/Subterranean Parking <br> 35 Units <br> 17.5 Units/Acre <br> 70 Spaces | Stacked Flats over Parking Podium/Subterranean Parking 35 Units 17.5 Units/Acre 70 Spaces |


| FOR-SALE |
| :---: |
|  |
| Moderate Income |
| $120 \%$ AMI |
| Townhome |
| with Attached Garage |
| 39 Units |
| 13.0 Units/Acre |
| 78 Spaces |


| I. Without Prevailing Wages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Gap <br> A. per Affordable Unit | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 10.4) \mathrm{M} \\ & (\$ 298,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 8.5) \mathrm{M} \\ (\$ 243,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 7.5) \mathrm{M} \\ (\$ 215,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 9.8) \mathrm{M} \\ (\$ 280,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 6.6) \text { M } \\ (\$ 189,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| B. per Market-Rate Unit <br> @ 5.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 10.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 15.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 20.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 25.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 30.0\% Inclusionary | $(\$ 14,900)$ <br> (\$29,800) <br> $(\$ 44,700)$ <br> $(\$ 59,600)$ <br> $(\$ 74,500)$ <br> $(\$ 89,400)$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 12,150) \\ & (\$ 24,300) \\ & (\$ 36,450) \\ & (\$ 48,600) \\ & (\$ 60,750) \\ & \$ 72,900) \end{aligned}$ | (\$10,750) (\$21,500) $(\$ 32,250)$ $(\$ 43,000)$ $(\$ 53,750)$ $(\$ 64,500)$ | $(\$ 14,000)$ <br> ( $\$ 28,000)$ <br> $(\$ 42,000)$ <br> $(\$ 56,000)$ <br> $(\$ 70,000)$ <br> $(\$ 84,000)$ | $(\$ 9,450)$ <br> ( $\$ 18,900$ ) <br> $(\$ 28,350)$ <br> $(\$ 37,800)$ <br> $(\$ 47,250)$ <br> $(\$ 56,700)$ |



| II. With Prevailing Wages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Gap <br> A. per Affordable Unit | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 11.4) \text { M } \\ & (\$ 325,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 9.5) \mathrm{M} \\ (\$ 271,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 8.5) \mathrm{M} \\ (\$ 242,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 11.2) \mathrm{M} \\ & (\$ 320,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\$ 8.0) \text { M } \\ (\$ 230,000) \end{gathered}$ |
| B. per Market-Rate Unit <br> @ 5.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 10.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 15.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 20.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 25.0\% Inclusionary <br> @ 30.0\% Inclusionary | (\$16,250) <br> $(\$ 32,500)$ <br> $(\$ 48,750)$ <br> $(\$ 65,000)$ <br> $(\$ 81,250)$ <br> $(\$ 97,500)$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 13,550) \\ & (\$ 27,100) \\ & (\$ 40,650) \\ & (\$ 54,200) \\ & (\$ 67,750) \\ & (\$ 81,300) \end{aligned}$ | $(\$ 12,100)$ <br> $(\$ 24,200)$ <br> $(\$ 36,300)$ <br> $(\$ 48,400)$ <br> (\$60,500) <br> $(\$ 72,600)$ | $(\$ 16,000)$ <br> $(\$ 32,000)$ <br> $(\$ 48,000)$ <br> $(\$ 64,000)$ <br> $(\$ 80,000)$ <br> $(\$ 96,000)$ | $(\$ 11,500)$ <br> $(\$ 23,000)$ <br> $(\$ 34,500)$ <br> $(\$ 46,000)$ <br> (\$57,500) <br> $(\$ 69,000)$ |


|  |
| :---: |
|  |
| $(\$ 9.8) \mathrm{M}$ |
| $(\$ 252,000)$ |
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## ATTACHMENT 7.A

## OFF-SITE COMPLIANCE RENTAL

# OFF-SITE COMPLIANCE <br> RENTAL 

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION - OFF-SITE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROTOTYPE

## INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

 CITY OF ENCINITASI. Product Type

Garden Apartments

Construction Type Type V
Tenure Rental
II. Site Area
III. Number of Stories
IV. Unit Mix

| Two Bedroom | \# of Units  <br> 35 Units $\underline{\text { Unit Size }}$ <br> 800 SF  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |

V. Density
VI. Gross Building Area

Residential Net Building Area
Building Efficiency (1)
Total Gross Building Area (GBA)
VII. Floor Area Ratio (FAR)
VIII. Parking

Type
Number of Parking Spaces
Parking Ratio (Space/Unit) (2)

28,000 SF $95 \%$
1,500 SF 5\%
29,500 SF $100 \%$
0.34

Surface / Carports
70 Spaces
2.00 Spaces/Unit
(1) Includes leasing office and community amenities.
(2) Reflects City of Encinitas off-street parking requirements for two bedroom units. Per City of Encinitas Municipal Code, §30.54.030.

## ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS - WITH TAX CREDITS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

CITY OF ENCINITAS

(1) Estimate. Not verified by KMA or the City.

## ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS - WITHOUT TAX CREDITS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | without Tax Credits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | without Prevailing Wages |  |  | with Prevailing Wages |  |  |
|  | Totals | Per Unit | Comments | Totals | Per Unit | Comments |
| I. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-Site Improvements (1) | \$218,000 | \$6,229 | \$2.50 Per SF Site | \$218,000 | \$6,229 | \$2.50 Per SF Site |
| On-Sites/Landscaping | \$871,000 | \$24,886 | \$10 Per SF Site | \$871,000 | \$24,886 | \$10 Per SF Site |
| Shell Construction | \$4,720,000 | \$134,857 | \$160 Per SF GBA | \$4,720,000 | \$134,857 | \$160 Per SF GBA |
| Parking | \$175,000 | \$5,000 | \$2,500 /Space | \$175,000 | \$5,000 | \$2,500 /Space |
| Amenities/FF\&E | \$88,000 | \$2,500 | Allowance | \$88,000 | \$2,500 | Allowance |
| Subtotal | \$6,072,000 | \$173,486 | \$206 Per SF GBA | \$6,072,000 | \$173,486 | \$206 Per SF GBA |
| Add: Prevailing Wages | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% of Directs | \$1,214,000 | \$34,686 | 20.0\% of Directs |
| Contingency | \$304,000 | \$8,686 | 5.0\% of Directs | \$364,000 | \$10,400 | 5.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$6,376,000 | \$182,171 | \$216 Per SF GBA | \$7,650,000 | \$218,571 | \$259 Per SF GBA |
| II. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Engineering | \$319,000 | \$9,114 | 5.0\% of Directs | \$319,000 | \$9,114 | 5.0\% of Directs-excl prevailing wages |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$700,000 | \$20,000 | Allowance | \$700,000 | \$20,000 | Allowance |
| Legal \& Accounting | \$64,000 | \$1,829 | 1.0\% of Directs | \$77,000 | \$2,200 | 1.0\% of Directs |
| Taxes \& Insurance | \$64,000 | \$1,829 | 1.0\% of Directs | \$77,000 | \$2,200 | 1.0\% of Directs |
| Developer Fee | \$255,000 | \$7,286 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$306,000 | \$8,743 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Marketing/Lease-Up | \$88,000 | \$2,500 | Allowance | \$88,000 | \$2,500 | Allowance |
| Contingency | \$75,000 | \$2,143 | 5.0\% of Indirects | \$78,000 | \$2,229 | 5.0\% of Indirects |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$1,565,000 | \$44,714 | 24.5\% of Directs | \$1,645,000 | \$47,000 | 21.5\% of Directs |
| III. Financing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan Fees | \$137,000 | \$3,914 | 2.1\% of Directs | \$160,000 | \$4,571 | 2.1\% of Directs |
| Interest During Construction | \$200,000 | \$5,714 | 3.1\% of Directs | \$234,000 | \$6,686 | 3.1\% of Directs |
| Interest During Lease-Up | \$121,000 | \$3,457 | 1.9\% of Directs | \$135,000 | \$3,857 | 1.8\% of Directs |
| TCAC/Syndication Fees | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% of Directs | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% of Directs |
| Operating Lease-Up/Reserves | \$153,000 | \$4,371 | 2.4\% of Directs | \$168,000 | \$4,800 | 2.2\% of Directs |
| Total Financing Costs | \$611,000 | \$17,457 | 9.6\% of Directs | \$697,000 | \$19,914 | 9.1\% of Directs |
| IV. Total Development Costs excl. Acquisition | \$8,552,000 | \$244,343 | \$290 Per SF GBA | \$9,992,000 | \$285,486 | \$339 Per SF GBA |
| V. Acquisition Costs | \$4,356,000 | \$124,457 | \$50 Per SF Site | \$4,356,000 | \$124,457 | \$50 Per SF Site |
| VI. Total Development Costs with Acquisition | \$12,908,000 | \$369,000 | \$438 Per SF GBA | \$14,348,000 | \$410,000 | \$486 Per SF GBA |

(1) Estimate. Not verified by KMA or the City.

## AFFORDABLE RENTS AND STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | with 4\% Tax Credits |  |  |  |  |  | without 4\% Tax Credits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extremely Low Income $30 \%$ of AMI |  | Very Low Income $50 \%$ of AMI |  | Low Income 60\% of AMI |  | Low Income 70\% of AMI |  | Moderate Income 110\% of AMI |  |
| I. Affordable Rent - Per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Family Size |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |
| Number of Bedrooms |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Household Income (1) |  | \$23,295 |  | \$38,825 |  | \$46,590 |  | \$54,355 |  | \$85,415 |
| B. Income Allocation to Housing |  | 30\% |  | 30\% |  | 30\% |  | 30\% |  | 30\% |
| Monthly Housing Cost |  | \$581 |  | \$971 |  | \$1,165 |  | \$1,359 |  | \$2,135 |
| (Less) Utility Allowance (2) |  | (\$99) |  | (\$99) |  | (\$99) |  | (\$99) |  | (\$99) |
| C. Maximum Monthly Rent |  | \$482 |  | \$872 |  | \$1,066 |  | \$1,260 |  | \$2,036 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units | 35 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 35 | 1 |
| Gross Scheduled Income (GSI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly | \$16,870 | \$482 | \$30,507 | \$872 | \$37,301 | \$1,066 | \$44,096 | \$1,260 | \$71,273 | \$2,036 |
| Annual | \$202,000 | \$5,771 | \$366,000 | \$10,457 | \$448,000 | \$12,800 | \$529,000 | \$15,114 | \$855,000 | \$24,429 |
| Other Income $\quad \$ 25$ | \$10,500 | \$300 | \$10,500 | \$300 | \$10,500 | \$300 | \$10,500 | \$300 | \$10,500 | \$300 |
| (Less) Vacancy 5.0\% | (\$10,000) | (\$286) | (\$18,000) | (\$514) | (\$22,000) | (\$629) | (\$26,000) | (\$743) | (\$43,000) | (\$1,229) |
| Effective Gross Income (EGI) | \$202,500 | \$5,786 | \$358,500 | \$10,243 | \$436,500 | \$12,471 | \$513,500 | \$14,671 | \$822,500 | \$23,500 |
| (Less) Operating Expenses (3) | (\$208,000) | $(\$ 5,950)$ | $(\$ 208,000)$ | (\$5,950) | (\$208,000) | (\$5,950) | (\$208,000) | $(\$ 5,943)$ | (\$208,000) | $(\$ 5,943)$ |
| (Less) Property Taxes (4) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$59,000) | (\$1,686) | (\$120,000) | (\$3,429) |
| III. Net Operating Income (NOI) | $(\$ 5,500)$ | (\$164) | \$150,500 | \$4,293 | \$228,500 | \$6,521 | \$246,500 | \$7,043 | \$494,500 | \$14,129 |

(1) Reflects the lesser of California Department of Housing Community Development (HCD) or California Tax Credit Allocation Committee 2019 Income Limits.
(2) Source: City of Encinitas, Housing Authority utility allowances issued July 1, 2019.

Assumed utility allowance profile for a two bedroom unit is as follows:

| Gas Heat | $\$ 5$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 3$ |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 11$ |
| Other Electric | $\$ 30$ |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 40$ |
| Trash | $\$ 10$ |
| Total Utilities | $\$ 99$ |

3) Includes operating expenses ( $\$ 5,500 /$ per unit), replacement reserves ( $\$ 300 /$ per unit), and monitoring fee (\$150/per unit).
(4) Assumes development is tax-exempt based on a partnership with a non-profit developer

## ESTIMATED AFFORDABILITY GAP

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | with 4\% Tax Credits |  |  |  |  |  | without 4\% Tax Credits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extremely Low Income$30 \%$ AMI |  | Very Low Income 50\% AMI |  | Low Income 60\% AMI |  | Low Income 70\% of AMI |  | Moderate Income 110\% of AMI |  |
| I. Without Prevailing Wages | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit |
| A. Sources of Funds Supportable Permanent Loan | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,920,000 | $\$ 55,000$ | $\$ 2,916,000$ | \$83,000 | $\$ 2,814,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | \$5,669,000 | \$162,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity Investment | \$3,743,000 | \$107,000 | \$3,743,000 | \$107,000 | \$3,743,000 | \$107,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Developer Equity Investment | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$320,217 | \$9,000 | \$645,000 | \$18,000 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Sources of Funds | \$3,743,000 | \$107,000 | \$5,663,000 | \$162,000 | \$6,659,000 | \$190,000 | \$3,134,217 | \$89,000 | \$6,314,000 | \$180,000 |
| B. (Less) Total Development Costs | (\$14,171,000) | (\$405,000) | (\$14,171,000) | (\$405,000) | (\$14,171,000) | (\$405,000) | (\$12,908,000) | (\$369,000) | (\$12,908,000) | (\$369,000) |
| C. Affordability Gap | (\$10,428,000) | $(\$ 298,000)$ | (\$8,508,000) | $(\$ 243,000)$ | (\$7,512,000) | $(\$ 215,000)$ | $(\$ 9,773,783)$ | $(\$ 280,000)$ | (\$6,594,000) | $(\$ 189,000)$ |


|  | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit | Total | Per Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II. With Prevailing Wages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Sources of Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supportable Permanent Loan | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,920,000 | \$55,000 | \$2,916,000 | \$83,000 | \$2,814,000 | \$80,000 | \$5,669,000 | \$162,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity Investment | \$4,373,000 | \$125,000 | \$4,373,000 | \$125,000 | \$4,373,000 | \$125,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Developer Equity Investment | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$320,217 | \$9,000 | \$645,000 | \$18,000 |
| Deferred Developer Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Sources of Funds | \$4,373,000 | \$125,000 | \$6,293,000 | \$180,000 | \$7,289,000 | \$208,000 | \$3,134,217 | \$89,000 | \$6,314,000 | \$180,000 |
| B. (Less) Total Development Costs | (\$15,761,000) | (\$450,000) | (\$15,761,000) | (\$450,000) | (\$15,761,000) | (\$450,000) | (\$14,348,000) | (\$410,000) | (\$14,348,000) | (\$410,000) |
| C. Affordability Gap | (\$11,388,000) | (\$325,000) | $(\$ 9,468,000)$ | $(\$ 271,000)$ | $(\$ 8,472,000)$ | $(\$ 242,000)$ | $(\$ 11,213,783)$ | $(\$ 320,000)$ | $(\$ 8,034,000)$ | $(\$ 230,000)$ |

Filename: Encinitas_Inclusionary_Affordability Gaps for Nexus_vv; 12/2/2019;lag

## ATTACHMENT 7.B

## OFF-SITE COMPLIANCE

FOR-SALE

# OFF-SITE COMPLIANCE <br> FOR-SALE 

TABLE 7.B-1

PROJECT DESCRIPTION - OFF-SITE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROTOTYPE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS
I. Product Type

Construction Type
Tenure
II. Site Area
III. Number of Stories
IV. Unit Mix

|  | \# of Units | Unit Size |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | 39 Units | 1,500 SF |

V. Density
VI. Gross Building Area

Residential Net Building Area
Building Efficiency
Total Gross Building Area (GBA)
VII. Floor Area Ratio (FAR)
VIII. Parking

Type
Number of Parking Spaces
Parking Ratio (Space/Unit)

Townhome

Type V
For-Sale

130,680 SF
3.0 Acres

2 Stories over parking
13.0 Units/Acre

| 58,500 SF | $100 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\underline{0}$ SF | $\underline{0 \%}$ |
| 58,500 SF | $100 \%$ |

0.45

Attached Garage
78 Spaces
2.00 Spaces/Unit

## ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

NCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | without Prevailing Wages |  |  | with Prevailing Wages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Totals | Per Unit | Comments | Totals | Per Unit | Comments |
| I. Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-Site Improvements (1) | \$327,000 | \$8,385 | \$2.50 Per SF Site | \$327,000 | \$8,385 | \$2.50 Per SF Site |
| On-Sites/Landscaping | \$1,634,000 | \$41,897 | \$12.50 Per SF Site | \$1,634,000 | \$41,897 | \$12.50 Per SF Site |
| Shell Construction | \$9,360,000 | \$240,000 | \$160 Per SF GBA | \$9,360,000 | \$240,000 | \$160 Per SF GBA |
| Parking | \$0 | \$0 | \$0/Space | \$0 | \$0 | \$0/Space |
| Amenities/FF\&E | \$78,000 | \$2,000 | Allowance | \$78,000 | \$2,000 | Allowance |
| Subtotal | \$11,399,000 | \$292,282 | \$195 Per SF GBA | \$11,399,000 | \$292,282 | \$195 Per SF GBA |
| Add: Prevailing Wages | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% of Directs | \$2,280,000 | \$58,462 | 20.0\% of Directs |
| Contingency | \$570,000 | \$14,615 | 5.0\% of Directs | \$684,000 | \$17,538 | 5.0\% of Directs |
| Total Direct Costs | \$11,969,000 | \$306,897 | \$205 Per SF GBA | \$14,363,000 | \$368,282 | \$246 Per SF GBA |
| II. Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Engineering | \$598,000 | \$15,333 | 5.0\% of Directs | \$718,000 | \$18,410 | 5.0\% of Directs-excl prevailing wages |
| Permits \& Fees (1) | \$780,000 | \$20,000 | Allowance | \$780,000 | \$20,000 | Allowance |
| Legal \& Accounting | \$120,000 | \$3,077 | 1.0\% of Directs | \$144,000 | \$3,692 | 1.0\% of Directs |
| Taxes \& Insurance | \$120,000 | \$3,077 | 1.0\% of Directs | \$144,000 | \$3,692 | 1.0\% of Directs |
| Developer Fee | \$479,000 | \$12,282 | 4.0\% of Directs | \$575,000 | \$14,744 | 4.0\% of Directs |
| Marketing/Sales | \$117,000 | \$3,000 | Allowance | \$117,000 | \$3,000 | Allowance |
| Contingency | \$111,000 | \$2,846 | 5.0\% of Indirects | \$124,000 | \$3,179 | 5.0\% of Indirects |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$2,325,000 | \$59,615 | 19.4\% of Directs | \$2,602,000 | \$66,718 | 18.1\% of Directs |
| III. Financing Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan Fees | \$241,000 | \$6,179 | 2.0\% of Directs | \$286,000 | \$7,333 | 2.0\% of Directs |
| Interest During Construction | \$352,000 | \$9,026 | 2.9\% of Directs | \$418,000 | \$10,718 | 2.9\% of Directs |
| Interest During Sales | \$157,000 | \$4,026 | 1.3\% of Directs | \$186,000 | \$4,769 | 1.3\% of Directs |
| HOA Dues on Unsold Units | \$19,000 | \$487 | 0.2\% of Directs | \$19,000 | \$487 | 0.1\% of Directs |
| Total Financing Costs | \$769,000 | \$19,718 | 6.4\% of Directs | \$909,000 | \$23,308 | 6.3\% of Directs |
| IV. Total Development Costs excl. Acquisition | \$15,063,000 | \$386,231 | \$257 Per SF GBA | \$17,874,000 | \$458,308 | \$306 Per SF GBA |
| V. Acquisition Costs | \$6,534,000 | \$167,538 | \$50 Per SF Site | \$6,534,000 | \$167,538 | \$50 Per SF Site |
| VI. Total Development Costs with Acquisition | \$21,597,000 | \$554,000 | \$369 Per SF GBA | \$24,408,000 | \$626,000 | \$417 Per SF GBA |

(1) Estimate. Not verified by KMA or the City.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename: Encinitas_Inclusionary_Affordability Gaps for Nexus_v4; 12/2/2019;lag

## AFFORDABLE SALES PRICES

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  | Moderate Income120\% of AMI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Affordable Sales Price - Per Unit <br> A. Family Size <br> Number of Bedrooms <br> Household Income (1) <br> B. Income Allocation to Housing Amount Available for Housing <br> C. Annual HOA (2) <br> Annual Utilities (3) <br> Tax Rate <br> Annual Taxes (4) <br> D. Available for Mortgage <br> E. Interest Rate <br> Down payment <br> Supportable Mortgage <br> Add: Down Payment <br> C. Maximum Unit Price (Rounded) |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 3 \\ \$ 94,930 \\ 35 \% \\ \$ 33,226 \\ \\ \$ 2,400 \\ \$ 1,428 \\ 1.09 \% \\ \$ 4,665 \\ \\ \$ 24,732 \\ \\ 4.5 \% \\ 5.0 \% \\ \\ \$ 406,766 \\ \$ 21,400 \\ \$ 428,000 \end{array}$ |
| II. Sales Proceeds <br> A. Units <br> B. Gross Sales Proceeds <br> C. (Less) Cost of Sale @ <br> $3.0 \%$ of Value | Total $\begin{array}{r} 39 \\ \$ 16,692,000 \\ (\$ 501,000) \end{array}$ | Per Unit <br> 1 <br> \$428,000 <br> $(\$ 12,846)$ |
| III. Net Sales Proceeds | \$16,191,000 | \$415,000 |

(1) Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 2018 Income Limits.
(2) Allowance for structure insurance, maintenance, and reserves.
(3) Source: City of Encinitas, Housing Authority utility allowances issued July 1, 2018. Assumed utility allowance profile for a three bedroom unit is as follows:

| Gas Heat | $\$ 6$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 4$ |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 13$ |
| Other Electric | $\$ 37$ |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 47$ |
| Trash | $\$ 12$ |
| Total Utilities | $\$ 119$ |

(4) Based on affordable unit price. Property tax assessment may be based on market value of actual unit.

## Moderate Income

$$
120 \% \text { of AMI }
$$

| I. Without Prevailing Wages | Total | Per Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| B. (Less) Developer Profit | $10 \%$ of Value | $(\$ 1,619,000)$ |
|  |  | $(\$ 42,000)$ |
| C. Warranted Investment | $\$ 14,572,000$ | $\$ 374,000$ |
| D. (Less) Total Development Costs | $(\$ 21,597,000)$ | $(\$ 554,000)$ |
| E. Affordability Gap | $(\$ 7,025,000)$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 1 8 0 , 0 0 0 )}$ |


| II. With Prevailing Wages | Total | Per Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Net Sales Proceeds |  |  |
| B. (Less) Developer Profit | $10 \%$ of Value | $(\$ 1,619,000)$ |
|  |  |  |
| C. Warranted Investment | $\$ 42,000)$ |  |
| D. (Less) Total Development Costs | $(\$ 24,408,000)$ | $(\$ 626,000)$ |
| E. Affordability Gap | $(\$ 9,836,000)$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 2 5 2 , 0 0 0 )}$ |
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TABLE 8.1
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES, CARLSBAD, AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | $\underline{\text { SF }}$ | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/08/19 | 929 Neptune Ave. | \$5,350,000 | 3,463 | \$1,545 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 03/21/19 | 316 S Rios | \$8,250,000 | 5,436 | \$1,518 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
| 04/04/19 | 237 La Mesa Ave | \$2,420,000 | 2,400 | \$1,008 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
| 03/05/19 | 363 Andrew Avenue | \$3,575,000 | 3,717 | \$962 | 5 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/08/19 | 2028 Edinburg Ave | \$2,555,435 | 2,701 | \$946 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 04/02/19 | 1332 Rubenstein Avenue | \$5,900,000 | 6,300 | \$937 | 6 | 6 | 2019 |
| 03/15/19 | 1114 Crest Drive | \$3,000,000 | 3,346 | \$897 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 03/26/19 | 698 Calypso PI | \$1,530,000 | 2,162 | \$708 | 3 | 2 | 2012 |
| 05/28/19 | 356 Fulvia St | \$2,063,366 | 3,013 | \$685 | 3 | 3 | 2019 |
| 06/18/19 | 199 Stonesteps Way | \$1,900,000 | 3,041 | \$625 | 5 | 5 | 2015 |
| 05/17/19 | 1520 Halia Court | \$1,810,000 | 2,898 | \$625 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 06/13/19 | 1505 Halia Ct | \$1,845,000 | 2,983 | \$619 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 06/14/19 | 374 Fulvia St | \$2,342,000 | 3,798 | \$617 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
| 02/28/19 | 1516 Halia Ct | \$1,950,000 | 3,372 | \$578 | 4 | 4 | 2013 |
| 03/07/19 | 810 Hygeia | \$1,720,000 | 3,091 | \$556 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 03/27/19 | 1133 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,122,023 | 2,030 | \$553 | 3 | 3 | 2019 |
| 02/15/19 | 746 Devonshire | \$1,410,000 | 2,600 | \$542 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 03/13/19 | 1131 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,454,462 | 2,705 | \$538 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 05/24/19 | 1129 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,409,000 | 2,705 | \$521 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 03/13/19 | 1117 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,467,000 | 2,905 | \$505 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 06/21/19 | 1125 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,470,000 | 2,920 | \$503 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
| 02/28/19 | 6682 Peregrine Place | \$1,560,000 | 3,142 | \$496 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 02/20/19 | 185 Pacific View Lane | \$1,642,000 | 3,319 | \$495 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 01/03/19 | 3111 Afton Way | \$1,434,060 | 2,934 | \$489 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/29/19 | 3840 Rancho Summit | \$2,200,000 | 4,666 | \$471 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 06/27/19 | 3461 Trailblazer | \$1,020,000 | 2,165 | \$471 | 3 | 3 | 2016 |
| 04/12/19 | 1440 MacKinnon Ave | \$1,150,000 | 2,499 | \$460 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 04/08/19 | 6603 Peregrine Place 6 | \$1,435,153 | 3,142 | \$457 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 03/01/19 | 4718 Chase Court | \$1,925,000 | 4,407 | \$437 | 5 | 5 | 2016 |
| 04/19/19 | 4730 Chase Court | \$1,278,873 | 2,961 | \$432 | 3 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/22/19 | 3635 Summit Trail Ct | \$741,000 | 1,753 | \$423 | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
| 04/25/19 | 748 Rancho Santa Fe Rd | \$1,585,000 | 3,751 | \$423 | 5 | 4 | 2017 |
| 04/03/19 | 6625 Peregrine Place 5 | \$1,406,112 | 3,348 | \$420 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/25/19 | 6640 Hollyleaf Ct | \$859,000 | 2,046 | \$420 | 3 | 2 | 2012 |
| 06/18/19 | 6856 Estrella De Mar Rd | \$1,150,000 | 2,745 | \$419 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 05/24/19 | 6420 Cinnabar Way | \$875,000 | 2,105 | \$416 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 05/20/19 | 4818 Nelson Ct | \$976,000 | 2,357 | \$414 | 3 | 3 | 2017 |
| 04/30/19 | 6920 Corte Langosta | \$1,805,000 | 4,374 | \$413 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 01/09/19 | 3612 Alander Ct | \$1,215,000 | 3,003 | \$405 | 4 | 4 | 2015 |
| 03/18/19 | 6659 Peregrine Place | \$1,269,665 | 3,142 | \$404 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 06/26/19 | 3617 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,199,888 | 3,003 | \$400 | 5 | 4 | 2014 |
| 03/18/19 | 6671 Peregrine Place | \$1,336,451 | 3,348 | \$399 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 06/07/19 | 3671 Glen Ave | \$760,000 | 1,904 | \$399 | 4 | 2 | 2011 |
| 05/06/19 | 7491 Esfera Street | \$1,880,000 | 4,720 | \$398 | 6 | 4 | 2015 |
| 04/17/19 | 2887 Crest Drive | \$1,301,419 | 3,272 | \$398 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/19/19 | 4835 La Paz Ct | \$1,175,000 | 2,965 | \$396 | 5 | 4 | 2016 |
| 01/15/19 | 2402 Trona Way | \$787,000 | 1,989 | \$396 | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/16/19 | 3849 Rancho Summit | \$1,615,000 | 4,120 | \$392 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/10/19 | 6925 Corte Langosta | \$1,900,000 | 4,873 | \$390 | 5 | 5 | 2010 |
| 04/25/19 | 7481 Esfera | \$1,600,000 | 4,163 | \$384 | 6 | 5 | 2015 |
| 03/29/19 | 3446 Filoli Circle | \$775,000 | 2,028 | \$382 | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
| 04/22/19 | 3581 Summit Trail Court | \$782,500 | 2,052 | \$381 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |

TABLE 8.1
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES, CARLSBAD, AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | SF | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05/17/19 | 3644 Summit Trail Ct | \$775,000 | 2,053 | \$377 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 04/08/19 | 1880 McCauley Lane | \$1,424,969 | 3,782 | \$377 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 04/08/19 | 1429 Enclave Court | \$1,893,900 | 5,068 | \$374 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 02/22/19 | 7137 Sitio Corazon | \$1,250,000 | 3,352 | \$373 | 5 | 5 | 2012 |
| 06/03/19 | 7152 Sitio Corazon | \$1,170,000 | 3,139 | \$373 | 5 | 4 | 2012 |
| 01/07/19 | 3622 Glen Ave | \$1,268,000 | 3,402 | \$373 | 4 | 4 | 2013 |
| 06/10/19 | 7314 Calle Pera | \$1,420,000 | 3,822 | \$372 | 5 | 5 | 2014 |
| 04/12/19 | 7013 Sitio Frontera | \$1,275,000 | 3,454 | \$369 | 5 | 3 | 2013 |
| 02/14/19 | 3430 Filoli Cir | \$745,000 | 2,025 | \$368 | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/04/19 | 7326 Calle Pera | \$1,430,000 | 3,926 | \$364 | 6 | 5 | 2014 |
| 03/22/19 | 2319 Kyanite PI | \$1,665,000 | 4,601 | \$362 | 7 | 4 | 2010 |
| 06/05/19 | 7161 Sitio Corazon | \$1,210,000 | 3,352 | \$361 | 4 | 5 | 2012 |
| 06/14/19 | 3363 Corte Panorama | \$1,260,000 | 3,511 | \$359 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 05/24/19 | 3453 Corte Altura | \$1,229,000 | 3,438 | \$357 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 04/30/19 | 3423 Corte Panorama | \$1,025,000 | 2,889 | \$355 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/31/19 | 7063 Sitio Caliente | \$1,260,000 | 3,563 | \$354 | 5 | 4 | 2012 |
| 06/20/19 | 1089 Primrose Lane | \$901,000 | 2,552 | \$353 | 5 | 3 | 2014 |
| 06/20/19 | 3445 Corte Panorama | \$1,019,000 | 2,889 | \$353 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/07/19 | 3242 Sitio Avellana | \$1,249,900 | 3,571 | \$350 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 04/09/19 | 4744 Kentner Court | \$1,350,000 | 3,878 | \$348 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
| 06/10/19 | 3781 Glen Ave | \$949,995 | 2,730 | \$348 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 02/14/19 | 3433 Corte Altura | \$1,280,000 | 3,688 | \$347 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 03/06/19 | 3760 Bergen Peak PI | \$943,000 | 2,730 | \$345 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 05/30/19 | 3103 Afton Way | \$1,318,466 | 3,826 | \$345 | 7 | 4 | 2019 |
| 05/29/19 | 3235 Sitio Avellana | \$1,220,000 | 3,571 | \$342 | 4 | 4 | 2010 |
| 05/10/19 | 3418 Filoli Cir | \$822,500 | 2,411 | \$341 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 05/08/19 | 3084 Marron Road Lot 29 | \$824,557 | 2,420 | \$341 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 03/18/19 | 4725 Kentner Court | \$1,500,000 | 4,407 | \$340 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 05/23/19 | 3737 Bergen Peak PI | \$1,075,000 | 3,160 | \$340 | 5 | 3 | 2013 |
| 01/04/19 | 3517 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,020,000 | 3,036 | \$336 | 5 | 2 | 2012 |
| 01/16/19 | 6645 Brookite Ct | \$1,050,000 | 3,175 | \$331 | 5 | 4 | 2011 |
| 03/12/19 | 3252 Sitio Tortuga | \$1,180,000 | 3,571 | \$330 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 04/15/19 | 4711 Chase Court | \$1,498,848 | 4,686 | \$320 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 01/31/19 | 3614 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,060,000 | 3,402 | \$312 | 5 | 4 | 2014 |
| 02/12/19 | 4394 Yuki Lane | \$1,000,000 | 3,552 | \$282 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 01/31/19 | 4916 Park Court | \$995,000 | 4,000 | \$249 | 5 | 3 | 2016 |
|  | Minimum | \$741,000 | 1,753 | \$249 | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
|  | Maximum | \$8,250,000 | 6,300 | \$1,545 | 7 | 6 | 2019 |
|  | Median | \$1,290,710 | 3,151 | \$398 | 5 | 4 | 2015 |
|  | Average | \$1,565,233 | 3,256 | \$473 | 4 | 4 | 2015 |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2019 to present.
(2) Excludes detached homes built before 2010.

Source: Redfin.com

TABLE 8.2
COMPARABLE TOWNHOME SALES, CARLSBAD, AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | SF | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/08/19 | 3722 Carlsbad | \$2,300,000 | 2,101 | \$1,095 | 4 | 2 | 2009 |
| 05/28/19 | 2331 Cambridge Ave | \$1,866,969 | 2,214 | \$843 | 3 | 4 | 2019 |
| 03/15/19 | 2319 Cambridge Ave | \$1,759,939 | 2,220 | \$793 | 3 | 4 | 2018 |
| 01/08/19 | 175 Maple Avenue \#4 | \$970,000 | 1,370 | \$708 | 2 | 2 | 2000 |
| 04/02/19 | 1334 Statice Ct. | \$600,000 | 1,207 | \$497 | 3 | 2 | 2000 |
| 03/22/19 | 1306 Statice Ct | \$590,000 | 1,207 | \$489 | 3 | 2 | 2000 |
| 01/11/19 | 7465 Mermaid | \$1,055,000 | 2,225 | \$474 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 04/12/19 | 3083 Via Maximo | \$635,000 | 1,406 | \$452 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 05/07/19 | 1611 Fairlead Ave | \$668,000 | 1,500 | \$445 | 3 | 2 | 2014 |
| 03/12/19 | 7612 Camino Abierto | \$1,050,000 | 2,419 | \$434 | 4 | 2 | 2010 |
| 04/15/19 | 3677 Jetty Pt | \$600,000 | 1,390 | \$432 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 04/22/19 | 6444 Alexandri | \$560,000 | 1,316 | \$426 | 2 | 2 | 2002 |
| 01/29/19 | 3065 Via Maximo | \$642,000 | 1,538 | \$417 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 01/07/19 | 6336 Alexandri Circle | \$665,000 | 1,604 | \$415 | 3 | 3 | 2001 |
| 04/02/19 | 4029 Peninsula Drive | \$623,000 | 1,509 | \$413 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 01/04/19 | 6932 Tourmaline Place | \$665,000 | 1,615 | \$412 | 2 | 2 | 2011 |
| 02/21/19 | 3110 Simba Way | \$653,990 | 1,610 | \$406 | 3 | 2 | 2018 |
| 03/08/19 | 6944 Brass PI | \$700,000 | 1,730 | \$405 | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
| 04/15/19 | 4109 Peninsula | \$610,000 | 1,509 | \$404 | 3 | 2 | 2006 |
| 04/22/19 | 4030 Backshore | \$610,000 | 1,509 | \$404 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 06/13/19 | 4115 Peninsula Drive | \$539,000 | 1,341 | \$402 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 04/11/19 | 6476 Corte La Luz | \$617,000 | 1,538 | \$401 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 03/25/19 | 6232 Via Trato | \$518,000 | 1,292 | \$401 | 4 | 3 | 2002 |
| 03/22/19 | 4092 Karst Rd | \$525,000 | 1,341 | \$391 | 3 | 2 | 2006 |
| 01/25/19 | 4023 Peninsula | \$523,000 | 1,341 | \$390 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 03/26/19 | 7570 Gibraltar St 102 | \$865,000 | 2,223 | \$389 | 4 | 3 | 2017 |
| 06/17/19 | 4078 Karst Road | \$519,900 | 1,341 | \$388 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 04/12/19 | 4117 Peninsula Drive | \$546,000 | 1,411 | \$387 | 3 | 2 | 2006 |
| 01/03/19 | 6295 Citracado Circle | \$527,500 | 1,367 | \$386 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 05/06/19 | 6227 Via Trato | \$459,000 | 1,201 | \$382 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 01/02/19 | 4026 Peninsula Dr | \$512,500 | 1,341 | \$382 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 01/04/19 | 6136 Paseo Granito | \$435,000 | 1,140 | \$382 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 03/29/19 | 3121 Nala Way | \$578,357 | 1,533 | \$377 | 3 | 2 | 2018 |
| 01/25/19 | 6611 Santa Isabel street 105 | \$649,000 | 1,727 | \$376 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 05/10/19 | 7558 Romeria St. | \$690,000 | 1,841 | \$375 | 3 | 2 | 2003 |
| 02/04/19 | 3102 Simba Way | \$676,207 | 1,843 | \$367 | 4 | 2 | 2018 |
| 06/21/19 | 3131 Asto Place | \$605,000 | 1,681 | \$360 | 3 | 2 | 2017 |
| 03/20/19 | 3178 Via Iris | \$805,000 | 2,300 | \$350 | 3 | 2 | 2011 |
| 03/20/19 | 4073 Aidan Circle | \$975,000 | 2,800 | \$348 | 4 | 2 | 2008 |
| 01/24/19 | 6575 Daylily Drive | \$600,000 | 1,743 | \$344 | 4 | 2 | 2000 |
| 05/02/19 | 6080 Citracado Cir | \$450,000 | 1,322 | \$340 | 2 | 2 | 2001 |
| 05/16/19 | 6982 Mercury PI | \$740,000 | 2,216 | \$334 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 05/16/19 | 6905 Tourmaline Place | \$665,000 | 2,216 | \$300 | 4 | 2 | 2010 |
|  | Minimum | \$435,000 | 1,140 | \$300 | 2 | 2 | 2000 |
|  | Maximum | \$2,300,000 | 2,800 | \$1,095 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
|  | Median | \$623,000 | 1,533 | \$401 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
|  | Average | \$740,567 | 1,658 | \$440 | 3 | 2 | 2007 |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2019 to present.
(2) Excludes townhomes built before 2000.

TABLE 8.3
COMPARABLE APARTMENT BUILDING SALES, SAN DIEGO COUNTY (1) (2) (3)
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Units | Sale Price | Acres | Gross Building Area (SF) | \$/Unit | Units/ Acre | $\begin{aligned} & \$ / \mathbf{S F} \\ & \text { GBA } \end{aligned}$ | Cap Rate | Year Built | Buyer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/01/18 | 5541 Lindo Paseo | San Diego | 8 | \$6,000,000 | 0.17 | 11,250 | \$750,000 | 48 | \$533 | 5.0\% | 2018 | The Warmington Group Of Companies |
| 03/29/18 | 1134-1148 Fresno St | San Diego | 6 | \$4,350,000 | 0.25 | 11,597 | \$725,000 | 24 | \$375 | 4.3\% | 2017 | Harold L Tilbury |
| 02/11/19 | 4142 Adams Ave | San Diego | 34 | \$22,650,000 | 0.78 | 46,810 | \$666,176 | 44 | \$484 | 4.5\% | 2014 | Fayette Keulen |
| 01/19/17 | 5968-5978 Lauretta St | San Diego | 6 | \$3,950,000 | 0.18 | 9,095 | \$658,333 | 34 | \$434 | 4.4\% | 2016 | Gongen Gu |
| 02/08/17 | 440 Upas St | San Diego | 25 | \$14,750,000 | 0.21 | 32,545 | \$590,000 | 119 | \$453 | 3.8\% | 2014 | Danube Properties, Inc. |
| 06/15/18 | 3265-3285 5th Ave | San Diego | 25 | \$14,500,000 | 0.35 | 45,280 | \$580,000 | 71 | \$320 | 3.0\% | 2008 | Next Space Development |
| 02/08/19 | 4045 30th St | San Diego | 10 | \$5,700,000 | 0.16 | 10,320 | \$570,000 | 63 | \$552 | 5.3\% | 2017 | Fayette Keulen |
| 11/17/17 | 10500 W Ocean Air Dr | San Diego | 100 | \$55,000,000 | 9.98 | 134,013 | \$550,000 | 10 | \$410 | - | 2015 | R \& V Management Corp |
| 07/17/18 | 1907 Columbia St | San Diego | 40 | \$21,276,000 | 0.11 | 40,974 | \$531,900 | 364 | \$519 | 4.1\% | 2012 | Property West Residential |
| 02/22/18 | 4319 Mississippi St | San Diego | 21 | \$10,700,000 | 0.16 | 15,190 | \$509,524 | 131 | \$704 | - | 2017 | The Hwang Family Living Trust |
| 01/05/18 | 2143 2nd Ave | San Diego | 4 | \$2,020,000 | 0.11 | 3,597 | \$505,000 | 35 | \$562 | 4.5\% | 2017 | Daniel Contreras Tirado |
| 08/10/18 | 8707-8747 Fletcher Pky | La Mesa | 297 | \$149,500,000 | 3.74 | 615,000 | \$503,367 | 79 | \$243 | - | 2010 | TruAmerica Multifamily, Inc. |
| 05/24/18 | 1036-1042 Fern Ave | Imperial Beach | 4 | \$1,975,000 | 0.23 | 6,400 | \$493,750 | 17 | \$309 | - | 2018 | Paul W Plotts |
| 02/28/19 | 5080 Camino Del Arroyo | San Diego | 305 | \$140,000,000 | 5.38 | 250,000 | \$459,016 | 57 | \$560 | - | 2018 | R\&V Management |
| 06/26/17 | 4021 8th Ave | San Diego | 36 | \$16,500,000 | 0.35 | 30,232 | \$458,333 | 102 | \$546 | 3.7\% | 2016 | Carolyn A Kutzke Trust |
| 09/12/17 | 7394 La Mesita PI | La Mesa | 13 | \$5,925,000 | 0.47 | 20,904 | \$455,769 | 28 | \$283 | 5.4\% | 2017 | Gregory Noel |
| 07/14/17 | 1100 Garden View Rd | Encinitas | 120 | \$53,000,000 | 4.77 | 160,000 | \$441,667 | 25 | \$331 | - | 2002 | Property West Residential |
| 10/26/18 | 7084-7148 Friars Rd | San Diego | 161 | \$70,750,000 | 6.50 | 176,133 | \$439,441 | 25 | \$402 | - | 2008 | R.W. Selby \& Company, Inc. |
| 05/01/17 | 5945 Linda Vista Rd | San Diego | 533 | \$232,000,000 | 13.12 | 1,113,670 | \$435,272 | 41 | \$208 | 4.3\% | 2013 | American Assets Trust, Inc. |
| 07/31/17 | 325 Robinson Ave | San Diego | 7 | \$3,000,000 | 0.11 | 8,539 | \$428,571 | 61 | \$351 | - | 2017 | Walter E Haase Trust |
| 07/31/17 | 4050-4052 32nd St | San Diego | 6 | \$2,450,000 | 0.16 | 5,745 | \$408,333 | 37 | \$426 | - | 2008 | Lai M Family Trust \& Sylvia A T Wong Lai M |
| 01/18/17 | 4642-4644 Boundary St | San Diego | 4 | \$1,620,000 | 0.10 | 3,450 | \$405,000 | 40 | \$470 | 4.0\% | 2016 | Four Winds Group, Inc |
| 04/30/19 | 4440 Twain Ave | San Diego | 374 | \$149,000,000 | 12.00 | 441,000 | \$398,396 | 31 | \$338 | - | 2018 | R\&V Management |
| 09/12/17 | 8583 Aero Dr | San Diego | 360 | \$136,500,000 | 7.52 | 720,000 | \$379,167 | 48 | \$190 | - | 2015 | Olympus Property |
| 04/22/19 | 428-448 Emerald Ave | El Cajon | 6 | \$2,230,000 | 0.41 | 10,200 | \$371,667 | 15 | \$219 | - | 2018 | BR Development, Inc. |
| 06/04/18 | 1850 Thibodo Rd | Vista | 314 | \$115,000,000 | 21.13 | 300,952 | \$366,242 | 15 | \$382 | 4.0\% | 2005 | Pacific Urban Residential |
| 01/30/18 | 4068-4072 51st St | San Diego | 5 | \$1,800,000 | 0.53 | 6,200 | \$360,000 | 9 | \$290 | 5.8\% | 2017 |  |
| 02/23/17 | 320 W Ash St | San Diego | 24 | \$8,575,000 | 0.16 | 17,061 | \$357,292 | 152 | \$503 | 3.5\% | 2003 | Robert Miller |
| 02/14/19 | 5025 Collwood Blvd | San Diego | 263 | \$92,500,000 | 6.79 | 250,896 | \$351,711 | 39 | \$369 | 4.5\% | 2010 | Cardinal Group Investments, LLC |
| 06/12/18 | 8798 Spectrum Center Blvd | San Diego | 381 | \$132,500,000 | 5.93 | 363,858 | \$347,769 | 64 | \$364 | 4.0\% | 2012 | Magnolia Capital |
| 10/11/18 | 1045 Armorlite Dr | San Marcos | 416 | \$141,500,000 | 12.00 | 420,000 | \$340,144 | 35 | \$337 | - | 2017 | MG Properties Group |
| 03/28/18 | 779-789 Ada St | Chula Vista | 8 | \$2,700,000 | 0.86 | 9,952 | \$337,500 | 9 | \$271 | 3.9\% | 2000 | Isaii \& Clarissa Guerrero |
| 12/19/17 | 1245 Morning View Dr | Escondido | 102 | \$34,250,000 | 8.47 | 97,728 | \$335,784 | 12 | \$350 | - | 2000 | Crown Capital |
| 06/15/18 | 3865 Main St | Chula Vista | 97 | \$32,450,000 | 4.68 | 75,000 | \$334,536 | 21 | \$433 | - | 2018 | Providence Capital Group, Inc. |
| 06/15/17 | 1401 N Melrose Dr | Vista | 410 | \$134,000,000 | 20.00 | 403,790 | \$326,829 | 21 | \$332 | 4.7\% | 2015 | MG Properties Group |
| 10/24/17 | 8811 Spectrum Center Blvd | San Diego | 448 | \$140,000,000 | 18.10 | 421,828 | \$312,500 | 25 | \$332 | 5.5\% | 2002 | Prime Group |
| 02/15/18 | 232 Del Mar Ave | Chula Vista | 4 | \$1,200,000 | 0.14 | 5,198 | \$300,000 | 29 | \$231 | 3.2\% | 2011 | Jeffrey E Ricks |
| 05/22/19 | 514 N Vine St | Fallbrook | 6 | \$1,752,500 | 0.40 | 4,570 | \$292,083 | 15 | \$383 | 5.4\% | 2019 | David \& Carolyn Yu |
| 02/10/17 | 4028 46th St | San Diego | 4 | \$1,165,000 | 0.14 | 4,736 | \$291,250 | 29 | \$246 | 3.6\% | 2005 | Kyung Koh |

Source: CoStar, Inc.
Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename: i:/Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v7 - Market Research;12/2/2019;sjx

## TABLE 8.3

COMPARABLE APARTMENT BUILDING SALES, SAN DIEGO COUNTY (1) (2) (3) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Units | Sale Price | Acres | Gross Building Area (SF) | \$/Unit | Units/ Acre | $\begin{aligned} & \$ / S F \\ & \text { GBA } \end{aligned}$ | Cap Rate | Year Built | Buyer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/27/18 | 4353 Dawson Ave | San Diego | 5 | \$1,430,000 | 0.24 | 4,538 | \$286,000 | 21 | \$315 | 3.5\% | 2016 | LA Press |
| 04/03/17 | 228-238 Palm Ave | National City | 6 | \$1,685,000 | 0.41 | 8,888 | \$280,833 | 15 | \$190 | - | 2007 | H \& K Kachi Inc |
| 01/31/19 | 453 13th St | San Diego | 172 | \$46,600,000 | 0.69 | 87,096 | \$270,930 | 250 | \$535 | - | 2004 | Ideal Capital Group |
| 04/15/19 | 3659-3661 S Bonita St | Spring Valley | 6 | \$1,500,000 | 0.28 | 7,260 | \$250,000 | 21 | \$207 | 4.6\% | 2008 | Robin Richmond |
|  |  | Minimum | 4 | \$1,165,000 | 0.10 | 3,450 | \$250,000 | \$9 | \$190 | 3.0\% | 2000 |  |
|  |  | Maximum | 533 | \$232,000,000 | 21.13 | 1,113,670 | \$750,000 | \$364 | \$704 | 5.8\% | 2019 |  |
|  |  | Median | 25 | \$14,500,000 | 0.41 | 30,232 | \$405,000 | \$34 | \$364 | 4.3\% | 2015 |  |
|  |  | Average | 120 | \$46,882,640 | 3.91 | 149,105 | \$429,188 | \$54 | \$379 | 4.3\% | 2012 |  |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2017 to present
(2) Excludes apartment buildings built before 2000
(3) Includes sales priced $\$ 250,000 /$ unit or greater.

Source: CoStar, Inc.

## TABLE 8.4

COMPARABLE APARTMENT BUILDING SALES, SAN DIEGO NORTH COUNTY (1) (2) (3) (4) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Units | Sale Price | Acres | Gross Building Area (SF) | \$/Unit | Units/ Acre | $\begin{aligned} & \$ / \mathrm{SF} \\ & \text { GBA } \end{aligned}$ | Actual Cap Rate | Year Built | Buyer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/17/17 | 10500 W Ocean Air Dr | San Diego | 100 | \$55,000,000 | 9.98 | 134,013 | \$550,000 | 10 | \$410 | - | 2015 | R\&V Management Corp |
| 07/14/17 | 1100 Garden View Rd | Encinitas | 120 | \$53,000,000 | 4.77 | 160,000 | \$441,667 | 25 | \$331 | - | 2002 | Property West Residential |
| 06/04/18 | 1850 Thibodo Rd | Vista | 314 | \$115,000,000 | 21.13 | 300,952 | \$366,242 | 15 | \$382 | 4.00\% | 2005 | Pacific Urban Residential |
| 10/11/18 | 1045 Armorlite Dr | San Marcos | 416 | \$141,500,000 | 12.00 | 420,000 | \$340,144 | 35 | \$337 | - | 2017 | MG Properties Group |
| 12/19/17 | 1245 Morning View Dr | Escondido | 102 | \$34,250,000 | 8.47 | 97,728 | \$335,784 | 12 | \$350 | - | 2000 | Crown Capital |
| 06/15/17 | 1401 N Melrose Dr | Vista | 410 | \$134,000,000 | 20.00 | 403,790 | \$326,829 | 21 | \$332 | 4.70\% | 2015 | MG Properties Group |
| 05/22/19 | 514 N Vine St | Fallbrook | 6 | \$1,752,500 | 0.40 | 4,570 | \$292,083 | 15 | \$383 | 5.40\% | 2019 | David \& Carolyn Yu |
|  |  | Minimum | 6 | \$1,752,500 | 0.40 | 4,570 | \$292,083 | \$10 | \$331 | 4.00\% | 2000 |  |
|  |  | Maximum | 416 | \$141,500,000 | 21.13 | 420,000 | \$550,000 | \$35 | \$410 | 5.40\% | 2019 |  |
|  |  | Median | 120 | \$55,000,000 | 9.98 | 160,000 | \$340,144 | \$15 | \$350 | 4.70\% | 2015 |  |
|  |  | Average | 210 | \$76,357,500 | 10.96 | 217,293 | \$378,964 | \$19 | \$361 | 4.70\% | 2010 |  |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2017 to present.
(2) Apartment buildings north of California State Route 52.
(3) Excludes apartment buildings built before 2000
(4) Includes sales priced $\$ 250,000 /$ unit or greater.

Source: CoStar, Inc.
Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE 8.5

SURVEY OF CAPITALIZATION RATES, SAN DIEGO COUNTY (1) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | Low |  | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | Office CBD (Class AA) | 5.50 | - | 6.00 |
|  | Office CBD (Class A) | 5.75 | - | 6.25 |
|  | Office CBD (Class B) | 6.50 | - | 7.50 |
|  | Office CBD (Class C) | 7.25 | - | 7.75 |
|  | Office Suburban (Class AA) | 5.50 | - | 6.25 |
|  | Office Suburban (Class A) | 6.00 | - | 6.50 |
|  | Office Suburban (Class B) | 7.00 | - | 7.50 |
|  | Office Suburban (Class C) | 7.50 | - | 8.25 |
|  | Neighborhood/Community Center (Class A) | 5.25 | - | 6.00 |
| \% | Neighborhood/Community Center (Class B) | 6.00 | - | 7.00 |
|  | Neighborhood/Community Center (Class C) | 8.50 | - | 10.00 |
|  | Multi-Family Infill (Class A) | 4.00 | - | 4.50 |
|  | Multi-Family Infill (Class B) | 4.50 | - | 5.25 |
|  | Multi-Family Infill (Class C) | 4.75 | - | 5.25 |
|  | Multi-Family Suburban (Class A) | 4.25 | - | 4.75 |
|  | Multi-Family Suburban (Class B) | 4.50 | - | 5.00 |
|  | Multi-Family Suburban (Class C) | 5.25 | - | 5.75 |
| 응 | Hotel Luxury (CBD) | 5.50 | - | 8.00 |
|  | Hotel Luxury (Suburban) | 6.00 | - | 8.00 |
|  | Hotel Full-Service (CBD) | 6.50 | - | 8.00 |
|  | Hotel Full-Service (Suburban) | 6.75 | - | 8.00 |
|  | Hotel Select (CBD) | 6.75 | - | 8.00 |
|  | Hotel Select (Suburban) | 6.75 | - | 8.25 |
|  | Hotel Economy (CBD) | 7.75 | - | 9.00 |
|  | Hotel Economy (Suburban) | 8.25 |  | 9.25 |

(1) Source: H1 2019 CBRE, Inc.

TABLE 8.6A

SURVEY OF APARTMENT MARKET RENTS, ENCINITAS, JUNE 2019 - ONE BEDROOM
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS


TABLE 8.6B

## SURVEY OF APARTMENT MARKET RENTS, ENCINITAS, JUNE 2019 - TWO BEDROOM <br> INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Apartment Complex | Address | Zip Code | \# Units | SF | Rent | Rent/SF | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission Ridge Apartments | 1320 Via Terrassa | 92024 | 196 | 1,203 | \$3,036 | \$2.52 | 1984 |
| Elan Quail Pointe | 924 Encinitas Blvd | 92024 | 120 | 900 | \$2,225 | \$2.47 | 2006 |
| Ritz Colony | 1190 Encinitas Blvd | 92024 | 144 | 938 | \$2,300 | \$2.45 | 1985 |
| Elan Playa Mar | 116 Quail Garden Dr | 92024 | 50 | 1,025 | \$2,500 | \$2.44 | 1989 |
| Essex Heights Apartments | 404 Encinitas Blvd | 92024 | 262 | 900 | \$2,150 | \$2.39 | 1988 |
| Elan Seacrest Encinitas | 117 Rosebay Dr | 92024 | 48 | 1,000 | \$2,225 | \$2.23 | 1980 |
| - | 125 Hillcrest Dr | 92024 | - | 1,100 | \$2,400 | \$2.18 | - |
| Elan Pacifico Encinitas | 1100 Garden View Rd | 92024 | 121 | 1,396 | \$2,920 | \$2.09 | 2002 |
|  |  | Minimum | 48 | 900 | \$2,150 | \$2.09 | 1980 |
|  |  | Maximum | 262 | 1,396 | \$3,036 | \$2.52 | 2006 |
|  |  | Median | 121 | 1,013 | \$2,350 | \$2.41 | 1988 |
|  |  | Average | 134 | 1,058 | \$2,470 | \$2.35 | 1991 |

TABLE 8.7A

SURVEY OF APARTMENT MARKET RENTS, CARLSBAD, JUNE 2019- ONE BEDROOM
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Apartment Complex | Address | Zip Code | \# Units | SF | Rent | Rent/SF | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rising Glen | 2300 Rising Glen Way | 92008 | 195 | 678 | \$3,954 | \$5.83 | 1988 |
| The Reserve | 2262 Avenida Magnifica | 92008 | 450 | 557 | \$2,990 | \$5.37 | 1984 |
| Pacific View | 5162 Whitman Way | 92008 | 451 | 676 | 3,575 | \$5.29 | 2004 |
| Montecito Apartments | 2510 W Ranch St | 92010 | 266 | 748 | \$3,874 | \$5.18 | 2018 |
| Seascape | 6938 Seascape Dr | 92011 | 208 | 670 | 3,380 | \$5.04 | 1986 |
| Windsor at Aviara | 6610 Ambrosia Ln | 92011 | 290 | 684 | 3,010 | \$4.40 | 1999 |
| Santa Fe Ranch | 3402 Calle Odessa | 92009 | 320 | 679 | \$2,657 | \$3.91 | 1986 |
| The Bluffs | 2701-2707 Avenida de Anita | 92010 | 163 | 451 | \$1,730 | \$3.84 | 1978 |
| Marisol Carlsbad | 3251 Marisol PI | 92010 | 278 | 772 | \$2,452 | \$3.18 | 2018 |
| The Village | 3642 Village Cir | 92008 | 98 | 683 | \$2,134 | \$3.12 | 1962 |
| San Katrina | 336 Pine Ave | 92008 | 50 | 650 | \$2,000 | \$3.08 | 1988 |
| Elan Beachpointe | 1000 Chinquapin Ave | 92008 | 44 | 775 | 1,825 | \$2.35 | 1979 |
|  |  | Minimum | 44 | 451 | \$1,730 | \$2.35 | 1962 |
|  |  | Maximum | 451 | 775 | \$3,954 | \$5.83 | 2018 |
|  |  | Median | 237 | 679 | \$2,824 | \$4.16 | 1987 |
|  |  | Average | 234 | 669 | \$2,798 | \$4.22 | 1991 |

TABLE 8.7B

## SURVEY OF APARTMENT MARKET RENTS, CARLSBAD, JUNE 2019 - TWO BEDROOM INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS



## TABLE 8.7C

## SURVEY OF APARTMENT MARKET RENTS, CARLSBAD, JUNE 2019 - THREE BEDROOM INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Apartment Complex | Address | Zip Code | \# Units | SF | Rent Rent/SF |  | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rising Glen | 2300 Rising Glen Way | 92008 | 195 | 1,182 | \$5,464 | \$4.62 | 1988 |
| Montecito Apartments | 2510 W Ranch St | 92010 | 266 | 1,379 | \$5,752 | \$4.17 | 2018 |
| Pacific View | 5162 Whitman Way | 92008 | 451 | 1,378 | 5,200 | \$3.77 | 2004 |
| Windsor at Aviara | 6610 Ambrosia Ln | 92011 | 290 | 1,365 | 4,600 | \$3.37 | 1999 |
| Villas | 2600-2695 Kremeyer Cir | 92008 | 102 | 1,250 | 3,405 | \$2.72 | 1966 |
| Tradition | 1901 Cassia Rd | 92011 | 157 | 1,361 | 3,685 | \$2.71 | 2005 |
| Marisol Carlsbad | 3251 Marisol PI | 92010 | 278 | 1,877 | 4,129 | \$2.20 | 2018 |
|  |  | Minimum | 102 | 1,182 | \$3,405 | \$2.20 | 1966 |
|  |  | Maximum | 451 | 1,877 | \$5,752 | \$4.62 | 2018 |
|  |  | Median | 266 | 1,365 | \$4,600 | \$3.37 | 2004 |
|  |  | Average | 248 | 1,399 | \$4,605 | \$3.37 | 2000 |

TABLE 8.8
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES, 3,250-5,000 SF, CARLSBAD AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | $\underline{\text { SF }}$ | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/08/19 | 929 Neptune Ave. | \$5,350,000 | 3,463 | \$1,545 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 03/05/19 | 363 Andrew Avenue | \$3,575,000 | 3,717 | \$962 | 5 | 3 | 2018 |
| 03/15/19 | 1114 Crest Drive | \$3,000,000 | 3,346 | \$897 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 06/14/19 | 374 Fulvia St | \$2,342,000 | 3,798 | \$617 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
| 02/28/19 | 1516 Halia Ct | \$1,950,000 | 3,372 | \$578 | 4 | 4 | 2013 |
| 02/20/19 | 185 Pacific View Lane | \$1,642,000 | 3,319 | \$495 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/29/19 | 3840 Rancho Summit | \$2,200,000 | 4,666 | \$471 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 03/01/19 | 4718 Chase Court | \$1,925,000 | 4,407 | \$437 | 5 | 5 | 2016 |
| 04/25/19 | 748 Rancho Santa Fe Rd | \$1,585,000 | 3,751 | \$423 | 5 | 4 | 2017 |
| 04/03/19 | 6625 Peregrine Place 5 | \$1,406,112 | 3,348 | \$420 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/30/19 | 6920 Corte Langosta | \$1,805,000 | 4,374 | \$413 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 03/18/19 | 6671 Peregrine Place | \$1,336,451 | 3,348 | \$399 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 05/06/19 | 7491 Esfera Street | \$1,880,000 | 4,720 | \$398 | 6 | 4 | 2015 |
| 04/17/19 | 2887 Crest Drive | \$1,301,419 | 3,272 | \$398 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 01/16/19 | 3849 Rancho Summit | \$1,615,000 | 4,120 | \$392 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/10/19 | 6925 Corte Langosta | \$1,900,000 | 4,873 | \$390 | 5 | 5 | 2010 |
| 04/25/19 | 7481 Esfera | \$1,600,000 | 4,163 | \$384 | 6 | 5 | 2015 |
| 04/08/19 | 1880 McCauley Lane | \$1,424,969 | 3,782 | \$377 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 02/22/19 | 7137 Sitio Corazon | \$1,250,000 | 3,352 | \$373 | 5 | 5 | 2012 |
| 01/07/19 | 3622 Glen Ave | \$1,268,000 | 3,402 | \$373 | 4 | 4 | 2013 |
| 06/10/19 | 7314 Calle Pera | \$1,420,000 | 3,822 | \$372 | 5 | 5 | 2014 |
| 04/12/19 | 7013 Sitio Frontera | \$1,275,000 | 3,454 | \$369 | 5 | 3 | 2013 |
| 01/04/19 | 7326 Calle Pera | \$1,430,000 | 3,926 | \$364 | 6 | 5 | 2014 |
| 03/22/19 | 2319 Kyanite PI | \$1,665,000 | 4,601 | \$362 | 7 | 4 | 2010 |
| 06/05/19 | 7161 Sitio Corazon | \$1,210,000 | 3,352 | \$361 | 4 | 5 | 2012 |
| 06/14/19 | 3363 Corte Panorama | \$1,260,000 | 3,511 | \$359 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 05/24/19 | 3453 Corte Altura | \$1,229,000 | 3,438 | \$357 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 01/31/19 | 7063 Sitio Caliente | \$1,260,000 | 3,563 | \$354 | 5 | 4 | 2012 |
| 01/07/19 | 3242 Sitio Avellana | \$1,249,900 | 3,571 | \$350 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 04/09/19 | 4744 Kentner Court | \$1,350,000 | 3,878 | \$348 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
| 02/14/19 | 3433 CORTE ALTURA | \$1,280,000 | 3,688 | \$347 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 05/30/19 | 3103 Afton Way | \$1,318,466 | 3,826 | \$345 | 7 | 4 | 2019 |
| 05/29/19 | 3235 Sitio Avellana | \$1,220,000 | 3,571 | \$342 | 4 | 4 | 2010 |
| 03/18/19 | 4725 Kentner Court | \$1,500,000 | 4,407 | \$340 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 03/12/19 | 3252 Sitio Tortuga | \$1,180,000 | 3,571 | \$330 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 04/15/19 | 4711 Chase Court | \$1,498,848 | 4,686 | \$320 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 01/31/19 | 3614 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,060,000 | 3,402 | \$312 | 5 | 4 | 2014 |
| 02/12/19 | 4394 Yuki Lane | \$1,000,000 | 3,552 | \$282 | 5 | 3 | 2018 |
| 02/12/19 | 4394 Yuki Lane | \$1,000,000 | 3,552 | \$282 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 01/31/19 | 4916 Park Court | \$995,000 | 4,000 | \$249 | 5 | 3 | 2016 |
|  | Minimum | \$995,000 | 3,272 | \$249 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
|  | Maximum | \$5,350,000 | 4,873 | \$1,545 | 7 | 5 | 2019 |
|  | Median | \$1,413,056 | 3,630 | \$372 | 5 | 4 | 2015 |
|  | Average | \$1,643,929 | 3,799 | \$437 | 5 | 4 | 2015 |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2019 to present.
(2) Excludes homes built before 2010.

Source: CRMLS MLS, 2019

TABLE 8.9
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES, 2,500-4,000 SF, CARLSBAD AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | SF | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/08/19 | 929 Neptune Ave. | \$5,350,000 | 3,463 | \$1,545 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 03/05/19 | 363 Andrew Avenue | \$3,575,000 | 3,717 | \$962 | 5 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/08/19 | 2028 Edinburg Ave | \$2,555,435 | 2,701 | \$946 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 03/15/19 | 1114 Crest Drive | \$3,000,000 | 3,346 | \$897 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 05/28/19 | 356 Fulvia St | \$2,063,366 | 3,013 | \$685 | 3 | 3 | 2019 |
| 06/18/19 | 199 Stonesteps Way | \$1,900,000 | 3,041 | \$625 | 5 | 5 | 2015 |
| 05/17/19 | 1520 Halia Court | \$1,810,000 | 2,898 | \$625 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 06/13/19 | 1505 Halia Ct | \$1,845,000 | 2,983 | \$619 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 06/14/19 | 374 Fulvia St | \$2,342,000 | 3,798 | \$617 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
| 02/28/19 | 1516 Halia Ct | \$1,950,000 | 3,372 | \$578 | 4 | 4 | 2013 |
| 03/07/19 | 810 Hygeia | \$1,720,000 | 3,091 | \$556 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 02/15/19 | 746 devonshire | \$1,410,000 | 2,600 | \$542 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 03/13/19 | 1131 Laurel Cove | \$1,454,462 | 2,705 | \$538 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 05/24/19 | 1129 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,409,000 | 2,705 | \$521 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 03/13/19 | 1117 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,467,000 | 2,905 | \$505 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 06/21/19 | 1125 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,470,000 | 2,920 | \$503 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
| 02/28/19 | 6682 Peregrine Place | \$1,560,000 | 3,142 | \$496 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 02/20/19 | 185 Pacific View Lane | \$1,642,000 | 3,319 | \$495 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 01/03/19 | 3111 Afton Way | \$1,434,060 | 2,934 | \$489 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/08/19 | 6603 Peregrine Place 6 | \$1,435,153 | 3,142 | \$457 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/19/19 | 4730 Chase Court | \$1,278,873 | 2,961 | \$432 | 3 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/25/19 | 748 Rancho Santa Fe Rd | \$1,585,000 | 3,751 | \$423 | 5 | 4 | 2017 |
| 04/03/19 | 6625 Peregrine Place 5 | \$1,406,112 | 3,348 | \$420 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 06/18/19 | 6856 Estrella De Mar Rd | \$1,150,000 | 2,745 | \$419 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 01/09/19 | 3612 Alander Ct | \$1,215,000 | 3,003 | \$405 | 4 | 4 | 2015 |
| 03/18/19 | 6659 Peregrine Place | \$1,269,665 | 3,142 | \$404 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 06/26/19 | 3617 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,199,888 | 3,003 | \$400 | 5 | 4 | 2014 |
| 03/18/19 | 6671 Peregrine Place | \$1,336,451 | 3,348 | \$399 | 5 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/17/19 | 2887 Crest Drive | \$1,301,419 | 3,272 | \$398 | 4 | 4 | 2018 |
| 04/19/19 | 4835 La Paz Ct | \$1,175,000 | 2,965 | \$396 | 5 | 4 | 2016 |
| 04/08/19 | 1880 McCauley Lane | \$1,424,969 | 3,782 | \$377 | 5 | 5 | 2018 |
| 02/22/19 | 7137 Sitio Corazon | \$1,250,000 | 3,352 | \$373 | 5 | 5 | 2012 |
| 06/03/19 | 7152 Sitio Corazon | \$1,170,000 | 3,139 | \$373 | 5 | 4 | 2012 |
| 01/07/19 | 3622 Glen Ave | \$1,268,000 | 3,402 | \$373 | 4 | 4 | 2013 |
| 06/10/19 | 7314 Calle Pera | \$1,420,000 | 3,822 | \$372 | 5 | 5 | 2014 |
| 04/12/19 | 7013 Sitio Frontera | \$1,275,000 | 3,454 | \$369 | 5 | 3 | 2013 |
| 01/04/19 | 7326 Calle Pera | \$1,430,000 | 3,926 | \$364 | 6 | 5 | 2014 |
| 06/05/19 | 7161 Sitio Corazon | \$1,210,000 | 3,352 | \$361 | 4 | 5 | 2012 |
| 06/14/19 | 3363 Corte Panorama | \$1,260,000 | 3,511 | \$359 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 05/24/19 | 3453 Corte Altura | \$1,229,000 | 3,438 | \$357 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 04/30/19 | 3423 Corte Panorama | \$1,025,000 | 2,889 | \$355 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/31/19 | 7063 Sitio Caliente | \$1,260,000 | 3,563 | \$354 | 5 | 4 | 2012 |
| 06/20/19 | 1089 Primrose Lane | \$901,000 | 2,552 | \$353 | 5 | 3 | 2014 |
| 06/20/19 | 3445 Corte Panorama | \$1,019,000 | 2,889 | \$353 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/07/19 | 3242 Sitio Avellana | \$1,249,900 | 3,571 | \$350 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 04/09/19 | 4744 Kentner Court | \$1,350,000 | 3,878 | \$348 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
| 06/10/19 | 3781 Glen Ave | \$949,995 | 2,730 | \$348 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 02/14/19 | 3433 CORTE ALTURA | \$1,280,000 | 3,688 | \$347 | 5 | 4 | 2010 |
| 03/06/19 | 3760 Bergen Peak Pl | \$943,000 | 2,730 | \$345 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 05/30/19 | 3103 Afton Way | \$1,318,466 | 3,826 | \$345 | 7 | 4 | 2019 |
| 05/29/19 | 3235 Sitio Avellana | \$1,220,000 | 3,571 | \$342 | 4 | 4 | 2010 |
| 05/23/19 | 3737 Bergen Peak PI | \$1,075,000 | 3,160 | \$340 | 5 | 3 | 2013 |

[^41]TABLE 8.9
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES, 2,500-4,000 SF, CARLSBAD AND ENCINITAS (1) (2)
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | $\underline{\text { SF }}$ | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/04/19 | 3517 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,020,000 | 3,036 | \$336 | 5 | 2 | 2012 |
| 01/16/19 | 6645 Brookite Ct | \$1,050,000 | 3,175 | \$331 | 5 | 4 | 2011 |
| 03/12/19 | 3252 Sitio Tortuga | \$1,180,000 | 3,571 | \$330 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 01/31/19 | 3614 Buck Ridge Ave | \$1,060,000 | 3,402 | \$312 | 5 | 4 | 2014 |
| 02/12/19 | 4394 Yuki Lane | \$1,000,000 | 3,552 | \$282 | 5 | 3 | 2018 |
| 02/12/19 | 4394 Yuki Lane | \$1,000,000 | 3,552 | \$282 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 01/31/19 | 4916 Park Court | \$995,000 | 4,000 | \$249 | 5 | 3 | 2016 |
|  | Minimum | \$901,000 | 2,552 | \$249 | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
|  | Maximum | \$5,350,000 | 4,000 | \$1,545 | 7 | 5 | 2019 |
|  | Median | \$1,280,000 | 3,272 | \$396 | 5 | 4 | 2015 |
|  | Average | \$1,493,953 | 3,252 | \$462 | 5 | 4 | 2015 |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2019 to present.
(2) Excludes homes built before 2010.

TABLE 8.10
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES, 1,200-3,000 SF, CARLSBAD AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | SF | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04/04/19 | 237 La Mesa Ave | \$2,420,000 | 2,400 | \$1,008 | 4 | 4 | 2019 |
| 04/08/19 | 2028 Edinburg Ave | \$2,555,435 | 2,701 | \$946 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 03/26/19 | 698 Calypso PI | \$1,530,000 | 2,162 | \$708 | 3 | 2 | 2012 |
| 05/17/19 | 1520 Halia Court | \$1,810,000 | 2,898 | \$625 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 06/13/19 | 1505 Halia Ct | \$1,845,000 | 2,983 | \$619 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 03/27/19 | 1133 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,122,023 | 2,030 | \$553 | 3 | 3 | 2019 |
| 02/15/19 | 746 devonshire | \$1,410,000 | 2,600 | \$542 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 03/13/19 | 1131 Laurel Cove | \$1,454,462 | 2,705 | \$538 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
| 05/24/19 | 1129 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,409,000 | 2,705 | \$521 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 03/13/19 | 1117 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,467,000 | 2,905 | \$505 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 06/21/19 | 1125 Laurel Cove Ln | \$1,470,000 | 2,920 | \$503 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
| 01/03/19 | 3111 Afton Way | \$1,434,060 | 2,934 | \$489 | 4 | 3 | 2018 |
| 06/27/19 | 3461 Trailblazer | \$1,020,000 | 2,165 | \$471 | 3 | 3 | 2016 |
| 04/12/19 | 1440 MacKinnon Ave | \$1,150,000 | 2,499 | \$460 | 5 | 3 | 2019 |
| 04/19/19 | 4730 Chase Court | \$1,278,873 | 2,961 | \$432 | 3 | 3 | 2018 |
| 04/22/19 | 3635 Summit Trail Ct | \$741,000 | 1,753 | \$423 | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
| 04/25/19 | 6640 HOLLYLEAF CT | \$859,000 | 2,046 | \$420 | 3 | 2 | 2012 |
| 06/18/19 | 6856 Estrella De Mar Rd | \$1,150,000 | 2,745 | \$419 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 05/24/19 | 6420 Cinnabar Way | \$875,000 | 2,105 | \$416 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 05/20/19 | 4818 Nelson Ct | \$976,000 | 2,357 | \$414 | 3 | 3 | 2017 |
| 06/07/19 | 3671 Glen Ave | \$760,000 | 1,904 | \$399 | 4 | 2 | 2011 |
| 04/19/19 | 4835 La Paz Ct | \$1,175,000 | 2,965 | \$396 | 5 | 4 | 2016 |
| 01/15/19 | 2402 Trona Way | \$787,000 | 1,989 | \$396 | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
| 03/29/19 | 3446 Filoli Circle | \$775,000 | 2,028 | \$382 | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
| 04/22/19 | 3581 Summit Trail Court | \$782,500 | 2,052 | \$381 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 05/17/19 | 3644 Summit Trail Ct | \$775,000 | 2,053 | \$377 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 02/14/19 | 3430 Filoli Cir | \$745,000 | 2,025 | \$368 | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
| 04/30/19 | 3423 Corte Panorama | \$1,025,000 | 2,889 | \$355 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 06/20/19 | 1089 Primrose Lane | \$901,000 | 2,552 | \$353 | 5 | 3 | 2014 |
| 06/20/19 | 3445 Corte Panorama | \$1,019,000 | 2,889 | \$353 | 5 | 3 | 2011 |
| 06/10/19 | 3781 Glen Ave | \$949,995 | 2,730 | \$348 | 4 | 3 | 2012 |
| 03/06/19 | 3760 Bergen Peak PI | \$943,000 | 2,730 | \$345 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
| 05/10/19 | 3418 Filoli Cir | \$822,500 | 2,411 | \$341 | 4 | 3 | 2010 |
| 05/08/19 | 3084 Marron Road Lot 29 | \$824,557 | 2,420 | \$341 | 4 | 3 | 2019 |
|  | Minimum | \$741,000 | 1,753 | \$341 | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
|  | Maximum | \$2,555,435 | 2,983 | \$1,008 | 5 | 4 | 2019 |
|  | Median | \$1,022,500 | 2,526 | \$419 | 4 | 3 | 2013 |
|  | Average | \$1,184,159 | 2,477 | \$475 | 4 | 3 | 2014 |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2019 to present.
(2) Excludes homes built before 2010

Source: CRMLS MLS, 2019

TABLE 8.11
COMPARABLE DETACHED HOME SALES (2,000 SF OR LESS) CARLSBAD AND ENCINITAS (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | $\underline{\text { Sales Price }}$ | $\underline{\text { SF }}$ | $\underline{\$ / S F}$ | $\underline{\text { Bedroom }}$ | $\underline{\text { Bathroom }}$ | $\underline{\text { Year Built }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3635 Summit Trail Ct | $\$ 741,000$ | 1,753 | $\$ 423$ | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
| $06 / 07 / 19$ | 3671 Glen Ave | $\$ 760,000$ | 1,904 | $\$ 399$ | 4 | 2 | 2011 |
| $01 / 15 / 19$ | 2402 Trona Way | $\$ 787,000$ | 1,989 | $\$ 396$ | 3 | 3 | 2011 |
|  | Minimum | $\$ 741,000$ | 1,753 | $\$ 396$ | 3 | 2 | 2010 |
|  | Maximum | $\$ 787,000$ | 1,989 | $\$ 423$ | 4 | 3 | 2011 |
|  | Median | $\$ 760,000$ | 1,904 | $\$ 399$ | 3 | 2 | 2011 |
|  | Average | $\$ 762,667$ | 1,882 | $\$ 406$ | 3 | 2 | 2011 |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2019 to present.
(2) Excludes homes built before 2010.

Source: CRMLS MLS, 2019

TABLE 8.12
COMPARABLE ATTACHED HOME SALES ( 1,500 SF OR LESS) CARLSBAD AND ENCINITAS (1) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Property Address | Sales Price | SF | \$/SF | Bedroom | Bathroom | Year Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05/30/19 | 960 South Coast Highway 101 | \$1,500,000 | 1,270 | \$1,181 | 2 | 2 | 2017 |
| 05/10/19 | 2356 Cambridge Ave | \$1,250,000 | 1,237 | \$1,011 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 08/30/19 | 317 Pine Avenue 209 | \$598,000 | 702 | \$852 | 1 | 1 | 2005 |
| 07/12/19 | 317 Pine Ave 203 | \$585,000 | 702 | \$833 | 1 | 1 | 2005 |
| 02/28/19 | 687 S Coast Highway 101 | \$989,000 | 1,274 | \$776 | 3 | 3 | 2010 |
| 04/02/19 | 687 S Coast Highway 101 | \$900,000 | 1,193 | \$754 | 2 | 3 | 2010 |
| 09/09/19 | 687 S Coast Highway 101 | \$479,000 | 637 | \$752 | 1 | 1 | 2010 |
| 07/24/19 | 687 S Coast Highway 101 | \$885,000 | 1,187 | \$746 | 2 | 3 | 2010 |
| 09/12/19 | 687 S Coast Highway 101 | \$605,000 | 819 | \$739 | 1 | 1 | 2010 |
| 01/08/19 | 175 Maple Avenue \#4 | \$970,000 | 1,370 | \$708 | 2 | 3 | 2000 |
| 01/22/19 | 7323 Estrella De Mar Road | \$360,000 | 655 | \$550 | 0 | 1 | 2007 |
| 06/13/19 | 7323 Estrella De Mar Road | \$640,000 | 1,208 | \$530 | 2 | 2 | 2007 |
| 02/06/19 | 7323 Estrella De Mar Road | \$300,000 | 584 | \$514 | 0 | 1 | 2007 |
| 04/02/19 | 1334 Statice Ct | \$600,000 | 1,207 | \$497 | 3 | 2 | 2000 |
| 03/22/19 | 1306 Statice Ct | \$590,000 | 1,207 | \$489 | 3 | 3 | 2000 |
| 08/23/19 | 1310 STATICE CT | \$585,000 | 1,207 | \$485 | 3 | 3 | 2000 |
| 05/14/19 | 4025 Canario 143 | \$695,800 | 1,450 | \$480 | 2 | 2 | 2003 |
| 09/25/19 | 1334 Statice Ct | \$579,000 | 1,207 | \$480 | 3 | 3 | 2000 |
| 02/04/19 | 7323 Estrella De Mar | \$325,000 | 690 | \$471 | 0 | 1 | 2007 |
| 04/12/19 | 3083 Via Maximo | \$635,000 | 1,406 | \$452 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 05/07/19 | 1611 Fairlead Ave | \$668,000 | 1,500 | \$445 | 3 | 3 | 2014 |
| 07/17/19 | 1624 Fairlead Avenue | \$656,000 | 1,500 | \$437 | 3 | 3 | 2014 |
| 08/12/19 | 1634 Fairlead Avenue | \$655,000 | 1,500 | \$437 | 3 | 3 | 2014 |
| 02/27/19 | 6335 Citracado Circle | \$485,000 | 1,123 | \$432 | 2 | 3 | 2002 |
| 04/15/19 | 3677 Jetty Pt | \$600,000 | 1,390 | \$432 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 05/07/19 | 6470 Alexandri Circle | \$562,500 | 1,316 | \$427 | 2 | 2 | 2002 |
| 04/22/19 | 6444 Alexandri Circle | \$560,000 | 1,316 | \$426 | 2 | 2 | 2002 |
| 07/23/19 | 6169 Paseo Granito | \$479,000 | 1,140 | \$420 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 08/06/19 | 7749 Calle Andar | \$622,000 | 1,493 | \$417 | 3 | 3 | 2005 |
| 07/25/19 | 6291 Citracado Cir | \$495,000 | 1,199 | \$413 | 2 | 2 | 2002 |
| 07/24/19 | 4107 Peninsula Dr | \$547,000 | 1,341 | \$408 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 05/28/19 | 6202 Citracado Cir | \$485,000 | 1,199 | \$405 | 2 | 2 | 2001 |
| 06/13/19 | 4115 Peninsula Drive | \$539,000 | 1,341 | \$402 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 03/25/19 | 6232 Via Trato | \$518,000 | 1,292 | \$401 | 3 | 3 | 2002 |
| 07/18/19 | 6116 Paseo Valla | \$455,000 | 1,140 | \$399 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 09/03/19 | 3081 Via Maximo | \$534,000 | 1,338 | \$399 | 2 | 2 | 2002 |
| 07/09/19 | 6008 Paseo Salinero | \$415,000 | 1,044 | \$398 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 03/22/19 | 4092 Karst Rd | \$525,000 | 1,341 | \$391 | 3 | 2 | 2006 |
| 07/30/19 | 6285 Via Trato | \$515,000 | 1,316 | \$391 | 3 | 3 | 2002 |
| 07/10/19 | 3761 Jetty Pt | \$523,000 | 1,341 | \$390 | 2 | 2 | 2005 |
| 01/25/19 | 4023 Peninsula | \$523,000 | 1,341 | \$390 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 07/10/19 | 6170 Citracado Cir | \$513,000 | 1,322 | \$388 | 2 | 3 | 2001 |
| 06/17/19 | 4078 Karst Rd | \$519,900 | 1,341 | \$388 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 04/12/19 | 4117 Peninsula Drive | \$546,000 | 1,411 | \$387 | 3 | 3 | 2006 |
| 02/28/19 | 4079 Peninsula Drive | \$518,000 | 1,341 | \$386 | 2 | 2 | 2006 |
| 01/03/19 | 6295 Citracado Circle | \$527,500 | 1,367 | \$386 | 3 | 3 | 2002 |
| 05/06/19 | 6227 Via Trato | \$459,000 | 1,201 | \$382 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 01/02/19 | 4026 Peninsula Dr | \$512,500 | 1,341 | \$382 | 3 | 2 | 2005 |
| 01/04/19 | 6136 Paseo Granito | \$435,000 | 1,140 | \$382 | 3 | 2 | 2002 |
| 03/11/19 | 6196 Citracado Cir | \$460,000 | 1,322 | \$348 | 2 | 3 | 2001 |
| 05/02/19 | 6080 Citracado Cir | \$450,000 | 1,322 | \$340 | 2 | 2 | 2001 |
| 05/31/19 | 1738 Fairlead Ave | \$455,000 | 1,500 | \$303 | 3 | 3 | 2013 |
|  | Minimum | \$300,000 | 584 | \$303 | 0 | 1 | 2000 |
|  | Maximum | \$1,500,000 | 1,500 | \$1,181 | 3 | 3 | 2017 |
|  | Median | \$536,500 | 1,304 | \$426 | 2 | 2 | 2005 |
|  | Average | \$592,850 | 1,218 | \$501 | 2 | 2 | 2005 |

(1) Excludes attached and townhomes built before 2000.

Source: CRMLS MLS, 2019.

TABLE 8.13

RETAIL SPACE RENTAL LISTINGS, CARLSBAD, ENCINITAS, AND SOLANA BEACH, JUNE 2019 (1) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Name | Address | City | Suite | SF Available | Rent/SF ${ }^{(2)}$ | Year Built/ <br> Renovated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2121 Newcastle Ave | Cardiff by the Sea | 1st | 850 | \$5.35 | 1976 |
| - | 2179 San Elijo Ave | Cardiff by the Sea | Ste 2179 | 1,345 | \$5.00 | 1956 |
| - | 967 S Coast Highway 101 | Encinitas | 1st | 832 | \$3.90 | 1983 |
| Mercado Del Sol | 731 S Hwy 101 | Solana Beach | Suite 1B2 | 1,131 | \$2.75 | 1975 |
| Mercado Del Sol | 731 S Hwy 101 | Solana Beach | Suite 1EF | 2,993 | \$2.75 | 1975 |
| Mercado Del Sol | 731 S Hwy 101 | Solana Beach | Suite 1C | 1,358 | \$2.50 | 1975 |
| - | 333 N El Camino Real | Encinitas | 1st | 10,981 | \$2.25 | 1995 |
| - | 5810 El Camino Real | Carlsbad | Ste B | 2,441 | \$2.20 | 1965 |
| - | 4960 Avenida Encinas | Carlsbad | 1st | 7,700 | \$2.00 | 2005 |
|  |  |  | Minimum | 832 | \$2.00 | 1956 |
|  |  |  | Maximum | 10,981 | \$5.35 | 2005 |
|  |  |  | Median | 1,358 | \$2.75 | 1975 |
|  |  |  | Average | 3,292 | \$3.19 | 1978 |

[^42](2) Calculated by dividing Rent Full Service Gross by 12.

[^43]TABLE 8.14

OFFICE SPACE RENTAL LISTINGS, ENCINITAS, JUNE 2019
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| $\underline{\text { Office }}$ | Address | Suite | SF Available | Rent/SF | Year <br> Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 351 Santa Fe Dr | Ste 102 | 3,746 | \$3.55 | 1985 |
| - | 285 N El Camino Real | Suite 114 | 4,328 | \$3.25 | - |
| Mammoth Professional Building East | 169 Saxony Rd | Ste 115 | 1,699 | \$3.25 | 2001 |
| Encinitas West | 171 Saxony Rd | Ste 104 | 1,428 | \$3.25 | 2003 |
| Garden View Court | 700 Garden View Ct | Ste 203 | 1,206 | \$3.00 | 2005 |
| Pacific Station | 687 S Coast Hwy 101 | Ste 240 | 1,641 | \$2.95 | 2010 |
| - | 332 Encinitas Blvd | Ste 100 | 1,100 | \$2.85 | 1997 |
| - | 179 Calle Magdalena | - | 3,452 | \$2.60 | 1981 |
| Little Oaks Plaza | 362-398 N El Camino Real | 4A | 965 | \$2.50 | 1978 |
| - | 760 Garden View Ct | Ste 100 | 3,017 | \$2.32 | 2003 |
|  |  | Minimum | 965 | \$2.32 | 1978 |
|  |  | Maximum | 4,328 | \$3.55 | 2010 |
|  |  | Median | 1,670 | \$2.98 | 2001 |
|  |  | Average | 2,258 | \$2.95 | 1996 |

OFFICE SPACE RENTAL LISTINGS, CARLSBAD, JULY 2019
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Office | Address | Suite | SF Available | Rent/SF | Year <br> Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 2214 Faraday Ave | Ste 105 | - | \$6.00 | 2006 |
| The Quad | 5740 Fleet St | Ste 150 | 4,155 | \$3.20 | 2000 |
| Centerpoint Plaza | 5901 Priestly Dr | Ste 302 | 4,200 | \$2.71 | 2007 |
| PacView | 5759 Fleet St | Ste 150 | 21,292 | \$2.65 | 1999 |
| Pacific Point Business Center | 5825 Avenida Encinas | - | 4,700 | \$2.55 | - |
| The Forum | 1905 Calle Barcelona | Ste 230 | 2,993 | \$2.51 | 2004 |
| - | 6162 Innovation Way | - | 1,800 | \$2.50 | 2007 |
| - | 2251 Las Palmas Dr | - | 8,000 | \$2.50 | 1975 |
| Crossings Corporate Centre | 6005 Hidden Valley Rd | Ste 280 | 7,497 | \$2.49 | 2001 |
| Carlsbad Commerce Center | 5120 Avenida Encinas | Suite 110 | 1,823 | \$2.40 | - |
| Palomar Triad | 2011 Palomar Airport Rd | Ste 110 | 2,125 | \$2.35 | 1987 |
| - | 5927 Priestly Dr | Ste 220 | 2,452 | \$2.35 | 1992 |
| Palomar Crest | 2701 Loker Ave W | Ste 140 | 3,723 | \$2.35 | 1999 |
| Palomar Heights Corporate Center | 5857 Owens Ave | Ste 104 | 2,316 | \$2.35 | 2000 |
| Carlsbad Airport Plaza | 5963 La Place Ct | Ste 100 | 8,818 | \$2.35 | 1988 |
| The Atrium | 2888 Loker Ave E | Ste 208 | 800 | \$2.25 | 2008 |
| La Place Court | 5900 La Place Ct | Ste 110 | 2,705 | \$2.25 | 1988 |
| The Campus | 5962 La Place Ct | Ste 170 | 2,353 | \$2.25 | 1986 |
| - | 5810 El Camino Real | Ste B | 2,441 | \$2.20 | 1965 |
| Plaza Del Norte | 6120 Paseo Del Norte | Ste D1 | 1,251 | \$2.20 | 1982 |
| Atrium II | 2710 Loker Ave W | Ste 210 | 1,025 | \$2.15 | 2003 |
| - | 5860 Owens Ave | Ste 210 | 831 | \$2.10 | 2001 |
| - | 5868 Owens Ave | Ste 150 | 3,245 | \$2.10 | 2001 |
| Carlsbad Gateway Center | 5650 El Camino Real | Ste 125 | 1,994 | \$1.99 | 1988 |
| - | 1555 Faraday Ave | Ste B | 4,000 | \$1.95 | 2002 |
| - | 5958 Priestly Dr | Ste 200 | 8,728 | \$1.90 | 2001 |
| Ocean Collection | 2540-2546 Gateway Rd | Ste 2544 | 3,542 | \$1.90 | 2008 |
| - | 6196-6198 Innovation Way | - | 3,889 | \$1.88 | 2007 |
| Palomar Oaks Point | 1901 Camino Vida Roble | Ste 110 | 2,114 | \$1.80 | 1990 |
| 2051 | 2051 Palomar Airport Rd | Ste 105 | 9,943 | \$1.75 | 1981 |
| - | 2385 Camino Vida Roble | Ste 105 | 1,531 | \$1.70 | 1984 |
| - | 1915 Aston Ave | Ste 101 | 6,500 | \$1.65 | 1990 |
| - | 1825 Aston Ave | Ste B | 1,635 | \$1.53 | 1979 |
| - | 5937 Darwin Ct | Ste 102 | 2,972 | \$1.50 | 2001 |
| Sunwood Pacific Ridge | 1947 Camino Vida Roble | Ste 200 | 1,625 | \$1.50 | 1990 |
| Carlsbad Crossroads II | 2734 Loker Ave W | Ste L | 897 | \$1.45 | 1990 |
| Carlsbad Crossroads | 2720 Loker Ave W | Suite H | 1,088 | \$1.45 | - |
|  |  | Minimum | 800 | \$1.45 | 1965 |
|  |  | Maximum | 21,292 | \$6.00 | 2008 |
|  |  | Median | 2,579 | \$2.20 | 1999 |
|  |  | Average | 3,917 | \$2.24 | 1994 |

[^44]TABLE 8.16

OFFICE SPACE RENTAL LISTINGS, SOLANA BEACH, JULY 2019 INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
CITY OF ENCINITAS

| $\underline{\text { Office }}$ | Address | Suite | SF Available | Rent/SF | Year <br> Built |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pacific View Center | 674 Via De La Valle | Ste 204 | 1,578 | \$4.50 | 1960 |
| Ocean Terrace Bldg | 201 Lomas Santa Fe Dr | Ste 360 | 2,564 | \$3.90 | 1983 |
| The Plaza at 505 | 505 Lomas Santa Fe Dr | Ste 160 | 762 | \$3.85 | 1980 |
| - | 444 S Cedros Ave | Ste 100 | 1,275 | \$3.50 | 1959 |
| - | 530 Lomas Santa Fe Dr | Ste B2 | 1,311 | \$3.25 | 1966 |
| The Financial Bldg | 265 Santa Helena | Ste 110 | 1,168 | \$3.00 | 1981 |
|  |  | Minimum | 762 | \$3.00 | 1959 |
|  |  | Maximum | 2,564 | \$4.50 | 1983 |
|  |  | Median | 1,293 | \$3.68 | 1973 |
|  |  | Average | 1,443 | \$3.67 | 1972 |

## TABLE 8.17

COMPARABLE RETAIL BUILDING SALES, CARLSBAD, ENCINITAS, AND SOLANA BEACH (1)

## INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Sale Price | Acres | Gross Building Area (SF) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$/SF } \\ & \text { GBA } \end{aligned}$ | Year Built | Buyer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/18/18 | 960 S Coast Highway 101 | Encinitas | \$4,625,000 | 0.49 | 5,027 | \$920 | 2017 | Jose G Da Rosa |
| 07/28/16 | 240 S Cedros Ave | Solana Beach | \$10,425,000 | 0.47 | 20,325 | \$513 | 2001 | Trilogy Investment Group |
| 01/04/18 | 687 S Coast Hwy 101, 1st Floor | Encinitas | \$24,000,000 | 1.39 | 48,561 | \$494 | 2010 | RAF Pacifica Group, Inc. |
| 05/22/19 | 2725-2727 State St | Carlsbad | \$3,900,000 | - | 8,347 | \$467 | 2015 | Keith B \& Sara S Harrison |
| 04/05/18 | 7660 El Camino Real | Carlsbad | \$21,900,000 | 6.41 | 50,689 | \$432 | 2003 | SJI Properties LLC |
| 01/12/18 | 560 Carlsbad Village Dr | Carlsbad | \$8,195,000 | 0.25 | 19,950 | \$411 | 2009 | Laurie Sasson |
| 08/19/16 | 1060 Auto Center Ct | Carlsbad | \$11,850,000 | 3.70 | 28,904 | \$410 | 1991 | AutoNation, Inc. |
| 01/02/18 | 7660 El Camino Real | Carlsbad | \$18,000,000 | 6.41 | 50,689 | \$355 | 2003 | Frank Kavanaugh |
| 08/08/18 | 934 N Coast Highway 101 | Encinitas | \$1,720,000 | 0.20 | 5,000 | \$344 | 2013 | Chris Van Alyea |
| 07/22/16 | 560 Carlsbad Village Dr | Carlsbad | \$6,606,500 | 0.25 | 19,950 | \$331 | 2009 | Stos Partners |
|  |  | Minimum | \$1,720,000 | 0.20 | 5,000 | \$331 | 1991 |  |
|  |  | Maximum | \$24,000,000 | 6.41 | 50,689 | \$920 | 2017 |  |
|  |  | Median | \$9,310,000 | 0.49 | 20,138 | \$421 | 2009 |  |
|  |  | Average | \$11,122,150 | 2.17 | 25,744 | \$468 | 2007 |  |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2016 to present.

Source: CoStar, Inc.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename: i:/Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v7 - Market Research;12/2/2019;sjx

## TABLE 8.18

COMPARABLE OFFICE BUILDING SALES, CARLSBAD, ENCINITAS, AND SOLANA BEACH (1) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Sale Price | Acres | Gross Building Area (SF) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$/SF } \\ & \text { GBA } \end{aligned}$ | Year Built | Buyer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/04/16 | 2020 Cassia Rd | Carlsbad | \$4,500,000 | 0.64 | 6,350 | \$709 | 2007 | Lee Family Trust |
| 04/19/17 | 6010 Hidden Valley Rd | Carlsbad | \$30,050,000 | 0.75 | 49,580 | \$606 | 2002 | Montecito Medical Real Estate |
| 04/11/17 | 511 Saxony PI | Encinitas | \$3,200,000 | 1.85 | 8,008 | \$400 | 2003 | William Ward |
| 04/20/18 | 3172 Lionshead Ave | Carlsbad | \$4,705,000 | - | 12,176 | \$386 | 2007 | Twin City Container, Inc. |
| 04/26/19 | 2766 Gateway Rd | Carlsbad | \$2,100,000 | 0.17 | 5,684 | \$369 | 2007 | The Jupp Family Trust |
| 10/19/18 | 5962 Priestly Dr | Carlsbad | \$2,160,000 | 0.15 | 6,360 | \$340 | 2001 | SC Fuels |
| 09/17/18 | 5930 Priestly Dr | Carlsbad | \$2,785,000 | 0.20 | 8,336 | \$334 | 2001 | Yoo Jin \& Maribeth Chong |
| 03/01/19 | 1265 Carlsbad Village Dr | Carlsbad | \$3,500,000 | 0.45 | 10,507 | \$333 | 2005 | Soil Retention |
| 06/23/17 | 171 Saxony Rd | Encinitas | \$10,400,000 | 1.55 | 31,421 | \$331 | 2003 | Commercial Equity Partners, LIc |
| 01/26/16 | 2928 Jefferson St | Carlsbad | \$2,700,000 | 0.17 | 8,400 | \$321 | 2001 | Exeter 1031 Exchange Services, LLC |
| 08/30/16 | 2530 Campbell PI | Carlsbad | \$13,000,000 | 3.53 | 41,516 | \$313 | 2009 | Donald Testa |
| 12/16/16 | 3152 Lionshead Ave | Carlsbad | \$1,600,000 | 1.97 | 5,325 | \$300 | 2007 | Dale Kinsella |
| 01/26/17 | 2780 Gateway Rd | Carlsbad | \$1,615,000 | 0.17 | 5,740 | \$281 | 2007 | - |
| 03/08/19 | 1915 Aston Ave | Carlsbad | \$3,050,000 | 1.73 | 11,034 | \$276 | 1990 | Iraj M. \& Fatemeh Eftekhari |
| 08/01/18 | 5901 Priestly Dr | Carlsbad | \$26,828,500 | 4.74 | 97,823 | \$274 | 2007 | Hill Companies, LLC |
| 09/30/16 | 2794 Gateway Rd | Carlsbad | \$1,800,000 | 0.20 | 6,768 | \$266 | 2007 | KF Stephens Inc |
| 08/04/17 | 6005 Hidden Valley Rd | Carlsbad | \$19,000,000 | 5.85 | 71,563 | \$266 | 2001 | Pratik Shah |
| 05/31/18 | 2034 Corte Del Nogal | Carlsbad | \$1,800,000 | 0.17 | 7,040 | \$256 | 2003 | Kevin Farrell |
| 04/26/19 | 5946 Priestly Dr | Carlsbad | \$4,215,000 | 0.21 | 17,160 | \$246 | 2001 | Tytus Holding, LLC |
| 04/12/19 | 2036 Corte Del Nogal | Carlsbad | \$2,735,000 | 0.15 | 11,840 | \$231 | 2004 | Andy Moulds \& Chris Ross |
| 12/18/18 | 3176 Lionshead Ave | Carlsbad | \$2,750,000 | 1.44 | 12,176 | \$226 | 2007 | James D Franklin |
| 03/27/19 | 2888 Loker Ave E | Carlsbad | \$17,600,000 | 3.72 | 80,869 | \$218 | 2008 | David \& Carol Zaslow |
| 03/04/16 | 1535 Faraday Ave | Carlsbad | \$4,511,000 | 2.69 | 20,981 | \$215 | 2000 | Natural Alternatives International, Inc. |
| 12/06/17 | 2701 Loker Ave W | Carlsbad | \$17,000,000 | 5.36 | 81,810 | \$208 | 1999 | Washington Capital Management, Inc. |
| 01/09/19 | 1620 Faraday Ave | Carlsbad | \$5,675,000 | 2.08 | 28,254 | \$201 | 1997 | DPR Construction |
| 09/01/16 | 781 Garden View Ct, 201 | Encinitas | \$2,231,500 | 0.75 | 11,500 | \$194 | 2007 | Comprehensive Dermatology Group |
| 03/18/16 | 5876 Owens Ave | Carlsbad | \$2,950,000 | 7.35 | 17,600 | \$168 | 2001 | CHC Consulting |
| 05/11/18 | 2520-2524 Gateway Rd, 2524 | Carlsbad | \$1,725,000 | - | 10,304 | \$167 | 2008 | William Chan |
| 06/25/19 | 1950 Camino Vida Roble | Carlsbad | \$20,200,000 | 10.93 | 121,541 | \$166 | 1996 | RAF Pacifica Group |
| 08/22/17 | 5841 Edison PI | Carlsbad | \$3,100,000 | 1.16 | 18,732 | \$165 | 1990 | New Venture Escrow |
| 05/20/19 | 2035 Corte Del Nogal | Carlsbad | \$9,000,000 | 4.42 | 54,830 | \$164 | 1991 | Equinvests Capital |
|  |  | Minimum | \$1,600,000 | 0.15 | 5,325 | \$164 | 1990 |  |
|  |  | Maximum | \$30,050,000 | 10.93 | 121,541 | \$709 | 2009 |  |
|  |  | Median | \$3,200,000 | 1.44 | 12,176 | \$266 | 2003 |  |
|  |  | Average | \$7,370,516 | 2.23 | 28,427 | \$288 | 2002 |  |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2016 to present.

Source: CoStar, Inc.
Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc

## TABLE 8.19

COMPARABLE RESIDENTIAL LAND SALES, CARLSBAD, ENCINITAS, AND SOLANA BEACH (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Sale Price | Acres | Land Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$/SF } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | Proposed Use | Secondary Land Use | Buyer (True) Company |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/15/18 | 2646 State St | Carlsbad | \$2,500,000 | 0.25 | 10,890 | \$230 | Apartment Units | Commercial | Tao Yu |
| 05/17/18 | 3068-3080 State St | Carlsbad | \$3,300,000 | 0.41 | 18,025 | \$183 | Apartment Units - Condo | Residential | - |
| 04/02/18 | Roosevelt St | Carlsbad | \$3,000,000 | 0.47 | 20,473 | \$147 | Multi-Family | Residential | Scott Lissoy |
| 09/20/17 | 2646 State St | Carlsbad | \$1,500,000 | 0.25 | 10,890 | \$138 | Apartment Units | Commercial | Michael Akavan |
| 04/12/17 | 330 S Cedros Ave | Solana Beach | \$5,500,000 | 0.94 | 40,946 | \$134 | Mixed Use | Commercial | RAF Pacifica Group, Inc. |
| 01/02/19 | 438 Tamarack Ave | Carlsbad | \$1,960,000 | 0.39 | 16,800 | \$117 | Multi-Family | Residential | Michael Fulton |
| 05/22/19 | South Coast Highway 101 and Dahlia Dr | Solana Beach | \$9,425,000 | 1.91 | 83,200 | \$113 | Mixed Use | Residential | Zephyr Partners |
| 12/07/16 | Oak Ave | Carlsbad | \$950,000 | 0.19 | 8,400 | \$113 | Mixed Use | Residential | - |
| 09/12/18 | 700 Stevens Ave | Solana Beach | \$2,800,000 | 0.67 | 28,976 | \$97 | Mixed Use | Residential | The City of Solana Beach |
| 11/07/16 | 438 Tamarack Ave | Carlsbad | \$1,600,000 | 0.39 | 16,800 | \$95 | Multi-Family | Residential | SD Capital Management Services |
| 05/23/18 | 7550 Gibraltar St | Carlsbad | \$1,540,000 | 0.41 | 17,843 | \$86 | Multi-Family | Residential | Foroozandeh Y Sahba |
| 10/27/16 | 3251 Marisol PI | Carlsbad | \$34,500,000 | 15.25 | 664,159 | \$52 | Apartment Units | Residential | Lennar Multifamily Investors LLC |
| 05/17/18 | 710 Requeza St | Encinitas | \$6,050,000 | 4.42 | 192,535 | \$31 | Single Family Development | Residential | California West Communities |
| 04/07/17 | 1833 Buena Vista Way | Carlsbad | \$4,000,000 | 3.09 | 134,600 | \$30 | Single Family Development | Commercial | Shea Homes, L.P. |
| 07/17/17 | 2924 Highland Dr | Carlsbad | \$2,750,000 | 2.21 | 96,066 | \$29 | Single Family Development | Residential | Benjamin Glinsky |
| 07/17/18 | 1835 Buena Vista Way | Carlsbad | \$4,275,000 | 3.84 | 167,270 | \$26 | Single Family Development | Residential | California West Communities |
| 05/21/18 | 1335 Desert Rose Way | Encinitas | \$5,850,000 | 5.93 | 258,311 | \$23 | Single Family Development | Residential | Woodbridge Pacific Group LLC |
| 08/21/18 | SE Sage Canyon Dr \& El Camin | Encinitas | \$4,400,000 | 10.49 | 456,944 | \$10 | Single Family Development | Residential | Keith B Harrison |
| 10/20/17 | Poinsettia Ln | Carlsbad | \$18,500,000 | 50.80 | 2,212,848 | \$8 | Unknown | Residential | Lennar Homes of California, Inc |
| 04/18/16 | 461 Ocean View Ave | Encinitas | \$895,000 | 3.00 | 130,680 | \$7 | Single Family Development | Residential | Thomas Sutton |
| 01/08/19 | College Boulevard \& Sunny Crk | Carlsbad | \$2,630,000 | 17.80 | 775,368 | \$3 | Single Family Development | Residential | - |
| 04/12/16 | Aura Cir | Carlsbad | \$836,000 | 14.58 | 635,105 | \$1 | Single Family Development | Residential | - |
|  |  | Minimum | \$836,000 | 0.19 | 8,400 | \$1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Maximum | \$34,500,000 | 50.80 | 2,212,848 | \$230 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Median | \$2,900,000 | 2.06 | 89,633 | \$69 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Average | \$5,398,227 | 6.26 | 272,597 | \$76 |  |  |  |

(1) Survey reflects sales from January 2016 to present.
(2) Survey includes Cardiff by the Sea, Carlsbad, Encinitas, and Solana Beach

[^45]Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc

TABLE 8.20

COMPARABLE NON-RESIDENTIAL LAND SALES, CARLSBAD, ENCINITAS, AND SOLANA BEACH (1) (2) (3) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Sale Price | Acres | Land Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$/SF } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | Proposed Use | Buyer (True) Company |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/05/18 | 204-208 W J St | Encinitas | \$1,750,000 | 0.11 | 5,001 | \$350 | Commercial | ABP Capital LLC |
| 04/04/19 | 777-793 2nd St | Encinitas | \$2,950,000 | 0.23 | 10,176 | \$290 | Commercial | ABP Capital LLC |
| 04/04/17 | Kingfisher Ln | Carlsbad | \$2,300,000 | 0.25 | 10,930 | \$210 | Commercial | Four Seasons Residences |
| 04/07/17 | S Cedros Ave | Solana Beach | \$1,500,000 | 0.24 | 10,454 | \$143 | - | David R Hodges |
| 07/22/16 | 1430 N Coast Hwy 101 | Encinitas | \$1,000,000 | 0.21 | 9,148 | \$109 | Rehabilitation Center | Six Step House, Inc. |
| 06/27/16 | 5802 Allen Way | Carlsbad | \$3,635,000 | 0.78 | 34,122 | \$107 | Convenience Store | Laurie Stone Phd |
| 05/22/19 | S Highway 101 \& Dahlia Dr | Solana Beach | \$9,425,000 | 2.91 | 126,760 | \$74 | Commercial | Zephyr Partners |
| 09/12/18 | 1044 Carlsbad Village Dr | Carlsbad | \$3,730,000 | 1.38 | 60,113 | \$62 | Commercial | R\&V Management Investment |
| 08/01/18 | 2446 Town Garden Rd | Carlsbad | \$13,688,000 | 7.02 | 305,791 | \$45 | Commercial | Levine Investments LP |
| 03/01/17 | 2446 Town Garden Rd | Carlsbad | \$30,761,000 | 17.68 | 770,141 | \$40 | Office | Levine Investments LP |
| 03/05/19 | N El Camino Real | Encinitas | \$1,300,000 | 0.78 | 33,977 | \$38 | Commercial | Manna Development Group |
| 08/22/16 | 5807 Van Allen Way | Carlsbad | \$4,100,000 | 3.07 | 133,860 | \$31 | Hotel | SMAC Inc. |
| 01/02/18 | 520 La Costa Ave | Encinitas | \$1,500,000 | 1.19 | 51,836 | \$29 | Commercial | Erik Gilmer |
| 01/10/19 | Whiptail Loop | Carlsbad | \$7,350,000 | 6.00 | 261,360 | \$28 | Commercial | OSL Construction, Inc. |
| 02/07/19 | Caribou Ct | Carlsbad | \$5,124,355 | 4.20 | 182,952 | \$28 | Distribution | Wentworth Property Company |
| 06/24/16 | 1901 Wright PI | Carlsbad | \$4,350,000 | 3.85 | 167,706 | \$26 | Office | Koong Cho |
| 06/21/16 | Cannon Rd | Carlsbad | \$7,250,000 | 6.59 | 287,060 | \$25 | Commercial | Pacific Gardens |
| 09/29/16 | 6191 Innovation Way | Carlsbad | \$4,824,270 | 4.43 | 192,971 | \$25 | Office | RAF Pacifica Group, Inc. |
| 01/05/18 | S El Camino Real | Carlsbad | \$1,600,000 | 1.48 | 64,469 | \$25 | Medical, Office | West Coast Self-Storage |
| 10/28/16 | 2827 Whiptail Loop | Carlsbad | \$8,873,172 | 9.70 | 422,532 | \$21 | Commercial | RAF Pacifica Group, Inc. |
| 03/21/16 | 3200 Lionshead Ave | Carlsbad | \$2,566,000 | 3.74 | 162,914 | \$16 | Industrial | Badiee Development Inc. |
| 01/13/16 | Whiptail Loop | Carlsbad | \$4,537,000 | 7.57 | 329,749 | \$14 | Distribution | Hughes Circuits, Inc. |
|  |  | Minimum | \$1,000,000 | 0.11 | 5,001 | \$14 |  |  |
|  |  | Maximum | \$30,761,000 | 17.68 | 770,141 | \$350 |  |  |
|  |  | Median | \$3,915,000 | 2.99 | 130,310 | \$34 |  |  |
|  |  | Average | \$5,641,536 | 3.79 | 165,183 | \$79 |  |  |

1) Survey reflects sales from January 2016 to present.
(2) Excludes industrial land use.
(3) Survey includes Cardiff by the Sea, Carlsbad, Encinitas, and Solana Beach.

Source: CoStar, Inc.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc
Filename: i:/Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v7 - Market Research;12/2/2019;sjx

COMPARABLE HOTEL LAND SALES, SAN DIEGO COUNTY (1) (2) INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS CITY OF ENCINITAS

| Sale Date | Address | City | Sale Price | Acres | Land Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$/SF } \\ & \text { Land } \end{aligned}$ | Proposed Use | Buyer (True) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/14/14 | NEC Interstate 8 @ Qualcomm Way | San Diego | \$4,500,000 | 3.29 | 143,312 | \$31 | Hotel | OTO Development |
| 08/22/16 | 5807 Van Allen Way | Carlsbad | \$4,100,000 | 3.07 | 133,860 | \$31 | Hotel | SMAC Inc |
| 08/22/18 | W San Marcos Blvd | Vista | \$1,000,000 | 1.52 | 66,211 | \$15 | Hospitality | Ayres Hotels |
| 04/15/16 | 2010 Birch Rd | Chula Vista | \$1,400,000 | 2.51 | 109,118 | \$13 | Hotel | Ayres Hotels |
|  |  | Minimum | \$1,000,000 | 1.52 | 66,211 | \$13 |  |  |
|  |  | Maximum | \$4,500,000 | 3.29 | 143,312 | \$31 |  |  |
|  |  | Median | \$2,750,000 | 2.79 | 121,489 | \$23 |  |  |
|  |  | Average | \$2,750,000 | 2.60 | 113,125 | \$22 |  |  |

1) Survey reflects sales from January 2014 to present.
(2) Survey reflects hotel land size from 2-4 acres.

Source: CoStar, Inc.

# ESTIMATES OF AFFORDABLE SALES PRICES AND RENTS 

Inclusionary Housing Economic Analysis City of Encinitas

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

## ATTACHMENT 9.A

## Estimates of Affordable Sales Prices

## Inclusionary Housing Economic Analysis <br> City of Encinitas

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

ESTIMATES OF MAXIMUM AFFORDABLE PRICE - SINGLE-FAMILY
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME
CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | Single-Family Detached |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Three Bedroom |  | Four Bedroom |  | Five Bedroom |  |
|  |  | @ 50\% AMI | @ 70\% AMI | @ 50\% AMI | @ 70\% AMI | @ 50\% AMI | @ 70\% AMI |
| I. | Number of Bedrooms | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Percent of AMI | 50\% | 70\% | 50\% | 70\% | 50\% | 70\% |
|  | Assumed Family Size | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
|  | Household Income (Rounded) (1) | \$43,150 | \$60,410 | \$46,600 | \$65,240 | \$50,050 | \$70,070 |
| II. | Income Allocation to Housing | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
|  | Amount Available for Housing | \$12,945 | \$18,123 | \$13,980 | \$19,572 | \$15,015 | \$21,021 |
| III. | HOA/Month (2) | \$250 | \$250 | \$275 | \$275 | \$300 | \$300 |
|  | Annual HOA (2) | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,300 | \$3,300 | \$3,600 | \$3,600 |
|  | Annual Insurance (2) | \$960 | \$960 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,440 | \$1,440 |
|  | Annual Maintenance (2) | \$960 | \$960 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,440 | \$1,440 |
| IV. | Annual Utilities (3) | \$1,440 | \$1,440 | \$1,860 | \$1,860 | \$2,136 | \$2,136 |
| v. | Tax Rate | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% |
|  | Annual Taxes (4) | \$1,046 | \$1,864 | \$1,025 | \$1,908 | \$1,014 | \$1,973 |
| VI. | Available for Mortgage | \$5,539 | \$9,899 | \$5,395 | \$10,105 | \$5,385 | \$10,432 |
|  | Interest Rate | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Down Payment | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| VII. | Supportable Mortgage | \$91,092 | \$162,808 | \$88,737 | \$166,186 | \$88,571 | \$171,574 |
|  | Add: Down Payment | \$4,800 | \$8,550 | \$4,700 | \$8,750 | \$4,650 | \$9,050 |
| VIII. | Maximum Unit Price (Rounded) | \$96,000 | \$171,000 | \$93,000 | \$175,000 | \$93,000 | \$181,000 |

(1) HUD 2019 Income Limits.
(2) Source: City of Encinitas
(3) KMA estimate. Utility allowances based on City of Encinitas utility allowances issued July 1, 2019. KMA assumed utility allowance profile as follows:

|  | 3 Bedroom |  | 4 Bedroom | 5 Bedroom |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 6$ | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 9$ |  |
| Gas Heat | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 5$ |  |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 13$ | $\$ 17$ | $\$ 20$ |  |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 37$ | $\$ 47$ | $\$ 54$ |  |
| Other Electric | $\$ 48$ | $\$ 63$ | $\$ 72$ |  |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 12$ | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 18$ |  |
| Trash | $\$ 120$ | $\$ 155$ | $\$ 178$ |  |

(4) Based on affordable unit price. Property tax assessment may be based on market value of actual unit.

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Afforable Housing Sales and Rents;12/2/2019;sjx

ESTIMATES OF MAXIMUM AFFORDABLE PRICE - STACKED FLATS

## PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME

CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | Stacked Flats |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Two Bedroom |  |
|  |  | @ 50\% AMI | @ 70\% AMI |
| Maximum Affordable Price |  |  |  |
| I. | Number of Bedrooms | 2 | 2 |
|  | Percent of AMI | 50\% | 70\% |
|  | Assumed Family Size | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Household Income (Rounded) (1) | \$38,825 | \$54,355 |
| II. | Income Allocation to Housing | 30\% | 30\% |
|  | Amount Available for Housing | \$11,648 | \$16,307 |
| III. | HOA/Month (2) | \$200 | \$200 |
|  | Annual HOA | \$2,400 | \$2,400 |
|  | Annual Insurance (2) | \$720 | \$720 |
|  | Annual Maintenance (2) | \$720 | \$720 |
| IV. | Annual Utilities (3) | \$1,200 | \$1,200 |
| V. | Tax Rate | 1.09\% | 1.09\% |
|  | Annual Taxes (4) | \$1,046 | \$1,788 |
| VI. | Available for Mortgage | \$5,561 | \$9,479 |
|  | Interest Rate | 4.5\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Down Payment | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| VII. | Supportable Mortgage | \$91,462 | \$155,897 |
|  | Add: Down Payment | \$4,800 | \$8,200 |
| VIII. | Maximum Unit Price (Rounded) | \$96,000 | \$164,000 |

(1) HUD 2019 Income Limits.
(2) Source: City of Encinitas
(3) KMA estimate. Utility allowances based on City of Encinitas utility allowances issued July 1, 2019

KMA assumed utility allowance profile as follows:

|  | 2 Bedroom |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gas Heat | $\$ 5$ |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 3$ |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 11$ |
| Other Electric | $\$ 30$ |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 41$ |
| Trash | $\$ 10$ |
| Total Utilities | $\$ 100$ |

[^46]ESTIMATES OF MAXIMUM AFFORDABLE PRICE - TOWNHOMES
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME

|  |  | Townhomes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Two Bedroom |  | Three Bedroom |  |
|  |  | @ 50\% AMI | @ 70\% AMI | @ 50\% AMI | @ 70\% AMI |
| 1. | Number of Bedrooms | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Percent of AMI | 50\% | 70\% | 50\% | 70\% |
|  | Assumed Family Size | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | Household Income (Rounded) (1) | \$38,825 | \$54,355 | \$43,150 | \$60,410 |
| II. | Income Allocation to Housing | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
|  | Amount Available for Housing | \$11,648 | \$16,307 | \$12,945 | \$18,123 |
| III. | HOA/Month (2) | \$150 | \$150 | \$175 | \$175 |
|  | Annual HOA | \$1,800 | \$1,800 | \$2,100 | \$2,100 |
|  | Annual Insurance (2) | \$720 | \$720 | \$960 | \$960 |
|  | Annual Maintenance (2) | \$720 | \$720 | \$960 | \$960 |
| IV. | Annual Utilities (3) | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,440 | \$1,440 |
|  | Tax Rate | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% | 1.09\% |
|  | Annual Taxes (4) | \$1,145 | \$1,886 | \$1,188 | \$2,006 |
| VI. | Available for Mortgage | \$6,063 | \$9,981 | \$6,297 | \$10,657 |
|  | Interest Rate | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Down Payment | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Supportable Mortgage | \$99,717 | \$164,152 | \$103,564 | \$175,280 |
|  | Add: Down Payment | \$5,250 | \$8,650 | \$5,450 | \$9,200 |
| VIII. | Maximum Unit Price (Rounded) | \$105,000 | \$173,000 | \$109,000 | \$184,000 |

(1) HUD 2019 Income Limits.
(2) Source: City of Encinitas.
(3) KMA estimate. Utility allowances based on City of Encinitas utility allowances issued July 1, 2019. KMA assumed utility allowance profile as follows.

|  | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gas Heat | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 6$ |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 4$ |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 11$ | $\$ 13$ |
| Other Electric | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 37$ |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 41$ | $\$ 48$ |
| Trash | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 12$ |
| Total Utilities | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 120$ |

(4) Based on affordable unit price. Property tax assessment may be based on market value of actual unit

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Afforable Housing Sales and Rents;12/2/2019;sjx

## ATTACHMENT 9.B

## Estimates of Affordable Rents

## Inclusionary Housing Economic Analysis <br> City of Encinitas

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

TABLE 9.B-1

ESTIMATES OF MAXIMUM AFFORDABLE RENT - 50\% AMI
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | One Bedroom | Two Bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | Percent of AMI | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | Assumed Family Size | 2.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Household Income (Rounded) (1) | \$34,525 | \$38,825 |
| II. | Income Allocation to Housing | 30\% | 30\% |
|  | Monthly Housing Cost | \$863 | \$971 |
|  | (Less) Utility Allowance (2) | (\$79) | (\$100) |
| III. | Maximum Monthly Rent | \$784 | \$871 |

(1) HUD 2019 Income Limits.
(2) KMA estimate. Utility allowances based on City of Encinitas utility allowances issued July 1, 2019. KMA assumed utility allowance profile as follows:

|  | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gas Heat | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 5$ |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 3$ |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 11$ |
| Other Electric | $\$ 24$ | $\$ 30$ |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 41$ |
| Trash | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Total | $\$ 79$ | $\$ 100$ |

TABLE 9.B-2

ESTIMATES OF MAXIMUM AFFORDABLE RENT - 70\% AMI
PROPOSED VERY LOW AND LOW INCOME CITY OF ENCINITAS

|  |  | One Bedroom | Two Bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | Percent of AMI | 60\% | 60\% |
|  | Assumed Family Size | 2.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Household Income (Rounded) (1) | \$41,430 | \$46,590 |
| II. | Income Allocation to Housing | 30\% | 30\% |
|  | Monthly Housing Cost | \$1,036 | \$1,165 |
|  | (Less) Utility Allowance (2) | (\$79) | (\$100) |
| III. | Maximum Monthly Rent | \$957 | \$1,065 |

(1) HUD 2019 Income Limits.
(2) KMA estimate. Utility allowances based on City of Encinitas utility allowances issued July 1, 2019. KMA assumed utility allowance profile as follows:

|  | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gas Heat | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 5$ |
| Gas Cooking | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 3$ |
| Gas Water Heater | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 11$ |
| Other Electric | $\$ 24$ | $\$ 30$ |
| Water \& Sewer | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 41$ |
| Trash | $\$ 8$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Total | $\$ 79$ | $\$ 100$ |


[^0]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit
    (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded up to the next whole number
    (3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

[^1]:    (1) Estimate, not verfied by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

[^2]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2_v5;12/2/2019;sjx

[^3]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.

[^4]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

[^5]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2_v5;12/2/2019;sjx

[^6]:    

[^7]:    $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Residual Land Value @ } 100 \% \text { Market } & \begin{array}{r}\$ 27,403,000 \\ \text { Per Unit } \\ \text { Per sf }\end{array} \\ \$ 152,000 \\ \$ 105\end{array}$
    Per SF

[^8]:    Per Unit

[^9]:    1) Based on capitalized income approach; assumes $9.5 \%$ capitalization rate and $1.09 \%$ tax rate.
[^10]:    Residual Land Value @ $100 \%$ Market $\quad \$ 8,664,000$
    Per Unit
    $\begin{array}{r}\$ 222,000 \\ \$ 151 \\ \hline\end{array}$

[^11]:    $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Residual Land Value @ 100\% Market } \\ \text { Per Unit }\end{array} \quad \$ 7,601,000$
    Per Unit
    Per SF

[^12]:    (1) Estimate, not verfied by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

[^13]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#3_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

[^14]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.

[^15]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

[^16]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

[^17]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#3_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

[^18]:    (1) Assumes efficiency factor of $85 \%$ net residential $15 \%$ \% icruation/common area

[^19]:    (1) Assumes efficiency factor or $8 \%$ ne ne esistential $15 \%$ circultion/common reea
    (2)

[^20]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.

[^21]:    
    ,

[^22]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

[^23]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
    (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number

[^24]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

[^25]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.

[^26]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

[^27]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or the City of Encinitas.

[^28]:    (1) Maximum number of dwelling units allowed based on net acreage multiplied by density. Any fraction of a dwelling unit is reduced to the next lower whole unit.
    (2) Assumes the number of affordable housing inclusionary units are rounded down to the next whole number
    (3) Assumes the number of density bonus units are rounded up to the next whole number.

[^29]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

[^30]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

[^31]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

[^32]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

[^33]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#2A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

[^34]:    The feasibility of each prototype was evaluated based on the Residual Land Values generated by the Base Case without Upzone relative to the Residual Land
    Values generated by the Upzone Scenarios with increased affordable housing requirements. The following benchmarks were used: ${ }^{(4)}$

    | High Impact: greater than $25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Exisiting Conditions (without Upzone); likely to provide an incentive to develop site |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | Medium Impact: $15 \%-25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may raise concerns regarding development of site |

    Medium Impact: $15 \%-25 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may raise concerns regarding development of site
    Low Impact: less than $15 \%$ increase in Residual Land Value from Existing Conditions (without Upzone); may result in little to no incentive to develop site
     Requirements on the viability of a development is analyzed by comparing the Residual Land Value generated without Upzone (Existing Conditions) vs. the Residual Land Value generated by the Upzone Scenarios where affordable housing requirements are increased.
    (2) Reflects residual land value for 10 market-rate units. No affordable units. No density bonus.
    (3) GBA = Gross Building Area
    (4) For illustrative purposes, Very Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Very Low set-aside. Low inclusionary set-aside scenarios are compared to the Base Case using Low set-aside.

[^35]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

[^36]:    (1) Estimate, not verified by KMA or City of Encinitas.

[^37]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    Filename i:\Encinitas_Feasibility Analysis_v6 - Scenario \#3A_v4;12/2/2019;sjx

[^38]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

[^39]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

[^40]:    Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.
    filename i:|Encinitas_Inclusionary_Affordability Gaps for Nexus_v4;12/2/2019;lag

[^41]:    Source: CRMLS MLS, 2019

[^42]:    (1) Survey includes Cardiff by the Sea, Carlsbad, Encinitas, and Solana Beach.

[^43]:    Source: LoopNet, Inc.

[^44]:    Source: LoopNet, Inc.

[^45]:    Source: CoStar, Inc.

[^46]:    (4) Based on affordable unit price. Property tax assessment may be based on market value of actual unit

